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dollar so none of the environmentally sensitive
property would be controlled by the developer.
We have an LOI from DEP confirming the extent of the wetlands, the type of the wetlands and the necessary buffer. We have put that line and buffer on our plans and we've increased the buffer to so we're giving the borough a larger area than what the DEP says is necessary.

With that, we are proposing the assisted living and we have a team of experts that you'll hear testimony over the course of the hearings. You'll have an opportunity to ask questions of.

We have a rep from our client who I've worked with for the better part of, I think, three decades now. Joe has no hair. I still have mine. We've done Sunrise Developments together and we've done CSH Assisted Living together and I can tell you; unlike some clients, Joe has never made a promise that he hasn't been able to keep.

We've done a similar development in Norwood and one is under construction in Washington Township so you can take a look at the one in Norwood if you need to know what this product is all about and you can see the construction that's going on in Washington Township which abutted a neighborhood that
was very concerned when the application was filed. At the end of the application, the neighbors were all in favor of the application and it -- it included environmentally sensitive property that was protected by the applicant.

So I'm going to ask you just to listen to all the experts, ask your questions. We have a civil engineer, an architect, a traffic engineer, a professional planner, landscape architect a historic expert.

With regard to the house, we -- we questioned whether we should move it off site someplace but, when we came around to discussions with the borough about might it be best just to save the house right on the property, it made sense to shift it to the front of the one side of the property so it's being saved and the borough can do whatever, you know, it deemed fit, a nature center, whatever -- whatever is appropriate for the borough, and your input and be heard in that vein.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: So it's important just to know that it's not a conservation easement. It's an actual dedication to the borough so it's not an easement that the applicant would then have control on. It's an actual dedication to the borough. If,
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for some reason, the borough doesn't want the property, we would dedicate it to a non- -- a non-forprofit group interested in preserving the house and the property.

In addition, the borough will also gain ten affordable housing unit credits from the development. From the hundred units, it's ten. Ten units would be credited towards affordable housing and the assisted living is known as an inherently beneficial use under Municipal Land Use Law in New Jersey which you'll hear more about as we go along.

So I hope I've, at least, a little bit dispelled the inaccurate information that's been floating around, particularly over the last couple of days. The headline, that was 100 percent wrong in the Bergen Record and the content of some other articles and letters that I've seen. We are doing everything that we can to preserve and you'll hear from Mr. McElwee to that end.

And I'd like call Mr. McElwee.
MR. REGAN: Ms. Price, before you start with your first witness, this application involves a D1 use variance. The Class I member of the Board is not permitted to participate. He's not here tonight and the Class III Council representative, Councilman
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Boyd, who is here, will not be participating in the consideration of the application because it involves a D variance.

MS. PRICE: So noted. Thank you, Mr.
Regan.
MR. REGAN: I will try to help you get this far.

MS. PRICE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I'll allow him to do that.

In addition to the court reporter who will have the transcript on file after every meeting, we also, typically, the hearings are just done with an exhibit board but we're going to be putting our exhibits up so the members of the public can see the exhibits at the same time so you're not looking at the back of a board so you can write down your questions while you're looking at the exhibits so, in case we have any technical problems, just bear with us.

So if I can call Mr. McElwee as our first witness?

MR. REGAN: I'm also simultaneously going to swear in the borough engineer, Mr. Skrable, and the borough planner, Mr. Szabo.
J O S EPH MCELWEE, first having been duly
sworn, testified as follows:
(Whereupon Thomas Skrable and John Szabo are sworn in as Board professionals.)

MS. PRICE: Let the record reflect that
the borough engineer and the borough planner have been sworn.

Sir, can I have your full name and spell your last name, please?

MR. McELWEE: My name is Joseph F. McElwee, M-C, capital, E-L-W-E-E.

MS. PRICE: Thank you.
Mr. McElwee, before you start your
testimony, can you just give the Board and the members
of the public the benefit of your educational background and your position with CSH together with your responsibilities?

MR. McELWEE: Yes. I have a B.S.,
Bachelor's of Science, from Marquette University,
business administration. I have been in the senior
living industry for about 35 years and I have developed with my team, which I'll talk a little bit about, 150 ground-up developments of assisted living including several in this immediate area.

MS. PRICE: And was I correct when I referenced the projects that we'd worked together on?
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MR. McELWEE: Yes, ma'am.
MS. PRICE: And that the product similar
to the product that the application tonight involves
was one we recently did in Norwood?
MR. McELWEE: Yes, ma'am.
MS. PRICE: And tonight, you're prepared
to give background information on the application
that's pending before the Board. Is that correct?
MR. McELWEE: Yes, I am.
MS. PRICE: And you have the benefit of a
Power Point presentation?
MR. McELWEE: Yes, I do.
MS. PRICE: Okay.
MR. REGAN: Ms. Price, do you want to
have the Power Point marked before you start?
MS. PRICE: Yeah. That would be great.
MR. REGAN: A-1.
MS. PRICE: Yes.
(Exhibit A-1, Power Point presentation, was marked for Identification.)

McELWEE: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, again, Joseph F. McElwee from Capital Seniors Housing. I am the senior partner in charge of development for Capital Seniors Housing.

I'm going to go into a little bit of a
history on the product and on our company but I would, first, like to thank you for this opportunity. I know that COVID has pushed all schedules back and I know that the application is important to the town and we hope, through our witnesses, to demonstrate the viability of our application.

I'd also like to thank the neighbors who showed up here. It takes a lot of courage to come out. It takes a lot of fortitude and I know you have a lot to say. I'm telling you; I'm here to listen to you and I respect your opinion and we will do anything to work with the local community in making this application as good as we can. In my 30, 35 years of doing this, the best site plans come with neighborhood input and I respect that and we have listened and we will continue to listen.

I am starting with my last slide first and I'm doing that because of a lot of what Gail had said.

I watched the Facebook video with the exMayor talking with a member of Swan and a young mother with a beautiful daughter and I want to reiterate some of what they said and I actually use that as a case for this application, not a case against this application.

This is a good use for the community. As Gail said, it's inherently beneficial. It's for the frail elderly. It's a first-class, state-of-the-art community, the first one built in Old Tappan in 25 years. It's a tremendous -- they are tremendous demographics now and here's certainly better demographics in the future and I'm going to get into that further in my presentation.

It's a very low traffic generator. It's not at rush hour. This is off-peak-hour traffic that would be generated from this and, if anybody has been to Sunrise of Old Tappan, all you have to do is sit in that parking lot and you know exactly what I'm talking about.

There will be ten Medicaid beds. There's an 83 -unit building. We're applying for a hundred-bed Certificate of Need from the Department of Health. The Department of Health does their Certificates of Need in ten-bed increments. You either go for 90 or for 100 . We're going for 100 here and the beneficiary of that is the town. The town will get ten COA equivalents for the ten Medicaid beds; 10 percent of the beds you apply for are Medicaid.

There's no impact on the school system and, in this case, the young mother and her beautiful
daughter talked about the educational possibilities of that historic house. If the house is saved on site, we'll not only be able to move it to a place that's accessible but that building would be available for the local schools to use for educational purposes.

We would be preserving the Gerrit Haring House at CSH's expense. This is not something that the town is going to have to move, not something that the town is going to have anything with. We're going to put that parcel or that building on a 2.12-acre parcel that we're either going to donate to the town, sell to the town for a dollar or donate to a Historic Preservation group or anyone interested.

That 2.12-acre parcel is 39 percent of the entire site so, not only does the town not have to buy the site for Green Aces or whatever other purposes, we're giving the environmentally sensitive and the historically sensitive property to the town, 39 percent of the entire site. All of the wetlands, all of the vernal pools and anything exists but all that sensitive area is what would be donated back to the town.

And it's a for-profit property tax so, if it was Green Acres, for example, there'd be zero property taxes plus the cost to buy the property. In
this case, we're giving 2.12 acres to the town for nothing, for a dollar and we will be paying the property taxes.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
So not a very good rendering but I draw your attention to -- to the board behind the newlysworn in Mr. Bedian.

MR. BEDIAN: "Bedian."
MR. McELWEE: We will have architectural exhibits but I just want to show you the -- the detail of the three-story building.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide. The building -- the site is located between the church offices and the shopping center to the right, Saint Pius Church to the left and the school across the street so, as you can see, this is not a 100 percent residential street. This is a well-traveled road, Old Tappan Road, with a very mixed-use development that has inundated the roads for the last several years.

Next.
I am the principal of development. Our company was formed in 2003 by a guy by the name of Scott Stewart. Now, Scott and I worked at Sunrise Senior Living and, since 2003, we've developed many,
many communities. All we do is seniors housing we don't do hotels. We don't do regular apartments. We do senior living exclusively.

As I said, my development team which is based in Philadelphia, we've done 150 of these things so we're very sensitive to meetings like this. We're very sensitive to Boards. We're respectful of your opinions and we will do so again. The three of us, Dave Innis, Jim May and I, have been together for over 25 years so we have a very contiguous development agreement. We all live in Philly. The company is based in Washington DC.

We do a full spectrum of housing, independent living, assisted living, memory care and we also do 55 -plus senior apartments.

We have two of the finest, dedicated equity sources in the world probably, Bain Capital and the Carlyle Group, so this is not a question of whether a developer can get to the finish line. We're at the finish line. We've got two great partners that have developed a lot of communities with us.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
This is just a graphic of -- hard to read
from there -- of our development footprint.
Generally, we've been between Boston and Washington DC
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and. We've done three new communities in Chicago and we've had a lot of acquisitions across the country.

Next slide.
These are the New Jersey developments that my team has done including Arbor Terrace of Norwood which has been spoken about, Arbor Terrace of Mountainside, Sunrise of Basking Ridge, Sunrise of Cresskill, Bergen County, Sunrise of Old Tappan, Sunrise of Woodcliff Lake, Sunrise of Edgewater, Sunrise of Paramus and, as was alluded to before, Chelsea Washington Township and Arbor Terrace Norwood so we've done a lot of developments in this county and in this immediate area. We know what we're doing. We know what the competitive landscape is like and what we're proposing tonight is something that's consistent with our development plan.

Next slide.
What we're proposing is an 83-unit assisted living residence licensed by the Department of Health. There are 60 units of assisted living and 23 units of memory care and the memory care residents are in a secured wing on the first floor and have access to an outdoor garden area.

CSH has applied for a hundred-bed
Certificate of Need. We did so on February 1st. The

Department of Health accepts applications on the first day of each month. We have applied for a CON February 1.
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There is also executive director, marketing, activities, dietary, housekeeping, receptionist, office managers, etc.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
The building is approximately 80,000
square feet on three stories CSH has many different designs and we will build a design that is indigenous to this area. Something that this group and the Board or any architectural review Board is comfortable with. We're going to show you some renderings tonight but we're more than happy to work with Old Tappan on what you think is the most appropriate elevation.

About 40 percent of this building, or
32,000 feet, is common space. They have residential units which are not self-contained. All of the residents eat in a common dining area. The 32,000 square feet of common space or back-of-house amenities include dining areas, private dining, theater, living rooms, bistro, hair salon, activities, seating areas, lounges and outdoor garden areas.

The units range in size from 350 square feet for a small studio to 850, 900 square feet for a large two-bedroom unit. The units are not selfcontained. As I said, there is an area for a refrigerator and a countertop. There's no cooking
appliances in the unit. All the meals are provided, three meals a day, by Department of Health standards in the common dining area. All residents are served the three meals a day whether they are in memory care, assisted living or elsewhere in the community.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
CSH will provide a 14-passenger van for the residents' use. We will also contract with a private ambulance service for 9 -- non-911 calls.

The site plan provides for 46 parking spaces which is about .55 parking spaces per unit. You'll hear our traffic engineer testify that .50 is the minimum requirement for what's called the ITE. I think it's the International Transportation Experts [sic], something like that.

MS. PRICE: Engineers.
MR. McELWEE: We expect, at full occupancy, that there will be approximately two 911 calls per week. Not all 911 calls require sirens and I think -- I believe one of the beauties of this particular site is that there's an EMS community or building catercorner from the site.

There will be, approximately, two food deliveries per week and two trash pickups per week. The deliveries and trash pickup will meet sound
ordinances and time ordinances for the township or the Borough of Old Tappan.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
Why assisted living? You're probably wondering: Why -- why another one of these? And I'm going to tell you why. The fastest-growing age segment of the population is 85 -plus. The second fastest-growing population is 75 -plus. The 65 -plus population now is 13 percent of the total population. By 2050, the 65-plus population is expected to be 20 percent of the population. In 2010 , the number of people with Alzheimer's disease was 5,100,000. In 2050, the number of people with Alzheimer's disease is projected to be 13,500,000. We call it the "Silver Tsunami;" 10,000 people a day turn 65 . That is something that is unavoidable. You've all heard of the "Baby Boomers." The Baby Boomers are coming. They're not going somewhere else. They're coming to Old Tappan. They're coming to Philly; they're coming to Washington. They're coming everywhere but it's 10,000 people a day are turning 65 . We have to be prepared for the demographics that are certain.

Next slide.
Why Old Tappan Borough? In 2021, there are 21,718 QCGs, qualified care givers. They are 45-

1 to 64-year-old adult children that earn \$100,000 a
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investment is going to be protected because we're only going into the top neighborhoods.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
You can't read this but this is the
backup for the figures that I just gave you. I
highlighted in yellow and this will be part of the application. The figures that I just gave you are highlighted in yellow.

This is the competitive data that I was
just alluding to. The group NIC Map, National
Investment Center, puts out a map quarterly on occupancy figures for all of New Jersey and all over the country. We have identified in here the average age of all the communities is 12 years old. As I said Old Tappan Sunrise is 25 years old and it's one of the first ones I developed in my career up in North Jersey.

There's no new communities here. We know there are some proposed communities. We're under construction in Washington Township, which is 4 miles away. We know that there was an Artis community proposed. I think that has been put on ice for a while. I think it was approved. It's an all memory care building which is not assisted living. That was a 72-unit, all memory care building.

MR. McELWEE: Next slide.
This one, again, you can't see it from where you are. This just lists all the competitors. The point is: We know who our competitors are. On that slide, I developed four of them. We know who our competitors are. We know what their unit mix is. We know what their pricing is and, with that, with confidence of knowing what their mix is, who the operator is, where their site is, what the traffic patterns in Bergen County are. We feel 100 percent confident that this will be another successful project by CSH in Bergen County.

Next slide.
These are just the final two Woodcliff Lake and the Thrive up in Montvale.

Mr. Chairman, that's my presentation. I'd be happy to answer any questions on my testimony and I offer the audience the opportunity to ask me questions also after the Board.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I'll open it to the Board and our professionals first.

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: And we'll open to the public.

MR. McELWEE: Apologize for turning my
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shoulder to you but more than happy to take the questions from here.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Tom, you have any questions?

MR. SKRABLE: Regarding the ambulance service, is there an issue with 911 calls whereby the borough has to handle them legally? Because my understanding was there was going to be a hierarchy of ambulance calls, your private service, then a secondary service and then the borough would be further down the line. Is that not the case?

MR. McELWEE: To my understanding and our traffic engineer will testify, we do have a private ambulance service for non-emergency calls. If a resident picks up the phone and dials 911, I think it's the legal responsibility for the borough to answer that. Whether there's an intervening party in there, I am not sure and, again, I will reiterate for everybody: This is something that we all have to review as a society. We just can't let the seniors age without the proper services in place. We have always tried to make arrangements with our private ambulance service and other means for family members of staff members to take care of it as much as we can. Legally, though, sir, I think, if a call comes in, it
would be the borough's obligation. That's what I
think and I'll have our traffic engineer address that.
MR. SKRABLE: I think we have to investigate that a little further. Is it an
obligation? Is there a choice in there? Is there another method where we can take the burden off?

MR. ELLER: Wasn't that a stipulation for the approval for Artis?

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Hey. Your time will come.

## Anything else?

MR. SKRABLE: That's all I had. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Mr. Szabo? MR. SZABO: That was my thought. No other questions.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Charlie?
MR. MAGGIO: Just one question: So the process you go through for approval, you talked about the Department of Health. Could you educate everybody in the room in terms of what that process is and how -- what goes into it?

MR. McELWEE: Every -- every assisted
living residence has a Certificate of Need before you can submit your plans to the Department of Community
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Affairs in New Jersey. The Department of Community Affairs, not the borough, reviews the building plans for assisted living residences. It is about an $\$ 80,000$ application fee where you are detailing your project, detailing your need for the project, detailing who your management group will be for the project. The Department of Health studies all that information and then they issue a Certificate of Need. That process has been taking a long time during COVID a lot of the staff members are out of Trenton and they are in their home so it hasn't been as quick as it used to be. You used to be able to get a certificate of need in four to five months. We have one in West Orange right now that's ten months and that's just a coming out of COVID and starting to address our application.

Once you get the certificate of need number, they put that 100-bed figure right on the Certificate of Need. The town is granted COA credits by state law for those ten beds and then the application, the building plans and minor we'd put together would go to the Department of Community Affairs so, all in all, it could be a six- to twelvemonth process.

MR. MAGGIO: And you can design the
construction to comply with any national codes?
MR. McELWEE: Yes. We have an architect here and he can go through all the codes with all the -- all the requirements of state and national.

MR. MAGGIO: That answers all my questions. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Any questions? Yeah.
Question: 83-unit, 100 -bed, does that mean that 17 individuals bunk up with somebody else?

MR. McELWEE: The Medicaid beds, there's five Medicaid units, 10 beds so there's semiprivate accommodations for Medicaid that's the project that the New Jersey state has endorsed. It's about a 500-square-foot unit, two separate bedroom doors and a shared bathroom. Memory care, its' also common to have a shared accommodation, especially as -- because that's an expensive care so a lot of the memory care residents would be -- not a lot but maybe six to eight would be in semiprivate accommodations so the hundred beds is something we're probably never going to hit. I would say for an 83-unit building, you're probably going to have 93 people in there but the Certificates of Need come in ten-bed increments so it's either -our choice was 9 -- 90 beds which would have been 9

COA units for the town or, if we thought that there'd be more than 91 people in the community, you're automatically pushed up to the 100-bed level.

MR. MAGGIO: So 93 might be the maximum amount of people --

MR. McELWEE: That could be.
MR. MAGGIO: -- that could be residents?
MR. McELWEE: It's our experience if you had an 83-unit bed -- 83-unit community, you'd have somewhere between 92 and 97 people, depending on whether you had a husband-wife situation or sometimes you have caregivers that want to, you know, be in the same unit. Hundred is the absolute max by -- by our license.

MR. MAGGIO: So I saw your presentation.
Did we get a printout of that in the past and -- and prior to this meeting that I'm not aware of?

MS. PRICE: No. No. I think it's a hearing exhibit so we will supply it now.

MR. MAGGIO: Right.
MS. PRICE: Yes.
MR. MAMARY: Because we -- we haven't been able to do any research concerning what he presented to us in advance of this meeting so we don't know what he was presenting so how do we actually ask
questions about it if we didn't get -- we weren't able to understand exactly some of the statistics? Where did some of the statistics come from that you quote in your application?

MR. McELWEE: I referenced, in my presentation, they come from a group called "ESRI." It's a national demographic company that has 1-, 3and 5-mile radiuses around a site so I cited the 5-mile radius for you.

MR. MAGGIO: So we will get a copy of that.

MR. McELWEE: I can give you a hard copy tomorrow, sir, if you'd like.

MS. PRICE: I've given Diane both hard copies and digital copies of everything so, now that we're in the hearing, I will provide hearing copies of the same, both digital and hard.

MR. MAGGIO: And due diligence on some of those prior organizations and --

MS. PRICE: Yeah, yeah. Sure.
MR. MAGGIO: I know that this is probably one of the things that bother most of the residents that are here is the use of the ambulance service, that, if there is a major increase in the amount of calls that come in and, as you're saying, the
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demographics of our country are getting older and, as time passes, the age of everyone else is getting older that lives in town. We have -- and maybe I'll ask our fire department.

How many ambulances do we have available in our town?

MR. ALESSI: Two.
MR. MAGGIO: Two? Okay. I figured you're in the fire department.

## Sorry, everybody.

So I was just concerned about the use of the ambulances that might be, you know, taken up by this development verses the rest of the society at large in need of ambulances.

MR. McELWEE: It's a legitimate question and one I get in every town in New Jersey because they're all volunteer; 90 percent of them are volunteer. What I'm saying is that we will be paying a lot of property taxes and we will have no impact on your school system so there will be net money coming into the town. I know a lot of it goes to the school. I know that some goes to the county but we will be paying a lot of property taxes. You can see what Sunrise is paying so that's one mitigating factor.

The other mitigating factor is we'd be
more than happy to work with the local ambulance corp. Maybe they need something. We have done defibrillators. We have offered other services and monetary contributions knowing that we're going to have an impact and what I'm saying is: I know that we're going to have an impact but I know that it's the Silver Tsunami or the aging of America that's causing it, not my development.

MR. MAGGIO: But the town will take that amount of real estate taxes off our tax -- tax roll, correct?

MR. McELWEE: I -- I -- I wouldn't say that because you may tax it on net operating income. I don't know how your assessor --

MR. MAGGIO: So normally, it's taxed on acreage and value of property and -- so I mean, there may be some loss of revenue by the donation of the --

MR. McELWEE: Right.
MS. PRICE: And if it's wetlands and unusable, whoever owns it is going to say you can't tax it so...

MR. MAGGIO: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Mr. Alessi?
MR. ALESSI: First, you said you're from Philly?
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MR. McELWEE: Yes, sir.
MR. ALESSI: Eagles or Giants?
(Laughter)
MR. McELWEE: I plead the Fifth. This year or overall?

MR. ALESSI: You said you're not raising the house. There's also a barn on that property. Is that going to be --

MS. PRICE: That's staying too. The barn is staying.

MR. ALESSI: Then, you said Norwood and Washington Township. Where in Norwood?

MR. McELWEE: 525 Tappan Road. It would be right on the Northvale border. It's called "Harbor Terrace of Norwood" now. It used to be a Garden Center, I believe.

MR. ALESSI: Okay. So as my esteemed colleague pointed out, I did join the ambulance corps originally back when I was 18 so about ten years ago. Sunrise promised -- no pun intended -- the sun, the moon and the stars. They were a third of the calls in old Tappan before the Mayor and Council stepped in to eliminate that. The project on Central Avenue, no 911 calls. Everything would be private. We all know that two calls a week are going to turn into five, ten,
people with bloody noses calling 911 just for anything. We know, from past experience, even though there's a doctor on staff at Sunrise, call the ambulance, take them to the hospital so, even though
he ambulance is diagonally across the street and there's two ambulances, the amount of calls that Old Tappan Ambulance responses to -- I believe there was four today, maybe five yesterday, so you're taxing a -- as you said a Silver Tsunami where people are getting older and not being able to respond as much so I would definitely take a look back at the hiring of a private ambulance full time. Sunrise -- we're third on Sunrise and I don't know what the new place is called on Central. Artis. Nothing on Artis, they are not going to use us at all.

MR. McELWEE: Whatever the borough deems
is appropriate, we will comply with. I will tell you
that I'm not -- the figures that I gave you come from the Arbor Company who manages about 40, 45 homes around the country. There may be an anomaly during December or January for Sunrise. I don't know. I'm just saying; on an average, 2 per week 104 per year is what an average would be. Sunrise is a 25 -year-old community. Sunrise has about 36 memory care beds. I built it. I know the mix of units in there. It's a
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lot more semiprivate proposed -- or in there than what we're proposing. They have a lot of semiprivate units in what they call "Denver units" where they don't have a separating wall or separate bedroom. It was predominately a semiprivate product and I believe it was 110 -bed Certificate of Need. Even though it's only 77 units, I believe our Certificate of Need was for 110 beds back in the day when we went through that so they do have more capacity there as well.

Sometimes the aging of a community can go through waves of frailty. People are going to come in more ambulatory at the beginning. They become more frail at the end. There is an appropriate -- it's called "appropriate placement" that the Department of Health regulates. You can't be bedridden. You can't be sick in an assisted living residence. That's what the nursing homes are for so it's something that we are cognizant of especially in New Jersey and something that we will work with you and your staff to make sure there's appropriate accommodations.

MR. ALESSI: No more further questions.
MR. KEIL: I also share the concern of Mike with the -- as far as the ambulance goes but your client purchased the property. Did he have to go through funding for that or financing for that?

MR. McELWEE: I don't have a client. We're contract purchasers for the property. We're going through this process and it's contingent upon us getting a building permit, whether we purchase the property or not.

MR. KEIL: Okay. So you're going to lead up to that and a Phase I environmental assessment is done on the property?

MR. McELWEE: Absolutely. And we have all those exhibits for the record.

MR. KEIL: Okay. Because I don't know that they've been shared with the Board. I'd like to see a copy of those.

MS. PRICE: In connection with which avenue? Just so I have our engineer ready for it.

MR. KEIL: The Phase I, geotech --
MS. PRICE: Yeah.
MR. KEIL: I'm more interested in the Phase I than the geotech. I would like to see that.

MR. McELWEE: In addition to the Phase I, there's probably a Phase II. It's a historic survey on the particular property as well.

MR. KEIL: Whatever you got.
And you made a comment about, you know, you're paying the taxes there. I know you recently
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approved a development in town where we're going to be getting 240 units in town so I'm not sure how needy the town is for ratables at this point but your point is duly noted.

And just one thing to confirm: You mentioned that there are eight competitors within 5 miles. Did that include the Artis Development?

MR. McELWEE: No.
MR. KEIL: It did not. Okay.
MR. McELWEE: That's proposed. I have that on a separate sheet as proposed communities. I don't know whether they're going to build or not. I don't know. I just know that they have been put on ice for a couple years.

MR. KEIL: Yeah. Well, they do have approval so whatever hold up they have is on their end; it's not with the borough.

MR. McELWEE: I will tell you that that wouldn't change my mind one bit because, as I said, it's an all memory care community. We don't consider them as direct competitors.

MR. KEIL: That's all.
MR. ELLER: I just had a couple quick
questions. First, one is actually for Bob.
Those ten beds for the COA units, does
that roll over even though we're indemnified at the moment? If -- in the future, does that still accrue in our bank going forward --

MR. REGAN: Yes.
MR. ELLER: -- once that period is up?
MR. REGAN: Those ten units of credit would carry forth. We have the fourth round coming up in 2025.

MR. ELLER: Exactly. Perfect. Thank you.

MR. SKRABLE: That might be something that the community is not aware of about what our responsibility is with -- under COA. I think that -that would be very important for the community to understand what our responsibility is as far as what the -- what has been allocated to our town and the number of units that we're required to bring into our town.

MR. REGAN: The borough has a COA plan, a housing plan that's been deemed compliant in New Jersey. Tom, you probably have the numbers.

MR. SKRABLE: So it's, like, a 225-unit obligation. We satisfied some of it but we do have unmet need and this would go towards unmet need.

MR. REGAN: And there will be a new round
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of obligations July of 2025.
MR. SKRABLE: Correct. And whatever unmet need you don't satisfy in the third round, I imagine, depending on what happens in the fourth round, that obligation is carried forward and it becomes an additive thing and, if -- if the past has been any indication --

MR. REGAN: And the process is such that there's never enough. It's never enough.

MR. SKRABLE: But that is a requirement or agreement made --

MR. REGAN: The Mount Laurel Doctrine.
MR. SKRABLE: The Mount Laurel Doctrine that requires our town to bring into our town 200 moderate-income housing units over a period of time and so it's not like that us, as a Board, is you know trying to horse trade with them. This is a requirement that we are actually required to accept and -- and -- and do.

MR. REGAN: Every municipality in the state has this.

MR. SKRABLE: Every municipality in the state -- and I think we spoke about this in one of our prior meetings. We are the only state in the United States that has this requirement. There was a
proposal to make it nationwide and it was squashed but New Jersey is currently the only state that has this required moderate-income housing --

MR. REGAN: Low and moderate.
MR. SKRABLE: Low and moderate income housing developments to be brought into every town in the state and we have to so that's one of the things that we get is ten credits against our need for bringing in other moderate- to low-income housing.

MR. ELLER: So to build on that point, if this project wasn't approved hypothetically and a few years down the road, that parcel is still open, any builder could come in and here are we could have another Pearson issue or other -- could be all townhouses or -- hypothetically, right?

MR. REGAN: In 2025 or even before? It could happen, yes.

MR. SZABO: Absolutely.
MR. ELLER: There's nothing to protect us to the traffic -- okay. So I mean, that's something that's, at least, on my mind.

The next question I had was back with the EMS thing. If -- if the issue does come up that it was on the borough's responsibility, is there any way that we could --
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MR. REGAN: On a 911 call?
MR. ELLER: On a 911 call, is there any way to work out with the applicants that there would be a billing situation every time we get -- you know, because it's a commercial building?

MR. REGAN: You can do that. One community that I represent has, in fact, that type of an agreement with the developer or you can impose the obligation if they have ambulance service there every day.

MR. ELLER: Well, that's what I'm saying. I -- I agree with that but I think if they're called upon and it becomes that -- it, at least, covers the town. That's something we can work --

MR. REGAN: That would be subject to negotiation at the time of a developer's agreement.

MR. ELLER: Okay.
Last thing was: All the documents that we have, I know that Ms. Pierce [sic] --

MS. PRICE: Price.
MR. ELLER: -- Price said we've already sent digital copies to the town. Is that stuff that we could request the administrator to just post on the website? Because I'm anticipating -- I'm sure it would makes things a lot easier for everyone here
rather than a ton of OPRA requests and things that are just going to flood the system. I think it's make everybody's life easier if those were all posted. As the -- as the professionals finish in each of their rounds, if they could give those to the town or even a week or two before so that the residents could review them as well and come ask questions the same way we did.

MS. PRICE: Sure.
MR. ELLER: Okay. That's it for me.
MS. PRICE: We do that.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Nicki?
MS. LOULOUDIS: The only question I have has to do with the food service. You mentioned two food drop offs. Can you explain that? Are those 18wheelers coming in?

MR. McELWEE: Two panel trucks, I think, they're called, 16 foot or 18 foot, whatever that distance is. The traffic engineer will testify that two per week for food delivery.

MS. LOULOUDIS: And then, you mentioned trash pick up twice -- twice a week.

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
MS. LOULOUDIS: And that would be a private --
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MR. McELWEE: Yes, ma'am.
MS. LOULOUDIS: Thank you.
MR. BEDIAN: I have a question:
Regarding one of the buildings, you propose to move them?

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
MR. BEDIAN: How you going to accomplish
that? And this is a 250-year-old building.
MR. McELWEE: Great question.
The shed will stay exactly where it is.
The -- about one half of the historic structure is actually historic. It's the stone portion of that building. We have talked to our historic consultant who has not contracted but he has done this many, many times through a contractor that lifts the building up, puts it on wheels and takes it about 100 feet to the west so what we're thinking would happen is, after our new roadway -- should we be approved by this Board after the new roadway was in, during construction when we have level ground, we would pick up the house, put it in front of the existing shed so that it would be more prominent for the -- for the town to see in your normal drive-by traffic so half of the building, the historic half, would be moved to the west.

MR. BEDIAN: So that -- that's going to
be engineer, right? The whole process.
MS. PRICE: Oh, yeah.
MR. McELWEE: Absolutely, yeah. The structural engineers and the people that specialize in historic house moving would be doing this and, again, as I said, that's all at our expense.

MR. BEDIAN: The other question I have: Is this rendering to scale? It looks like smaller than the one, you know, I have on the plans but I could be wrong, yeah.

MR. McELWEE: Again, our architect will give you the height. I know we are --

MR. BEDIAN: Yeah. To scale?
MR. McELWEE: -- underneath the 35-foot height requirement and we can get into the exact dimensions at that time.

MR. BEDIAN: Okay. Okay.
And also, can -- I don't know if we --
we're allowed to ask for digital, you know, documents? It makes it easier to, you know, to review them ahead of time.

MS. PRICE: Okay.
MR. BEDIAN: Yeah. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay. Anyone else on this Board have any other questions?
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MR. ALESSI: Two quick ones. CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Go ahead. MR. ALESSI: First one: I just got thinking about when you started asking questions about the house. The first thing is, when you guys bring those in, is that, like -- is there -- what kind of insurance or bonding is done for something like that? Say something accidentally happens and the building collapses or -- I don't know how you put a monetary value on that but, I mean, obviously, you guys are covering it so I'm asking: How do you guys --

MR. McELWEE: That would be our own personal insurance and the mover's insurance so probably -- probably a double level of insurance on that.

MR. ALESSI: And the second thing is what kind of restoration would that building need or anything? If we're talking about turning it into something for the town, I know you guys are donating the property. Could you guys work with the Historic Committee in town and see what they can so to make sure anything that needed to be done for the building was done so that it becomes more useable for the town?

MR. McELWEE: Yeah. We would certainly work with them to see if there's any way we could
offer any more assistance other than donating the
2 acres and moving the house. We don't have a blank
check but we would be more than happy to cooperate with them.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Just one other point: You said you were going to move the historic part of the existing home. What percentage of the home that's there right now is historic and what percentage is not?

MR. McELWEE: I would say 50 percent, 40 to 50 percent. The -- wherever you see the stone in the front, that's the historic portion. The garage to the right is non-historic so it'd be the right side, the garage area, another 40 percent or 50 percent. It's probably 50/50 but we can get exact figures for you.

MS. PRICE: We've actually -- we've retained a historic consultant and that historic consultant has actually also gotten a historic architect involved so there are already -- he's already two down the line on this so -- and when he started talking details with Joe and me, we were like, "Okay. Slow it down" because he was --

Right?
-- over-detailed so, at the right time in
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the hearing, we are happy to give you all the details from this historic consultant but trust me; it could fill, you know hours and he climbed through the whole structure on the stone section but he has everything down pat in terms of exactly the age, what sections what sections are not and, in terms of the movement of the house, he knows exactly what needs to be done, how to do it down to the -- down to the square.

MR. McELWEE: He did say that the foundation of that house is so thick that, moving it, it's not fragile at all.

MS. PRICE: Right.
MR. McELWEE: It's got a very stable foundation and so it's easier to move than some other structures.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Why -- why are we keeping the barn, the all-red barn that's in the corner?

MR. McELWEE: It's a game-day decision for the town or the Historic Preservation. It -- it's up to you. We're just saying that the stone structure would be in front of that. If you decided to keep that, if you wanted to have a walking path or any other equipment in there for the house itself, we're leaving it there --

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I believe the barn
was built in the 1970s.
MR. McELWEE: It's not historic.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: No.
MR. McELWEE: If the town or the
Preservation Committee wants it, we'll keep it. If they don't, we'll take it away.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: You know, that's something we -- the town will have to discuss, right?

MS. PRICE: Right.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: But you don't intend on moving it. It's going to stay right where it is.

MR. McELWEE: The barn stays where it is. The house gets moved a hundred-or-so feet.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay. And you'll give us the percentages on the house?

MS. PRICE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: What's going to stay and what's going to go.

MS. PRICE: We'll get you all the details on that.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Including the age of the portion that's not --

MS. PRICE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: -- destroyed. MS. PRICE: Yes.
MR. SKRABLE: Mr. Chairman, you might want to get some input from Andy, DPW, on the barn because like a lot of other buildings in town, they just get full of stuff which is probably not something he wants so I'm sure he has an opinion on whether nor not that will stay or go.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay. Anybody else?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay.
I'm going to open the meeting to the public if anyone has any questions regarding what he just testified to. Any other questions are not going to be allowed. You know, this meeting or this application is going to go on for months. There's only one meeting a month so, if you're going to ask a question about, you know, what color is the new -- no, no, no. What this gentleman just testified to is going to be the only question allowed and you're not going to be allowed to make statements. You're only going to be allowed to ask questions and, if it's not appropriate, we're going to tell you it's not appropriate. There'll be plenty of time to ask any
questions at all about anything regarding this application.

MR. REGAN: And to offer comments too.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: And at the end, to
offer comments.
Okay. Can we have a motion to open the meeting to the public?

MR. KEIL: Motion.
MR. ELLER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: All in favor?
MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: No, no, no. The
people are going to raise their hand and I'll
recognize and then, you know, they'll be allowed to come up and stand, state your name and address and ask your questions.

Okay. The meeting is now open.
Yes? Over here.
MR. SADAKA: Mark Sadaka, 16 Herring
Drive.
I am a lawyer. I have a Master's in science and public health and environmental health science from Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine.

Question for you is: Has this project
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been approved by the Army Corps of Engineers?
MR. McELWEE: We didn't have to approach them.

MR. SADAKA: Why not?
MS. PRICE: It's been approved by the
DEP. We have an LOI.
MR. SADAKA: Isn't it true that -- that Tappan Lake spans both New York, New Jersey?

MS. PRICE: The DEP knows that the
wetlands are isolated and that there's no tributary located on the property or involved with the property.

MR. SADAKA: Isn't it true that Tappan
Lake spans both New York, New Jersey? Yes or no?
MS. PRICE: That may be true but that is not relative to this property.

MR. SADAKA: And is the wetlands part of Old Tappan Lake?

MS. PRICE: Sir, I can show you the --
MR. SADAKA: The real -- the real
question is for the --
MR. McELWEE: The real question should be what I testified to.

MR. SADAKA: My question -- my question actually is for your --

MR. McELWEE: We have other experts that
will get into what your questions are at a later time.
MR. SADAKA: You didn't -- no one has got it approved by the Army Corps of Engineers.

The question is for you, sir, our -- our
Board -- our town attorney.
MS. PRICE: Board attorney.
MR. SADAKA: Excuse me?
MR. REGAN: Board attorney.
MR. SADAKA: Board attorney. Excuse me.
Have you ensured that this property is not subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act thereby under the jurisdiction of the Army Corps of Engineers and any development on this property needs to be approved by them?

MR. REGAN: That would have to be reviewed and researched.

MR. SADAKA: So you haven't done that?
MR. REGAN: No. The first time the issue is raised is right now.

MR. SADAKA: Okay.
Have you done it?
(Applause)
MR. REGAN: Hold on a second.
Sir, you're free to submit any evidence you want to at the appropriate time on that issue.

MR. SADAKA: Okay. But I'm just concerned about the town's liability. I don't want the Army Corps of Engineers coming in so I -- I -when I was in -- when I was at--

MS. PRICE: Is this testimony?
MR. FEEHAN: This is not the time to say that.

MR. SEIJAS: Well, anyway, I've dealt with the Army Corps of Engineers. They don't mess around and, if you don't it -- if you don't get it cleared, they're going to come in here and they're going to cause trouble.

MR. REGAN: We understand.
MS. PRICE: Is that a threat?
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else? Next question.

Yes, ma'am? Please step forward.
MS. SIEK: Hi. I'm Janine Siek. I live at 19 Holbrook Court.

So my primary question is: My street is actually located directly across from your property that you're looking to acquire.

MR. McELWEE: Okay.
MS. SIEK: So the original plan that I
had looked at that you submitted to the town had the
building exactly across from my property with the entrance/exit way across from Leonard Drive which is the next street up from me. Has that changed at all?

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
MS. SIEK: I -- I would love --
MR. McELWEE: Let me answer your
question: It's part of the submission that we made.
MS. SIEK: Okay. So it's right across
the street from me now.
MR. McELWEE: Just to supplement, the reason it was changed to Vandervoot or one of the other --

MS. SIEK: "Vandervoot" was the original name. We changed it to "Holbrook Court."

MR. McELWEE: The historic house was in the way of the original driveway. When we moved -propose to move the historic house over, we had to move the driveway over.

MS. SIEK: Okay. Because a huge concern I'm having is, in the past year with the unprecedented rainfalls, my property has had 6 inches of floodwaters coming down my street. My street sits from Old Tappan Road in a decline from Old Tappan Road. I've had 6 to 8 inches of water surround the side of my house to the point where I had waterfalls coming in my basement
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windows so I'm extremely, extremely concerned about any more water being directed towards my road and coming down towards my house and, especially with another driveway being right across the street from my road, that's macadam; it's taking away what will soak up any water that's there and, removing all those trees, that really concerns me.

MR. McELWEE: Fair comment and our civil engineer will address your concerns when he testifies and our civil engineer will be working with the borough engineer to make sure that no excess water goes on to your property or anyone else's property.

MS. SIEK: Okay. That's it for me for now. Thank you very much.

MR. McELWEE: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes, sir?
MR. ARDITO: I'm Peter Ardito. I'm from
Harrington Park, 57 Glen Avenue East.
I'm on the Board of Directors in Bergen SWAN and, just for your information, I'm also the Vice Chairman of the Planning Board of Harrington Park and I am the Chairman of the Environmental Commission of Harrington Park.

The key question is -- and I'm just going to put an elephant that's here in the room -- is that,
this property is not zoned for a commercial use.
MR. McELWEE: Yeah. That's why we're here for a use variance.

MR. ARDITO: And I understand that. The main question I have is: I was totally involved in the Allegro application in Harrington Park so I have a very good understanding of this.

My big question is that, because this property, really, is not suitable, the Master Plan that was redone --

MR. REGAN: Sir, you're going to have to ask a question or --

MR. ARDITO: Okay. I apologize.
So my question is that based on the fact that this use is not properly zoned for the use you want, when Enclave was being looked at, did you look at that property? Because, I believe, that was commercially-zoned property. Is that correct? The Pearson building used to be there so it was commercially-zoned?

MR. REGAN: It was.
MR. ARDITO: Okay.
MR. REGAN: If it was rezoned --
MR. ARDITO: Did you look at that piece of property to work with them on that? MR. REGAN: It was
available when we came to look at this site. We're under contract for this site. Our application is for this site and not any other site.

MR. ARDITO: And you feel that, with the environmental sensitivity of this piece of property, that this is an appropriate use -- land use for this property?

MR. McELWEE: Yes, sir. And we testified that 2.12 acres of the environmentally sensitive land would be retained by the town or groups like yours or any other preservation group.

MR. ARDITO: Right. But you are removing, by the application, over 200 trees in order to put the building up.

MR. McELWEE: Mr. Chairman, I would like our landscape architect or other to testify to that. I didn't testify to that.

MR. REGAN: It's beyond the scope of what he testified to.

MR. ARDITO: Well, I'm just pointing out the fact that he feels the property is appropriate for this.

MR. REGAN: I don't think he'd be here if he didn't feel it was appropriate.

|  | 57 |  | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ARDITO: Okay. | 1 | MS. MAGARRO: No. I understand that. |
| 2 | MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Thank you. | 2 | You have very clearly stated that Old Tappan is a |
| 3 | (Applause) | 3 | desirable place for you to want to build this unit. |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish | 4 | MR. McELWEE: Right. |
| 5 | to be heard? | 5 | MS. MAGARRO: My question is twofold. |
| 6 | Yes, ma'am? | 6 | (Applause) |
| 7 | MS. MAGARRO: Patches Magarro, 4 | 7 | MS. MAGARRO: Simply, in black and white, |
| 8 | Churchill Road. | 8 | is there a requirement similar to COA for us to have a |
| 9 | You mentioned "beneficial use" and that | 9 | certain number of senior living -- |
| 10 | you would get into that but I didn't really hear much | 10 | Mr. REGAN: No. No. |
| 11 | about it. Can you explain a little more about that? | 11 | MS. MAGARRO: Thank you. |
| 12 | MR. McELWEE: Assisted living has been | 12 | That's -- that's an important note. |
| 13 | deemed a beneficial use by this state and, I think, | 13 | What was the other part of the question? |
| 14 | the federal government. It just means that, because | 14 | And just for clarification: You're |
| 15 | the average age of entry is 86 and the residents are | 15 | seeking a land use variance because it's currently |
| 16 | frail and they need some help, that townships, | 16 | zoned for what? |
| 17 | boroughs states, etc., have to give them special | 17 | MR. McELWEE: Single-family. |
| 18 | preferential treatment, if you will, because of the | 18 | MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Yay. |
| 19 | inherently beneficial to society. | 19 | MS. MAGARRO: And the numbers were very |
| 20 | MS. MAGARRO: Okay. And we were | 20 | small. They're currently zoned for, I think, 8,000 |
| 21 | discussing COA and affordable housing. Is there any | 21 | square feet. Is that correct? |
| 22 | requirement for elderly people in Old Tappan? | 22 | MS. PRICE: I don't -- I don't think Mr. |
| 23 | MR. McELWEE: I don't know if there's a | 23 | McElwee testified to that. |
| 24 | requirement for elderly people. That would be | 24 | MS. MAGARRO: Yes, you did. Yes, you |
| 25 | something that the borough attorney would -- | 25 | did. Yes, square footage. |
|  | 58 |  | 60 |
| 1 | MR. REGAN: The requirement is for | 1 | MR. REGAN: Square footage. |
| 2 | affordable units if there is a development like this. | 2 | MS. PRICE: Of this building. |
| 3 | MS. MAGARRO: No. My question is: With | 3 | MS. MAGARRO: I believe that it's |
| 4 | this beneficial use of assisted living senior housing, | 4 | currently zoned for about 8,000 square feet. Can you |
| 5 | is there a requirement for that similar to COA? | 5 | please confirm the size of the building that you're |
| 6 | MR. REGAN: It's an inherently beneficial | 6 | asking? |
| 7 | use, though, under zoning law. They have favored | 7 | MR. REGAN: He said the building was -- |
| 8 | status in connection with the D1 use variance | 8 | would be 80,000. |
| 9 | application. It's part of the positive criteria for | 9 | MS. MAGARRO: 8-0. |
| 10 | the D1 use variance. | 10 | MR. REGAN: 8-0. |
| 11 | MS. MAGARRO: Yes. But is there a | 11 | MS. MAGARRO: Not 8,000. |
| 2 | requirement? Is -- is -- for example, in a town of | 12 | MR. REGAN: No. 80, 80. |
| 13 | 6,000 people which has Sunrise and a current new | 13 | MS. MAGARRO: So ten times bigger than |
| 14 | project under way for Artis Senior Living, if there's | 14 | hat it's current. |
| 5 | 6,000 people in this town, is there really more need? | 15 | MR. REGAN: The size of the building he's |
| 16 | MR. McELWEE: Ma'am, I testified to the | 16 | proposing. |
| 7 | demographics and the competitors and why it thought ut | 17 | MS. MAGARRO: Right. |
| 18 | was appropriate are this use in this town based on the | 18 | MR. SKRABLE: And I think that the 8,000 |
| 19 | number of 45 to 64 year olds and based on the number | 19 | you're getting at about the max FAR we allow -- |
| 20 | of 74 to 86 year olds that are there now and in the | 20 | MS. MAGARRO: Correct. |
| 21 | year 2026 and the Silver Tsunami and the Baby Boomers | 21 | MR. REGAN: -- for a single-family home. |
| 22 | so, in my professional opinion, having built four of | 22 | MS. MAGARRO: Right. It's currently |
| 23 | the eight competitors myself, I'm highly confident | 23 | zoned for about 8,000 and you're asking to build |
| 24 | that there's a market are in Old Tappan on this site | 24 | 80,000, correct? Yes, 80. |
| 25 | for this project. | 25 | MR. McELWEE: We are proposing 80,000 |


|  | 61 |  | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | square foot. | 1 | MR. McELWEE: As a point of reference, |
| 2 | MS. MAGARRO: Yes. Okay. | 2 | Mr. Chairman and audience, we have reached out four |
| 3 | MR. McELWEE: We are not the only -- if | 3 | times to Lake View. We met with them twice on Zoom |
| 4 | there was single-family homes on that, it wouldn't be | 4 | meetings and a local expediter has met with them and |
| 5 | 8,000 total square feet for three or four or five | 5 | we met earlier with this gentleman so we have reached |
| 6 | single-family homes or whatever could be built there | 6 | out to the Lake View. We understand and respect their |
| 7 | or townhouses. 8,000 was the floor-area ratio so the | 7 | position but we have reached out to them. |
| 8 | lot size of one single-family lot and there could be | 8 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: And I know our |
| 9 | many, many more than just one but the lot -- | 9 | engineer also brought the question up and issued his |
| 10 | MS. MAGARRO: Define "many, many more." | 10 | concerns. |
| 11 | Isn't it three? Isn't it three? | 11 | MR. McELWEE: Yes. |
| 12 | MR. McELWEE: Whatever is permitted by | 12 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay. So it is |
| 13 | the code. | 13 | being addressed. |
| 14 | MS. MAGARRO: I'm trying to determine -- | 14 | MR. McELWEE: Yes, sir. |
| 15 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Listen. When the | 15 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes, sir? Over |
| 16 | architect comes up, that's the gentleman you should | 16 | there. |
| 17 | address these questions to. | 17 | MR. VALENTINE: My name is Matthew |
| 18 | MS. MAGARRO: Okay. | 18 | Valentine. I live at 40 Leonard Drive. |
| 19 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Okay? | 19 | Is your expectation that the occupancy of |
| 20 | MS. MAGARRO: All right. But -- so -- so | 20 | the property would be 100 percent Old Tappan |
| 21 | the -- | 21 | residents? |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: There will be | 22 | MR. McELWEE: No. |
| 23 | plenty of time. | 23 | MR. VALENTINE: So you are saying it |
| 24 | MS. MAGARRO: So the building that you're | 24 | needs to be in Old Tappan because it benefits the town |
| 25 | proposing is 80,000 square feet? | 25 | but it's not going to -- it's going to be people from |
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| 1 | MR. McELWEE: Yes, ma'am. | 1 | outside the town? |
| 2 | MS. MAGARRO: All right. Thank you. | 2 | MR. McELWEE: I hope it was 100 percent |
| 3 | (Applause) | 3 | but he reason I presents 5-mile and 3-mile |
| 4 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes? | 4 | demographics in the ESRI report and in the NIC Map |
| 5 | MR. SINGER: My name is Jay Singer. I | 5 | data is that it's traditional in this country and |
| 6 | live at 119 Lake View Drive. I'm a member of the | 6 | Bergen County is probably more of a 3-mile radius but |
| 7 | Board of the Homeowner's Association of the Lake View | 7 | it's a 3-to-5-mile radius is where, typically, the 45- |
| 8 | in Old Tappan and our biggest concern or question is | 8 | to 64-year-old adult children live and they bring |
| 9 | your mitigation of stormwater runoff off of the | 9 | their mothers or fathers who either live here or live |
| 10 | norther part of the property. | 10 | in Philly or Florida back to where their children and |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: That -- that'll -- | 11 | grandchildren live. |
| 12 | that'll come in time but that's not a question for | 12 | MR. VALENTINE: Couldn't they just go to |
| 13 | this gentleman. | 13 | the one in Washington Township? |
| 14 | MR. REGAN: It's beyond the testimony he | 14 | MR. McELWEE: Absolutely. They can go |
| 15 | provided. | 15 | anywhere they want. What I'm telling is that we have |
| 16 | MR. SINGER: Okay. When will the civil | 16 | -- we're designing a state-of-the-art facility that |
| 17 | -- | 17 | will compete well with what's here already, especially |
| 18 | MS. PRICE: Next. | 18 | in Old Tappan with 25 -year-old Sunrise and with all |
| 19 | MR. SINGER: Oh. Okay. | 19 | the other communities. |
| 20 | MS. PRICE: He's up next. | 20 | MR. VALENTINE: Okay. So the eight |
| 21 | MR. SINGER: He's up next. | 21 | competitors in the area don't have enough occupancy to |
| 22 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: That'll come. | 22 | handle the 6,000 residents. |
| 23 | MR. SINGER: Can I come back up and ask | 23 | MR. McELWEE: Yeah. They all do, yes. |
|  | the question? | 24 | MR. VALENTINE: So if there's enough |
| 25 | MS. PRICE: Sure. |  | already, then why do we need to build more? |

MR. McELWEE: Silver Tsunami.
(Applause)
MR. McELWEE: Growing demographics. The market studies indicates the demand is there, not only now but in the future.

MR. VALENTINE: Thank you.
MR. McELWEE: You're welcome. Thank you for your question.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish to be heard?

MS. PILKINGTON: Hi. I'm Laura
Pilkington, 28 Country Squire Road.
So similar to the gentleman, Mr.
Valentine, that just spoke, we talked about
utilization of the facilities, you had mentioned that Sunrise is currently 77 beds; however, it has capacity --

MR. McELWEE: 77 units.
MS. PILKINGTON: -- 77 units but it was zoned at 110 ?

MR. BOYCE: No. I said that the
Certificate of Need that they applied for was for 110 beds. That is my recollection.

MS. PILKINGTON: Okay. How much -- how much of that 73 beds or 110 and is currently utilized.
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MR. BOYCE: Well, that's a great question because there was COVID occupancies, pre-COVID occupancies and, now, there's coming out of COVID. The market study that we have done shows that all the state communities that are currently operational have about an, I think, an 83 to 85 percent occupancy. It's typical for assisted living to run at 93 percent occupancy so that's why I'm comfortable with that. I would generally be 90, 93, 94 people in a community. No -- nobody runs at 100 percent occupancy typically because of the high-turnover part of the business but Sunrise is probably 85,90 percent.

MS. PILKINGTON: And that was my second
question, was what is the -- what is the average turnover of these facilities?

MR. McELWEE: Again, pre-COVID, I would say it's about 30 percent per year of the 86 year olds that are frail with turnover I can get a better figure for it but I think it it's about 30 percent.

MS. PILKINGTON: I have a question with regards to COA. We're currently at 225 and you're saying we're running at a deficit?

MR. SZABO: I can address that.
The settlement agreement that was
approved by the courts and the plan that was generated
by the.
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: We can't hear you.

MR. SZABO: The settlement agreement called for 271 prospective new units. We were able to meet 30 of those. We have an unmet need of 240 which means that the obligation is greater than out ability right now to provide for it so that there are other mechanisms that were put in place to make up for that difference, like an area-wide municipal obligation ordinance. For example, when applications come in for residential development, they are required to set aside as they come so that we capture those COA units for any future development and, whatever balance is left at the end of the third round, probably will carry over into the fourth unless there's some magic wand that makes it all go away through some miracle of legislation that's never going to come so the reality is that we are at a deficit because we took what's called a "vacant land adjustment" because, as we know, the town is fairly well developed and what's left is environmentally sensitive so we had to parcel out and identify those parcels that presented a reasonable opportunity for development. Pearson was one of those because they were vacating the premises and it was
available we negotiated that to meet our unmet need.
It didn't go into our perspective need number need number for realistic development potential which is an obligation you have to meet so we're kind of kicking it down the road and we're trying to capture as many development opportunities as they come forward. The borough is not under any obligation to build any of this.

MS. PILKINGTON: Okay.
MR. SZABO: We -- what is required is that we create an opportunity to provide for what's called our "fair share" under the Mount Laurel doctrine so the total number of units is 271 , we have an unmet need of 240.

MS. PILKINGTON: Okay.
MR. SZABO: This would go towards unmet need.

MS. PILKINGTON: Unmet need and, like you said, we're currently -- there's not a lot of vacant land left.

MR. SZABO: And the court and Fair Share Housing Council and others recognize that; however, the obligation didn't go away because -- and again, we went through this during a hearing. We presented the housing plan. The numbers are generated by -- as a
function of -- and gets apportioned out to municipalities based on certain demographic conditions and it's a formula and that's the number we came up with for Old Tappan but you're allowed to take a -for the vacant land, an adjustment saying we can't build all these right now. The problem being is that obligation doesn't go away. It stays and hangs over you until you satisfy it. Those are the COA regulations or the state regulations that the courts follow when we present our housing plan to the courts.

MS. PILKINGTON: So it can be kicked down the road indefinitely?

MR. SZABO: No. Because, at some point, there will be a reconning. We need to inform them of changes to the plan that are significant. It's an ongoing process that will never go away as Mr. Regan said. It's just a perpetual obligation that will be with us for a long, long time.

MS. PILKINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SZABO: And if Pearson had not been put into the vacant land, the state would have come back with a potentially much larger impact, correct?

MR. SKRABLE: That -- that is absolutely correct and -- and we went through that during the hearings with the housing plan. The idea was we
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negotiated favorable numbers for the borough; such that, we lowered -- we took lower development densities, for example, that mightn't have otherwise occurred had we have held out and litigated in court which, of course, is much higher densities and much more intense development of the borough so it becomes a negotiating process pursuant to the rules and that's how the numbers arise and Pearson could have redeveloped a much, much, much higher density but the borough proposed what they felt was a fair settlement.

MS. PILKINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
MR. MAMARY: John, ca.
N you just tell the residents what Pearson is
contributing towards our requirement and is that included in what -- I don't believe it is it -included in what we've already been able to produce so far, the 30 that you claim?

MR. ABODE: Yeah -- I -- that -- the numbers out of Pearson are going towards unmet need. We negotiated that because it, technically, wasn't a vacant parcel so we were able to get that off of our unmet need. I'd have to look up the number so it could be exact and I can do that in about two minutes.

MR. MAMARY: Okay. But I -- I -- I was under the impression that we were -- we were required
or we allocated 40 units for that.

MR. SZABO: Yes. I can confirm that.
MR. MAMARY: 40 units, would that come off the 21 --

MR. SZABO: Yes.
MR. MAMARY: -- the 230 number?
MR. SZABO: It would come of the unmet need of 240.

MR. MAMARY: 240. So we might be down to 200 at this point?

MR. SZABO: Correct.
MR. MAMARY: Okay. And the 271 was a negotiated settlement we actually determined to be in our best interest to accept the 271 and that was -that was, supposedly, a good deal, correct. I mean -I mean -- so we had 200 more units that we're required to build in our town.

MR. SZABO: Let's put it in context.
MR. MAMARY: Right.
MR. SZABO: The risk of higher numbers --
MR. MAMARY: Right.
MR. SZABO: That was edited in our discussions with the court and Fair Share and that's where the negotiations came in.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes, ma'am?

Thank you. I have a lot of questions.
I'm sorry. I have quite a few questions. I want to make sure I ask them in the proper order.

I will say, Joe, who you said makes all those promises, he didn't -- he promised to call me back after Labor Day. He never did.

MS. PRICE: I would like to ask first --
MR. McELWEE: For the record, we did
speak a while ago, one on one, you and I, called you up directly out of courtesy so I did speak to you.

MS. FONOROW: Right. Before Labor Day and --

MR. McELWEE: I don't remember the Labor Day thing but I did --

MS. FONOROW: Yeah. It was before Labor Day. You said "I'll call you after Labor Day." I said, "Okay. No problem."

MR. McELWEE: Okay. I apologize.
MS. FONOROW: That's the last
communication I've had at all. There was no follow up.

Well, first off, the original plans
submitted, they -- they did not include keeping the
stone house. The driveway isn't different. I have a note what the original plans look like.

At what point did you change the plans
and why did you change them?
MR. McELWEE: We realized the historic significance of the house and we addressed them.

MS. FONOROW: Okay. The head of the Bergen County Historical Society was there today.
They are looking at it so it seems they might have a
difference of opinion as far as their experience --
MR. REGAN: Ma'am, a question.
MS. FONOROW: Sorry.
MS. PRICE: They were on our property
today?
MS. FONOROW: They were outside.
MS. PRICE: But you know -- you have personal knowledge that they were on the property?

MS. FONOROW: I spoke to the gentleman. There was reporter --

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Don't say that. What are these questions? Be quiet.

MS. FONOROW: Because I -- I'm just repeating a conversation. I'm reading -- repeating a conversation. It was on the news tonight.

When did you also -- when did you add --
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and correct me if I'm wrong. When did you add this -the COA low income? Was this always the original plan.

MR. McELWEE: It's part of any applications in the Department of Health.

MS. FONOROW: Okay. Wanted to be clear on that.

And just going back to moving the
building, on the plans -- on the new plans that I
looked at comparing to the old plans, it looks like
the stone house and, if you go to Wikipedia and go to Old Tappan, you see a picture of the stone house.

This historic people there today -- the original section looks like it's around a third maybe, not half, but then the other -- there's a middle section that over a hundred years old plus and then another section.

It doesn't look -- I mean, has this been measured --

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Question?
MR. REGAN: Question, I feed a question.
MS. FONOROW: Has it been measured? As far as moving that hospitalization, would it fit
between the barn and the road?
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: The gentleman said
he was going to get us that information.
MS. FONOROW: Okay. Okay.
Then, my other question is: You're
saying that -- we all want places for our seniors to
live, especially when you're older. Most of them move to Florida when they're that age if you can so who is this -- I'd like to know: What is the benefit if you're saying these are people that are old and frail? I know you're saying you are assuming they are parents of people that live in this community. There's been a lot of statistics and work done. There's at least a dozen assisted livings. CareOne is under a major expansion.

MR. REGAN: Can you ask a question?
MS. FONOROW: I'd like to know where you got -- well, I'd like to know -- well, you weren't involved -- were you involved with the Artis Senior Living project or, no, just Ms. Price.

MR. McELWEE: That's a different company.
MS. FONOROW: Ms. Price was. Okay. So she's familiar with getting things done here.

MS. PRICE: Is there a question, Mr.
Regan?
MR. REGAN: I hope so.
MS. FONOROW: I guess the question -- I'm
sorry.
I want to get an idea how many 86 year olds are currently living in Old Tappan.

MR. McELWEE: I don't have that information. I testified to how many are in a 5-mile radius.

MS. FONOROW: Well, I'd like to -- how many residents actually do you think -- what are your monthly charges going to be?

MR. McELWEE: That has nothing to do with the land use application.

MS. FONOROW: It doesn't? Okay. CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Ma'am, keep it limited to his testimony please or I'm going to have to ask you to sit down.

MS. FONOROW: Okay.
MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: So the question
is: What is the benefit?
MS. FONOROW: What's the benefit since it appeals to just such a small percentage of the population?

MR. McELWEE: Well, there are 45 to 64 year olds in Old Tappan. Presumably, just like every other town, they want the best for their mother or father for the last 23 months of their life. We are
going to be building a state-of-the-art -- excuse me--
we are going to be building a state-of-the-art
community that has not been built here for over 25
years since the Sunrise of Old Tappan was developed.
The benefit to the town will be this community, the COA units, the Medicaid beds, a facility that will allow people to stay in Old Tappan as the Silver Tsunami comes in rather than going to Harrington Park or Cresskill or some of the other communities so will they all be from Old Tappan? Of course not but they'll be from a 3 - to 5 -mile radius that's our experience.

MS. FONOROW: My last -- my last.
MR. McELWEE: And the property taxes that we'll be paying, that'd be the other benefit for the borough.

MS. FONOROW: Okay. I can't address that without a question but, the size, would you say, in your opinion -- and you may be the wrong person to ask -- would you say that the size -- well, would you agree that, with your change in trying to appease the public on donating the land, a portion of the land to the town, preserving supposedly the wetlands and it is a vernal pool and, the stone house, would you say that you've changed the footprint really at all of what was
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originally proposed?
MR. McELWEE: Of course we have. We pushed it further back and 60 feet off the road to allow for the proper circulation of the driveway coming up and to allow, you know, the proper setbacks from the church and the proper setbacks from the rear.

MS. FONOROW: Well, have you lowered the sighs of the building? I know it's like 4,000 feet, not even, but have you taken into consideration -- the variances you're looking for, basically, stretch, it -- it looks like and I'm asking you if it does stretch on every single bit of land that's even able to be developed.

MR. McELWEE: That's not true. I think --

MS. FONOROW: I'm asking the question.
MR. McELWEE: I just said it's not true.
MS. PRICE: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yeah?
MS. PRICE: This is only witness one. We have our entire case to be put in on the issue of the variances and the use of the land and each expert's --

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I agree with you. Ma'am, I'm going to have to --
MS. FONOROW: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: -- you know -when the time comes up, you can ask those questions.

MR. McELWEE: I would like to give you a little bit of information. I think you're getting: Is this an appropriate size parcel for this building?

MS. FONOROW: That is -- that is one of my questions.

MR. McELWEE: Well, we could talk about 1.8 acres in Mountainside, 3.83 in Norwood. We can talk about 3 acres in Morris Plains. I can give you a lot of example.

MS. FONOROW: Well, I'm talking about Old
Tappan. I don't know the population of those towns or
I don't know anything about those towns.
MR. McELWEE: They are all right around the exact same size and they're all right around that 3- to 4-acre parcels.

MS. FONOROW: Okay. Then maybe saying --
can you tell me the benefit that that's brought to
these towns and the community that you know of now that you've built it?

MR. McELWEE: I think you have to realize, the family members of 45 to 64 year olds who live in this town, they are very happy that they can put their mothers and fathers in our communities;
otherwise, they would be just vacant buildings out there but people have come to us at high occupancy rates because they like services and the product that we're delivering. That's the best I can answer.

MS. FONOROW: Can I ask you if you think there's a need in this town to have three assisted livings --

MR. McELWEE: I think there's a need --
MS. FONOROW: In a quarter-mile radius?
(Applause)
MR. McELWEE: First of all, there's only
right now. Old Tappan is one, right?
MS. PRICE: Artis is approved.
MR. McELWEE: Okay. It's approved. It is a different product. I've already testified to that. Sunrise is 25 years old. Do I think there's room for one in Old Tappan right now? Absolutely. A new product that's state of the art --

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: No.
MS. FONOROW: Can I ask you if you live in Old Tappan?

MS. PRICE: He's already testified --
(Applause)
MR. NARANG: Good evening. I'm from the spillover crowd over there so forgive me if any
questions were --
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Name and address?
MR. NARANG: Narendra Narang, 1064
Westwood Avenue, and I do promise to be brief and deliberate.

So you talked about the construction
buildings being moved, etc., a lot of engineering
activity on the property and I'm going to assume and,
again, I say this deliberately: None of this is going
to be nocturnal, right? This is going to happen during the day so, given the proximity to the school, how do you account for the potential noise, the vibration? That would be my first question.

MR. McELWEE: I can answer that one for you. We will comply with all township standards for construction hours, whatever that is, if it's 8:00 to 5:00 or 9:00 to 6:00. Whatever they are, we will comply with that.

School children, I don't know what time exactly the buses come there, 7:00, 7:30 but we will --

MR. NARANG: It's published on the school website.

MR. McELWEE: Okay. We will work with the school district and the borough to have the
appropriate construction hours that meet all the guidelines that the borough has.

As far as noise? Yes there's going to be noise for several months. I can't avoid that. I couldn't avoid that if there was three single-family houses or a school or a church or a daycare center or any of the permitted uses, by conditional or other uses, that could go on that property. I can't prevent noise from happening on a construction site. Is it a nuisance? Yes. Do we hope to get it over with as soon as possible? Yes. And we will work with the town to make sure we're doing it properly.

MR. NARANG: Question two: Again, I am in no way, shape of form, an expert in this a area but upon some reading, I came across the fact that, as this was written into an act, to qualify -- and again, correct my misstatement, if needed to, but to qualify as an assisted living or a senior living facility, there were -- there would also be, the way the residents are billed, they're billed directly from the healthcare providers will also be performing services on site at that facility. Has that been accounted for?

MR. McELWEE: Let me tell you how it works: There are we would hire a third-party
management company, in this case, Chelsea Senior Living, same one that's managing our Washington Township property. They hire the staff. They bill the resident directly so it stays -- it's not an off-site home health agency; it's all internal. The operating company deals directly with the resident or the resident's family.

MR. NARANG: That's not the question I'm asking, though. The question I'm asking is, again --
and this is public information. This is as it was written in the act and this was written deliberately, again, because of -- would you mean this is -- you know, there's financial gain involved here, right?
It's an assisted living center. There's a business to be made out of it.

In order to qualify for deductions and depreciations, the way it was written into as an act was certain -- so the residents that would, well, reside there would be offered -- so your -- your manage company isn't going to offer them healthcare services.

MR. McELWEE: Yeah. They are.
MR. NARANG: They're going to offer -they're going to get that directly from the healthcare providers. company hires their staff to be the care managers, the RNs, the LPNs, that take care of the residents in the building.

MR. NARANG: And they're all -- they're all going to be qualified doctors and --

MR. McELWEE: I testified as to who the staff was, RNs, LPNs, medication, resident aides, dietary, executive directors. I testified already to that.

MR. NARANG: But not doctors?
MR. McELWEE: No.
MR. NARANG: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes, sir, in the back?

MR. HOWE: Hi. My name is Bill Howe, 42 Forest Avenue.

Okay. Thank you. Three quick questions: How many of those units will be affordable units since we are concerned about our obligations here?

MR. McELWEE: Five units, ten beds, ten COA units so ten towards the obligation or future obligation.

MR. HOWE: Thank you.
The second thing you mentioned is, on

|  | 85 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | average, which, obviously, can be higher or lower, |
| 2 | about two calls per week with your organization, is |
| 3 | that correct, for an ambulance? |
| 4 | MR. McELWEE: Correct. |
| 5 | MR. HOWE: So right now, we are about 568 |
| 6 | so you are proposing about another hundred or so calls |
| 7 | per -- per year on the ambulance corps? |
| 8 | MR. McELWEE: If your math is correct, |
| 9 | yes. I testified -- |
| 10 | MR. HOWE: Well, I think 2 times 52 is |
| 11 | pretty close to a hundred, yeah. I'm a math teacher |
| 12 | so I get it. |
| 13 | MR. McELWEE: I'm thinking it's, |
| 14 | approximately, 104. Again, Old Tappan may have |
| 15 | different experiences at Sunrise. Every community has |
| 16 | different experiences depending on the frailty, the |
| 17 | amount of services they provide. Some communities, |
| 18 | like Harrington Park, are independent living and |
| 19 | assisted living so it's a different product. Memory |
| 20 | care, maybe not so much. Nursing home is a different |
| 21 | setup. I think about 104 was -- is a good estimate. |
| 22 | MR. HOWE: Okay. Well, I guess our |
| 23 | concern, to make it into a question, is that we're |
| 24 | worried about spreading ourselves too thin and you |
| 25 | indicated you might be able to help. I don't think |

86
it's necessary as much as the money but maybe -- can you find a way to get up some more volunteers?

That was my question. Thank you.
MR. McELWEE: I think that's an excellent point and I think that there's a way for -- we've done this with other townships in Pennsylvania and New Jersey that -- sponsoring a fair if will you for volunteers to come and hear from other professionals like yourself.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: No.
MR. HOWE: We'd like to see that.
MR. McELWEE: We're more than happy to do
that.
MR. HOWE: And if I may, my final
question mentioned about 8,000 feet and I don't know exactly what you mentioned.

MR. REGAN: That's four questions. You said there was three.

MR. HOWE: I'm sorry.
MR. REGAN: You're a math teacher.
MR. HOWE: I lost a finger.
We did have a comment here earlier about 8,000 square foot and I don't exactly your -- how you do your calculations about the size of a house. Does that include the tarmac around the house and
impermeable areas or just the house itself?
MR. McELWEE: That's impervious coverage.
MR. SZABO: That was a floor area before.
MS. PRICE: That's floor area.
MR. REGAN: Floor area.
MR. HOWE: So 8000-square-foot home floor area. Okay. So it doesn't include the outside so, if I may, how much land do you think will be covered of impermeable? Because I'm also on the Environmental Commission.

MR. McELWEE: Will tell you the exact percentage of the amount of impervious and floor-area ratio.

MR. HOWE: That's it. Thank you.
MR. McELWEE: You're welcome.
(Applause)
MR. MAMARY: I just whispered something to our -- with my Board attorney and I asked him if we were able to increase the amount COA units that they were going to be proposing from more than ten and --

MR. REGAN: The maximum is ten.
MR. MAMARY: The maximum is ten that we could squeeze out of that, right? So I would like 20.

MR. REGAN: That's the number that's required. Could he volunteer 20?

MR. McELWEE: You know what? If I was an independent living community, yes, but there's so much care involved in this model that that would be impossible to do.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish to be heard?

Yes, sir?
MR. LEVINE: My name is Bob Levine. I live at 3 Kline Court, Old Tappan.

I have a question for you: I know you testified that you think this is going to be really good for our community for all the reasons you stated with all the elderly people and all the younger people putting their parents in and I know this is got to be a for-profit organization, correct.

MR. McELWEE: Yes, sir.
MR. LEVINE: So you see some definite benefit. This is going to be great for our town but can you tell me, honestly, do you think people in this audience think this is going to be great for our town?

MR. REGAN: That's not a relevant question.

MS. PRICE: No. No.
(Applause)
MR. LEVINE: Irrelevant? I don't think
it's irrelevant, no. It's right in the wheelhouse. I asked him a personal question.

MS. PRICE: Mr. Regan --
MR. LEVINE: He testified to the
benefits. I'm asking him where he came up with that decision.

MS. PRICE: This witness is not qualified to testify to everyone's benefits in this room just like you're not qualified.

MR. LEVINE: I asked him -- I asked him his interpretation. Does he think that it's good for the rest of the people in this room thought it was good? I didn't ask him if all of the people in the room thought it was good.

MR. McELWEE: I'll answer you this way: If people in this room are 45 to 64 years old and they want live -- if there are any of you in that demographic and you want the best place for your mother or grandmother, then I think we're going to be providing an excellent option. If you are a mother of small children at one of the schools and you have an opportunity for volunteer work at an assisted living community or the church next door or the public school across the street, I think it's an excellent opportunity for mothers who have small children here.
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For the 75-year-olds or 85-year-olds who are frail, I think this is a tremendous opportunity for those.
Does everybody meet that demographic in this room? No.

MR. LEVINE: You didn't answer my question.
(Applause)
MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: This is Town Hall.
MS. PRICE: Mr. Chairman, we are also making a record with the transcriber so I understand everyone has an opinion and clapping and the whatnot but it's hard to get the transcript, which everybody wants to have, down so I would just ask --

MR. REGAN: Everyone will have an
opportunity.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Right. You know, everyone will have an opportunity. Maybe we'll have slightly different guidelines for the next open session.

Yes, ma'am?
MS. KING: I have a question about the --
MR. REGAN: Name and address, please?
MS. KING: I'm sorry.
Wendy King, Dearborn Drive.
I have a question about the COA
requirements. Can't we take -- there's a lot of townhouses and a lot of condo type places and houses that have already been built. Why can't we re-purpose some of those as people move out to meet the requirements?

MR. REGAN: The market rating, it's -when your property is that way...

MS. KING: But it could be a way for us to do it somehow instead of just tearing down trees. It's, like, the requirement doesn't say you have to build new, right?

MR. SZABO: That has to be funded. There's no validity to it. You can't go to somebody who owns million-dollar townhouse that has going to take affordable to a family that makes 20,000 a year. There's no feasible way to do that. It's done through new construction and mostly and rehab and we a rehab program.

MS. KING: So we can take existing property that's already developed and -- like an older house or something like that?

MR. SZABO: And the plans calls for an effective apartment program. That doesn't get is anywhere near the unmet need. We have a rehab obligation of seven. The rehab program that's being
implemented, it doesn't get us anywhere near 204 units so it's all -- it's done through redevelopment like we did on Pearson. The townhouses that are being built on Central, that had an obligation so new things coming on line are obligated to provide affordable housing.

MS. KING: So how many affordable houses do we get on Central?

MR. SZABO: Six because it's capped at a percentage. If it's for sale, 20 percent, whatever is being proposed, a condo or a townhouse or a development that's for sale is 20 percent, if it's a residential, 15 percent. This is treated differently under the law, because it is an assisted living facility, it's 10 percent of the beds and each bed actually qualifies as a unit towards our obligation.

MR. REGAN: And there'll be Medicaid beds.

MR. KING: Is there an obligation in COA for Medicaid beds?

MR. REGAN: Has an obligation in this type of development.

MR. SZABO: It's 10 percent and we get credits for that.

MS. KING: I would just like to re-
purpose existing land that's been torn down.
(Applause)
MR. ELLER: Can I make a quick comment, Bob?

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: When we're done, you can make your comments.

MS. FAJARDO: Good evening. Henry Fajardo, 10 Stewart Court, Old Tappan.

You keep referring to that demographic, 45 to 60, I think?

MR. McELWEE: 45 to 64.
MR. FAJARDO: 45 to 64 and how we love our parents and, you know, we'd do anything for them, right, especially in their frail state and, you know, as they get older, right?

I recently lost my father. My mother is 81, I think. I have her in Northvale and you know what? I'm all for this if I can afford it. If I
can't afford it, what's -- for me, there's no benefit, right? So again, based on your extensive experience, I think the question was asked: How much do you charge or what would it be? And somebody said you can't answer that or -- I mean, just approximately. Because you've been doing this for so long, how much would it cost for a guy like me to put my mother in
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there?
MR. McELWEE: Well, I'll answer that a couple ways. We are providing the ten Medicaid beds so your mother -- I think that the state reimburses us $\$ 124.70$ a day.

There are other semi-private
accommodations to answer one of the questions up here.
The semi-private accommodations bring the cost down to about 65 percent of a private room rate.

The other private rooms would be competitive with what the market is at the time. If we're successful with this Board, we may go through a year of construction. Whatever the market is at that time, we'll be competitive with all the other newer facilities.

MR. FAJARDO: Well, what's the market today? I mean, just -- just -- just an opinion. I mean, let's -- I mean, because I'll -- I'll be the first one to fight with you and for this complex if I -- you know, if I have the possibility that my mother will be able to live there, right? She'll be ten minutes from the grandchildren. She'll be within walking distance to -- she'll be across the street from the community center which -- which is right here. I mean it is a great benefit, right? But if
it's, you know, $\$ 20,000$ a month out of my pocket, I don't have that. Yeah, I don't know so I'm asking the question again.

You're the one with the experience. I don't have experience in this. I just dealt with my father six months ago and now I need to look at my mother so...

MR. McELWEE: I can tell you that Sunrise of Old Tappan charges for assisted living, not memory care, charges about $\$ 200$ a day times 365 days, 6 grand, 65 , whatever that is per year -- per month.

MR. FAJARDO: 6,000 per month?
MR. McELWEE: Right. That's about -that's -- again, that is for a private. They also have semi-private which would be maybe 60 to 70 percent of that. They also have --

MR. SZABO: And the numbers include the care and the food.

MR. McELWEE: Yeah. They do they and there are different levels and -- so there's three levels. There's Medicaid which we testified to, about $\$ 124$ a day, zero to the resident zero to you, zero to your mother. Semi-private units could be 4 to 5,000 dollars depending on how new the facility is and what their staffing level is and there's other amenities.

I'm sure Harrington Park could be $\$ 10,000$ a month. It depends on the size of the unit. It could be 900 square feet. It could be 350 square feet. It could be semi-private. It could be Medicaid. The goal is to have a product for everybody who wants to come into that community and, for some people who come in at a high rate, the reason we have semi-private units, if they spend down their income and they want to stay in the community, they can come into a semi-private room or, if they are indigent, they can transition into a Medicaid bed so we try to have multiple levels of affordability.

MR. FAJARDO: Understood. Thank you.
And out of these ten Medicare beds, I
mean, what's -- granted, because you guys seem to have all the statistics. I mean, how many people would be applying for this? Because I assume you'd have to apply and meet certain criteria in order to get in so you have the demographics of all of the people in the 5 miles and, you know, it's -- it's great. I mean, I've learned so much from your -- from your testimony and I thank you for that.

But out of -- out of this demographic, again, we're talking about my mother, not even my father because he's -- he's not with us anymore, I
mean, what kind of hurdles and -- and who is she in competition with? Is she -- is she going to be one of twelve people, you know, to get one of these beds or is she going to be one of 4,000 people? Because the tsunami is coming, right?

MR. McELWEE: I'll tell you --
MR. FAJARDO: That's like the new word, "tsunami," COVID tsunami, this tsunami. It's all about tsunamis now, right?

MR. McELWEE: The tsunami is coming but the tsunami doesn't come with ten people day one when you open up your doors, unfortunately. We wish all ten Medicaid residents go in here. Now, it normally takes about 18 to 24 months to completely lease up a community because of the frailty, because of the turnover that I testified to so we don't have ten Medicaid residents coming in day one. You don't have ten semi-private residents coming in day one. It's normally over a 24-month period so I think your mother would be fine. She'd have the -- the first shot at. You'll be the first one to see the marketing trailer and, you know, local residents, obviously, have a better view of the marketing efforts than people that are 4 -- 3,4 or 5 miles away.

MR. FAJARDO: Any idea how many people
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are out there vying for these, you know, free beds or low-income beds?

MR. McELWEE: I'm sure there's a lot, unfortunately.

MR. FAJARDO: I'm sure there's a lot. Okay.

All right. I mean, again, I -- I -- I -unless I can afford it, I see no benefit for this in my town and I'm --
(Applause)
MR. FAJARDO: -- and I'm a pretty highnet individual but I don't see this -- again, if -the semi-privates and -- and we're going by the 25-year-old complexes, 45 to 6,000 and, you know, we're giving away all this land, we're paying taxes, we're doing all this, you know, wonderful things, I mean, at the end of the day, somebody has to pay for this, right? So -- so I'm going to have to guess that, you know, on an average of even 6 to 8,000 a month which, I'm guessing, is probably more but again I don't know. I can't afford that, right? So 100,000 a month, you know, net after taxes, right?

MR. MAMARY: A hundred a year.
MR. FAJARDO: Yeah. I'm not the math teacher but yeah.

MR. MAMARY: I happen to be a CPA.
MR. McELWEE: Let me just follow up briefly if I can. People get sticker shock when they hear about assisted living, $6,000,8,000,10,000$. If you looked at what your mother currently spends in a single-family house, condo or apartment and all the maintenance she has and property taxes she has and the food that she has, pretty soon, you approach what an assisted living residence would cost. I think you have to dive into all the expenses of what you'd be paying for your mother to find out whether this was affordable.

MR. FAJARDO: Well, I paying everything for my mother and I can tell you, a mortgage that I have out under my name for her and all her living expenses is well under 4,000 per month, well under 4,000.

MR. McELWEE: She's got a great son.
MR. FAJARDO: Yeah. But again, I can't afford what you're proposing so, for me, this is of no benefit.

Thank you.
MR. McELWEE: The building is not for everybody. Hopefully, you know, it will be for you.

MR. FAJARDO: Maybe I'll get a scratcher 100 tomorrow.

MR. MAMARY: I have a question. This is important and about the affordability. Do you provide enough services to some of your residents to qualify them as a medical expense and, therefore, a tax deduction of the full amount that they pay to your organization?

MR. McELWEE: That's a tremendous question. I'm going to get the finite answer for you next time but there is a portion of the level of care, maybe Level of Care 3 or 4 or 5, where -- or ambulance transfers. There's a lot of things that do qualify for reimbursement or tax benefits for assisted living.

MR. MAMARY: Well, that would make that young man's mother's residency affordable if he could deduct the $\$ 90,000$ as a medical expense.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Memory care, maybe.

MR. MAMARY: No. You can do that. Nope. You can do that. I do do that.

MR. POCOVNICU: My name is Adrian Pocovnicu. That's 226 Orangeburgh Road.

So regarding the benefit, right, I know you got the statistics but was there a survey done in the town to the residents if they really see a benefit

|  | 101 |  | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | or not? Did you? | 1 | MR. McELWEE: I have no idea. |
| 2 | MR. McELWEE: Do you think that we | 2 | MR. POCOVNICU: So -- so you don't know |
| 3 | benefit? |  | if there's going to be a benefit to residents of Old |
| 4 | MR. POCOVNICU: No. Did you do a survey | 4 | Tappan. It could be but you don't know there is a |
| 5 | in the town to ask the residents if they think it's a |  | benefit. |
| 6 | benefit? The 45 to 65 years old, did you send a | 6 | MR. McELWEE: Of course, it's a benefit. |
| 7 | survey out, "Are you 45 to 60 | 7 | People are living in there so it's benefiting some -- |
| 8 | MR. McELWEE: We don't have to do that. | 8 | enefiting |
| 9 | MR. POCOVNICU: I know; I know. But you | 9 | MR. POCOVNICU: But not -- not the |
| 10 | want -- you're s | 10 | of Old Tappan. |
| 11 | MR. McELWEE: Yeah. | 11 | MS. PRICE: I think he's answered. I |
| 12 | MR. POCOVNICU: But why didn't you | 12 | think he's answered the question. |
| 13 | confirm there's a benefit? | 13 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I don't think he |
| 14 | MR. McELWEE: Well, we know there's a | 14 | would be investing in buildings if there wasn't a |
| 15 | benefit because we know that, within a 1-, 3- and 5- | 15 | benefit or profit. |
|  | mile radius, we know how many -- | 16 | MR. POCOVNICU: No. The question is: Is |
| 17 | MR. POCOVNICU: I know so is it a benefit | 17 | the benefit to the residents? |
|  | to the residents of Old Tappan? | 18 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Ladies and |
| 19 | MR. McELWEE: Of course. | 19 | gentlemen, listen, we need to take a break. Okay? In |
| 20 | MR. POCOVNICU: There are -- supposedly | 20 | ten minutes, we will reopen and people that still have |
|  | because I don't know. They are only 6,000 people. A | 21 | questions can ask questions but, at the rate we're |
| 22 | survey, I'm sure, cost less than a day of consulting | 22 | going with this hearing, we'll be here a year. Okay? |
| 23 | her, right? A survey wouldn't have cost you any of | 23 | Ten minutes. |
| 24 | that. You haven't done a survey to 6,000 people and | 24 | Recess taken) |
| 25 | see what they're saying, is there a benefit to them or | 25 | CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: I'll call the |
|  | 102 |  | 104 |
| 1 | not, and that would have answered your |  | eeting to order, please. |
| 2 | MR. McELWEE: I don't think | 2 | Okay. We ready to reconvene the meeting? |
| 3 | MR. POCOVNICU: Your -- your -- your | 3 | There was still a few people that had questions. |
| 4 | swer is you don't have to -- | 4 | es, sir? Come up, please. |
| 5 | MS. PRICE: No. | 5 | MR. CARPENTER: Hi. My name is Kurt |
| 6 | MR. POCOVNICU: -- so the reason -- the | 6 | Carpenter. I'm at 168 Central Avenue, Old Tappan. |
|  | reason why you don't have is because you didn't have | 7 | I had a couple of quick questions. You |
| 8 | to; you didn't do it, right? Because you don't care | 8 | d mentioned earlier about shift schedule or what |
|  | if there is a benefit or not. |  | have you, something around 11:00 and 3:00. The -- |
| 10 | MR. McELWEE: I'm telling you that I've | 10 | this may be even better for the traffic engineer but, |
|  | developed 150 of these in 150 different communities | 11 | at 3:00, it kind of coincides with the school and then |
|  | and I have use the same demographic criteria every | 12 | letting out. Just like the Artis project, we had |
| 13 | time and I can tell, by looking at the 21,000 | 13 | asked them, when they had mentioned that, if their |
|  | qualified caregivers in Old Tappan and the 7700 | 14 | schedule is going to be like that too. If the |
| 15 | seniors that there's enough demand in a 3- to 5-mile | 15 | applicant could perhaps look at shifting that so that |
|  | radius to make this a successful community | 16 | it would be less of a conflict, as it were, on the |
| 17 | I also know that I built the Sunrise 25 | 17 | roadway? Is that something that you would consider? |
| 18 | years ago and I know that our building would be | 18 | MR. McELWEE: We just had that same |
| 19 | completely different than that building so it's a | 19 | uation in Scotch Plains and we worked with the |
| 20 | different niche, a different product also. | 20 | wn, the school district and our traffic engineer to |
| 21 | MR. POCOVNICU: So how many residents of | 21 | make sure that our shifts were a half an hour before |
| 22 | Old Tappan are using the Sunrise? | 22 | any rush hour conflicts with the -- |
| 23 | MR. McELWEE: How many are from Old | 23 | MR. CARPENTER: Awesome. That -- that |
| 24 | Tappan? | 24 | would be very helpful. |
| 25 | MR. POCOVNICU: Yeah. | 25 | And then, the last question I have was |

really getting to what is the compelling argument, in your perspective, why the Board should rezone, should grant the zoning variance, specifically, which is their purview here, from residential? I believe it's zoned residential?

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
MR. CARPENTER: Okay. From residential to commercial or a mixed -- mixed residential?

MR. McELWEE: It's a residential. If -assisted living residents, by the State of New Jersey, classifies this as a residential use.

MR. CARPENTER: I see. Okay.
So apart from the very beneficial -which would be nice -- COA credits which would be great, what would be the benefit to the town to consider changing it to allow this specific use which is different than, like, say, a single-family home or even subdividing the property into, like, three lots, non -- in the non-environmentally sensitive area? So like, three nice houses of 785,000 or whatever you said the average value is, three properties on this property now as opposed to a hundred -- a hundred people at 80,000, what is that compelling argument for granting that one variance?

MR. McELWEE: Okay. That was my Slide
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Number 1.
MR. CARPENTER: But I didn't hear it, though. I heard a lot of statistics, the beneficial use but I didn't hear the compelling argument besides COA, besides COA.

MR. McELWEE: There's the COA use --
MR. CARPENTER: Besides COA, besides COA.
MR. McELWEE: Providing -- donating 2.12
acres to the town to preserve the wetlands and vernal ponds on the environmentally sensitive areas. To move
what's presumably and obviously a very historic structure on the property further west on the property
so that the town and the school children or whatever could enjoy the use of that property and the history that comes with it. The 45 to 64 year olds in this town and other towns would have the first state-of-the- art community built in 25 years notwithstanding Artis which is a different product completely.

MR. CARPENTER: Totally.
MR. McELWEE: And a lot of property
taxes, not putting any children in the school and having one of the lowest traffic generators of any land use that is out there with a client who just told you would work around a school schedule and regarding
-- again, this is a traffic expert testimony that will come.

MR. CARPENTER: Sure.
MR. McELWEE: Three single-family houses generate, approximately, ten trips a day per house. They're the ones going out at rush hour to school, to the Dunkin' Donuts, to church, to synagogue so it's not a net gain that we're just putting 83 units and -and that's it. There's 30 -or-so of this use. Now, I don't know whether a church or a school or daycare center or some of the other permitted uses would come and have even more traffic, very likely that they would have more than three single-family houses but even three single-family houses is going to be 30 trips a day --

MR. CARPENTER: Sure.
MR. McELWEE: -- at rush hour.
MR. CARPENTER: And so your facility will also be providing shuttle bus services for the residents to Bi -State and Target and Closter and the path?

MR. McELWEE: That is my final point. I forgot about that.

MR. CARPENTER: Okay. Great. Have at it.

MR. McELWEE: That shopping center could use a shot in the arm.

MR. CARPENTER: Absolutely.
MR. McELWEE: To have 45- to 64-year-old adult children going there before they visit their mother or father, have caregivers and all the staff in there shopping at that shopping center on a daily basis, residents going there with their adult children, I think that this particular use and it's adjacent seat to that center may be just what that center needs right now. It's certainly not going to hurt it; it can only help it and it may spur on other uses to go in there that would be complementary to that we're doing, physical therapy, home health agency whatever to get a shot in the arm for that -- for that -- Bi-State, is it called?

MR. CARPENTER: Yeah. So -- but aside from the uses that you just said, the town should consider that much heavier use than and, arguably, a single, very wealthy person purchasing it or three other well-to-do people purchasing it and building houses there you think that level is going to be more appropriate for the town and would -- which would reason that?

MR. McELWEE: This is my application.

MR. CARPENTER: Sure.
MR. McELWEE: I'll not applying for three single-family houses so I don't want to compare but I will say that this is an appropriate for our -- in my opinion, for this. The Board will decide whether I'm right or wrong. The Board will decide whether I met the criteria for an inherently beneficial use for state and federal requirements and, if they decide that, "Hey. You know what? This is overall, with all the things that Joe has just said, the benefits outweigh the negatives and I think that's what the Board is going to be looking at when they get to decision time and, if we're fortunate enough to get a decision, then we'll work with the town, the neighbors on all the other ordinances and construction and shift changes that are required.

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you for your application.

MR. McELWEE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes, ma'am?
MS. BAGER: Hi. Anna Bager, 6 Klein
Court, Old Tappan.
Thank you for your presentation. Quick question and maybe -- maybe the members of the Board have some insight on this but, if, in fact, health
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insurance and Medicaid comes through to cover 24-hour home care for seniors and that becomes a reality which it looks like we may be going in that direction, could, in fact, this building end up being empty in ten years?

MR. McELWEE: That's a tremendous question. People always say -- everybody wants their mother or grandmother --

MS. BAGER: Do you want me to repeat the question?

MR. McELWEE: You want me to do it for you?

MS. BAGER: Sure.
MR. McELWEE: The young lady is asking, if home healthcare becomes more prevalent with Medicaid and Medicare and other dollars coming into the healthcare system --

MS. BAGER: 24-hour home care being covered by insurance.

MR. McELWEE: -- wouldn't that take people out of assisted living residences? Well --

MS. BAGER: And could we, in fact, have a beautiful building that may or may not dramatically affect the landscape of this beautiful town that we live in and the environment --

MR. McELWEE: Great question.
MS. BAGER: -- that could be sitting empty as well as well as the many, many others that -because -- I mean, I just lost both my parents so, you know, it doesn't affect -- it's not going to benefit me. Okay? It's not going to benefit me, your building. I understand how, some people, it can.

We chose to take care of my mother in her home and there were rotation and, you know, we had temporary care at home and so on and it was great for her to die and live in the home that my father build in Holbrook, New Jersey. Okay?

So I'm still thinking, like, how many people would love the option of having their -- their parents be at home with 24 -hour care if that's what was necessary?

MR. McELWEE: It's a great question. Let me answer it for you. Assisted living exists today because people can't take care of their mothers at home. It used to be, 50 years ago, that a husband worked, the mother stayed home took care of their mother-in-law. Today, men and women have been working for 20, 30 years. Both people have jobs, both people are inaccessible a lot of times to take care of their mother or father. That's one --

MS. BAGER: Right. But that's -- we're talking about home healthcare agencies that will do that.

MR. McELWEE: I'm going to get to that.
MS. BAGER: Okay.
MR. McELWEE: The one thing you can't get in your home and the care you just described, 24 -hour around the clock, is much more expensive than assisted living. The one thing you cannot get in your home is the comradery and the personal care and the congregate -- congregate care, if you will, of having $50,60,80$, 100 people around you all day. Studies have been done that people live longer and are happier when they're interacting with other people. You can't do that in a single-family home. That's not enough for a lot of people. It might have been right for your mom. For most people in the country, the best environment for them is with other people and other staff members around. My father --

MS. BAGER: No. I'm in healthcare myself so I do understand socialization and -- for seniors and people with disabilities and so on but I think that -- that the, you know -- if, in fact, your insurance is going to cover a large portion of this or Medicaid is going to cover a large portion of it, then
it could, in fact, be less expensive than going into an assisted living, you know, situation.

MR. McELWEE: When you're 86 and frail, which is what an assisted living residence is, that's a lot --

MS. BAGER: That's exactly how old my mother was, 86.

MR. McELWEE: Okay. She's 86 and frail. It's very difficult for the adult children, 45 to 64 year olds who are trying to take care of their mother. That's very difficult on a daily basis when you have your own children, your other family members to take care of. That's why people choose assisted living because they know, if they go to a quality company that their mother will be in a congregate setting with healthcare professionals nearby in case there is a problem. People go to assisted living so they can get three meals a day and linen service and housekeeping and all the other activities of daily living that they need when they are 86 and frail. You can't always get that in a home or, at some point in your home, regardless of how much home health you have, you're still missing that social component that studies say keeps residents living longer and happier.

MR. BEDIAN: I understand that too but in
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your earlier presentation, you did mention that, you know, this is, like, the family-oriented experience and that you're near the grandchildren and your -- so you know, like, in my situation, all of the four children and my siblings and I, we live in the area so we were, you know -- we looked at assisted living.

MS. PRICE: Is there a question, Mr.
Chairman?
MS. BAGER: We looked at that so I'm just saying that isn't it possible that, given that option to be -- for insurance to cover that, that it could possibly?

MR. McELWEE: No. And if you look at the number of beds that they say will be in deficit, I talked about years 2026. I also mentioned 2050.
They're talking about the -- the lack of beds nursing, assisted living and other beds that are needed in this country. We can't even meet the need for that in the future at the current rate that we're going with. The home health won't have a -- will -- will barely put a dent in what is actually going to be needed when the Baby Boomers age. Baby Boomers are 1948 to 1964. The oldest Baby Boomer born in 1948 is what? 72?

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: 73.
MR. McELWEE: 73? That guy back there is
still thirteen years away from needing assisted living and he's the oldest of the Baby Boomers. There's this huge wave behind him and I'm right behind you that are coming into this demographic so the Baby Boom hasn't even hit yet for assisted living. It's hitting now for 55 -plus communities but we're thirteen years away from 73 year olds needing assisted living on average.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish to be heard?

You were heard already. Who else wishes to be heard? Anyone? Yes, ma'am?
MS. SHERIDAN: Angeline Sheridan on Edith
Street.
Hi.
MR. McELWEE: Hi there.
MS. SHERIDAN: So my parents live out of state so there's really no benefit to this property, building for me and my family so I guess the next obvious benefit is the tax -- you know, the tax revenue that's going to come into the town so if you could talk a little bit more about that? Because the initial plan was, you know, 5-1/2 acres fully for the -- for the facility and, now, you're going to subdivide it and only -- you're going to donate 2-1/2
acres to the town, are you still going to pay taxes on the $2-1 / 2$ acres or is that off so that's less tax?

MR. McELWEE: I'm not a tax assessor but, I think, what a tax assessor will do is, at first, look at the cost of the building and then, as the years go on, tax assessors may look at the income of the building. They'll go to an income approach versus a cost approach so, whether we have 5-1/2 acres or $3-1 / 2$ acres, I think they are going to look at the business itself and what that's generating. That's what most tax assessors would do.

MR. REGAN: The improvements will be valued the most.

MS. SHERIDAN: Okay.
MR. REGAN: Substantially greater than any land assessment.

MR. McELWEE: Regarding your mother who is out of state --

MS. SHERIDAN: Yes.
MR. McELWEE: -- maybe someday your mom
is going to want to come here when she's 86 and frail and be with you --

MS. SHERIDAN: Too cold. It's too cold. They are way, way, way far from here.

So my second question is: You mentioned

Sunrise is 25 years old. Are there any plans to renovate that and possibly, you know, increase capacity there?

MR. McELWEE: No. Because they would've gone before this Board and they would be locked into a certain unit count, impervious coverage, total beds --

MS. SHERIDAN: Forever?
MR. McELWEE: Yeah. They would have to come back to this Board if they were ever going to expand.

MS. PRICE: It's not our application.
MR. McELWEE: It's not our application
but it's a good question, though, because, what you can't do to a 25-year-old building, you can't change a 275 -square-foot small studio. Our minimum size is 350. You can't change the Denver unit where they have these semi-private units without private doors. You can't change that. You can put, as we would say, lipstick and rouge on a building, new carpet, new wallpaper but you can't change the bones of that building. For Sunrise to change the -- that structure, they wouldn't be able to change the load-baring walls that are making up the units so they really can't compete with the -- with the new, state-of-the-art communities.
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MS. SHERIDAN: And then my final question is: You mentioned that there's going to be a historic consultant and a historic architect who will provide details --

MR. McELWEE: Yes.
MS. SHERIDAN: -- as to the movement of, you know, the historic house in front of the barn. So is that also going to include, like, the costs that are involved and...

MR. McELWEE: It's probably not a zoning issue what it's going to cost us to move that thing --

MS. SHERIDAN: Right.
MR. McELWEE: -- but they'll give you the complete history of that community, the structure of that building, the historic significance of that building and how they're going to move it and when the appropriate time to move it would be.

MS. SHERIDAN: And the historic significance after it gets moved?

MR. McELWEE: It's going to be a year older.

MS. SHERIDAN: Will it still be historic if it's moved from its original location which is the historic part?

MR. McELWEE: It's the structure that's
historic, not the land underneath
MS. SHERIDAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. McELWEE: Thank you for your questions.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish to be heard?

Yes, sir?
MR. FERRARO: Good evening. Frank
Ferraro, 17 Birchwood Road.
Real quick, just a couple questions: The Certificate of Need that you mentioned, you noted -you indicated that that has not been issued yet. Is that correct?

MR. McELWEE: It hasn't been issued.
It's been applied for.
MR. FERRARO: Okay. And what was the number of beds?

MR. McELWEE: 100 is the beds on the Certificate of Need. They come in ten-bed increments so you apply for 9 -- 80, 90, 100, 110, whatever.
Ten-bed increments.
MR. FERRARO: And what drives the number that you applied for? What is that based on?

MR. McELWEE: If we projected that we would have over 90 residents, we would go up to 100
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beds because, if you have 91 residents in the
building, you still have to provide ten COA beds. The state rounds up to the next number so we went up 100.

MR. FERRARO: So is -- is the number of beds that you applied for based upon those market studies that you mentioned earlier, essentially?

MR. McELWEE: It's based on the size of our building and our unit mix.

MR. FERRARO: Is that number set in stone as far as how many units you need to put in this application?

MR. McELWEE: Our application is for 83 units and it's up to the Board to decide whether we have the right size building for this property.

MR. FERRARO: Besides the state approval, is there any federal licensing for this type of use so it's all on the state level?

MR. McELWEE: There's no Medicare which would be for a nursing home. This is a Medicaideligible building so it's -- state is the license.

MR. FERRARO: And I had just heard a lot of questions about the ambulance corps and perhaps the burden that this might be on them. Would you stipulate, on the record, that, as part of the application, as perhaps a condition of approval, you
would provide 100 percent private ambulance to this facility?

MR. McELWEE: No. I'm not going to stipulate that on the record. I will work with the ambulance corps to make sure that we have something that's competitive with the Artis approval and what Sunrise is doing and it could be a combination of things. They -- they may need a financial contribution or they may need ambulance corps. It depends on what the private ambulance is going to propose, whether there's that intervening ambulance that you spoke of and how many levels but we would sit down with the -- with the group here and figure out what's the most appropriate for Old Tappan.

MR. FERRARO: Is that conversation going to take place on the record?

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: That's going to be a negotiated issue at the end. It's going to be a negotiated issue.

MR. REGAN: Or, likely, it'd be a condition and approving resolution and the developer's agreement as a condition as well.

MR. FERRARO: Has there been any review letter issued by the ambulance corps or do we anticipate one?
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CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: That -- it's not come to that issue yet. There's so many things to come before that.

MR. FERRARO: With respect to the displacement of the historic structure, is there oversight, any kind of state or federal oversight of that process or is that solely a private enterprise?

MS. PRICE: As far as I know, Frank, it's
all private -- hi -- and that's one of the reasons we retained the historic consultant and he's retained the historic architect.

MR. FERRARO: Okay. And -- and those -those people will testify at some point?

MS. PRICE: Yeah. We'll bring all of that information forward for the hearings.

MR. FERRARO: Okay.
MS. PRICE: I'm not sure which -- who is going to testify yet but we'll have the information.

MR. FERRARO: Okay.
Sorry. I haven't had the application to review -- a chance to review the application but are those -- are there any reports to that effect?

MS. PRICE: Not yet, not yet.
MR. FERRARO: Okay. Does the Board itself have any plans on retaining its own historic
consultant in this matter.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: We have not gotten
to that point yet. They're going to bring their
expert in. We may decide we have to hire our own
expert. You know, they may bring an expert in on traffic. We may decide to bring our own expert in on traffic.

We have an engineer and a planner on this Board. The engineer is going to testify next, you know, and our engineer has already come up with a couple pages of, you know, documents he needs answers regarding this application so, you know, everything is underway. Come to the next -- come to the meetings and you'll see what's going on.

MR. FERRARO: Has there been a traffic report submitted to the Board?

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Yes.
MR. REGAN: It's on file.
MS. PRICE: And we're going to have a supplemental so it'll be a revised as well.

MR. FERRARO: But the Board has not retained its own traffic expert yet?

MS. PRICE: No.
MS. MAGARRO: And we may not. You have the study, right? See, we just got the plans today so
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we didn't have any chance to look at any of this stuff.

MR. FERRARO: The market studies that were referenced in your testimony, have they been provided to the Board?

MR. McELWEE: It's part of my submission. If you pull that on, you'll be able to see the competitors, their age, the number of units that they have.

MR. FERRARO: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. McELWEE: You're welcome. Thanks for your questions.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Anyone else wish to be heard?
(No response)
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Seeing none, can I have a motion to close the meet to the public?

MR. KEIL: Motion to close.
MS. MAGARRO: Second.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: All in favor?
MEMBERS OF THE BOARD: Aye.
MR. ALESSI: Can I get a couple of questions that I had or I guess I just have to wait until the end?

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: You have questions
of who?
MR. ALESSI: I'm sorry.
MS. PRICE: Joe.
MR. ALESSI: Joe. And a couple comments
but people interjected but you asked me to wait until the end.

So the first one I had was with regard to, I think, Mr. Fajardo's questions. Is there any
way that, when you build this facility, that given
it's in town that you could give preference or a discounted rate or some type of thing to either residents in town or families who want to bring their parents to town?

MR. McELWEE: Yes. I just did this in Greenburgh, New York.

MR. ALESSI: Okay.
MR. McELWEE: And I think it's the first
10 people or whoever showed up within the first 30
days after the marketing trailer opened. I think it might have been the first 30 days that the facility was open but they could sign up when the marketing trailer opened. The first 10 or 20 people from Greenburgh, the Township of Greenburgh got a discount off the room rate and off the care rate for one year.

MR. ALESSI: Okay. And is that something
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that's negotiable and we can figure it out as we go?
MR. REGAN: Yeah.
MR. ALESSI: Okay.
MR. REGAN: I don't think you can give a preference to the affordable component.

MR. ALESSI: That, I understand, absolutely, but, the market rate, you guys could stipulate a preference for Old Tappan residents on an ongoing basis?

MR. McELWEE: Yes, sir.
MR. ALESSI: Okay. I know the other facilities, you can have preference-ing. I know this one is not in the running so it's hard to maybe put an exact dollar amount but the other ones that you compared, Norwood, Washington Township, so you know what those rates look like for the average room or a rate scale for those top to bottom?

MR. McELWEE: I'm not -- I can have a price sheet for you at the next meeting or I can make it part of the package but there's prices.

MR. ALESSI: That's fine. That's fine.
MR. McELWEE: It would be the same comparable rate as Norwood, Washington Township.

MR. ALESSI: I think, if we got that
information, I think it would probably answer some of
the questions. It would give us an idea what we're looking at.

And then, the last thing, if you could just expand Mr. Carpenter's question about the residential versus commercial or -- you had said this is already an inherently residential --

MR. McELWEE: Inherently beneficial use.
MR. ALESSI: No, no, no. The -- the --
I'll stop you at the inherently part but you had said
that this already considered by the state a partially residential or how -- how does that work and what is
the specific the need for the variance then if it's
already residential and this is a residential
application?
MR. McELWEE: The need is it's not single-family residential.

MR. ALESSI: Okay.
MR. McELWEE: That's the only -- that's the only thing --

MR. ALESSI: Technicality.
MR. McELWEE: This is the legal residence
of the 86 year olds that live there. This -- that's why it is a residence, not a commercial or
institutional or any other use.
MR. ALESSI: So this is an institutional 128
residential use?
MR. McELWEE: Quasi-institutional in that there is care provided to the residents in their units or in the common area.

MR. ALESSI: Thank you.
MR. MAMARY: You don't sell the units to any one, do you?

MR. McELWEE: No. It is an annual resident agreement. The rates change every year. They don't change during the year so that a resident agreement locks in the rate for the -- the next year.

MR. SZABO: Can I ask a question about the Medicaid beds?

MR. McELWEE: Sure.
MR. SZABO: Don't those residents have to have, basically, zero assets?

MR. McELWEE: Right. They're indigent but there are qualifications.

MR. SZABO: Is there a five-year look back like there would be further --

MR. McELWEE: Now -- I don't do that anymore. I don't -- I think they shortened that period because some people were transferring. Yeah. I think there was a lot of assets that were being transferred.

Q. So freshwater wetlands is, in fact, run by and overseen by the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and in this case, the LOI that we had marked as A-2 governs the boundary line on the subject property?
A. That's correct. Boundary line and subject classification.
Q. So -- Okay. So speaking of classification, there are different classifications for wetlands in the State of New Jersey. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. What classification has been assigned to the wetlands on the subject property?
A. So this wetlands, back-peddling a little bit, we did work with our wetlands consultant professional, who is wetlands, and we contract with him to go out to the site. He walks the site and places flags around what he identifies as the boundary of the associated wetlands. He takes pictures. We go out there. We survey it, map it on our topographic survey along with an application for an LOI and we submit it to the state. The state then has that option to review that application. What they do is,
they actually take somebody from their department. They go out to the field and they look at those flag locations and they verify that they are accurate. They look at those flag locations. If one has to move, they'll physically move it themselves. They'Il give us notification that they've moved the flag and, at that point, we'd have to go back out and have our surveyor pick up that exact flag location.

When they're out there, they also take a look at those wetlands. They take a look at the surrounding area. They look at what feeds those wetlands and where or if those wetlands connect to another downstream facility or a lake.

In this case, the DEP went out and verified the location of our flags and they identified this wetlands as an intermediate-resource value wetland which has an associated 50 -foot transition area and they have also further identified this land as isolated. "Isolated" means that it collects water but that water does not go anywhere else from that point. It's not connected to Lake Old Tappan. It's not connected to a stream, a river, a storm sewer that drains to that. It collects water and it sits in that location.

This letter also further goes on to
identify that, yes, this could be potentially a vernal pool; however, they haven't proven that it's a vernal pool and, also, just based upon their review of the site and available landscaping mapping, they have not identified any threatened or endangered species that are located on this site which relates to that 50 -foot transition area buffer that has been placed on this wetlands. If the wetland, indeed, was identified as a vernal pool, it could potentially impact associated applications to disturb the wetlands; however, you'll hear from me in the future -- I'm not sure if I will get to it tonight -- but we have no intention of disturbing the wetland and the associated buffer to that wetlands. We're not changing the water that's flowing to that wetlands and we're not releasing water between that wetland so we have no impacts to that wetland. We're not applying for any further permits to touch anything to do with that wetlands so, even if it was a vernal pool, it wouldn't impact our subject application.
Q. So, Dan, I'm just going to rewind you a little bit. You mentioned that DEP comes out to the site, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So -- and in connection with this
specific LOI, I'm going to point your attention to the first page of the letter. Is it correct that the DEP did a site inspection in connection with the issuance of their LOI on August 21 of 2020?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's confirmed for the LOI, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And they have approved the verification plan based upon your plan that's dated April 26, 2021, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So that's the official map by which the DEP has approved both the type of classification and the verification of the wetlands line?
A. That's correct.
Q. And in terms of the resource value and classification being -- requiring the 50 feet of transition area, in my opening, I made reference to increasing that buffer area, and I know you're going to describe the plan, but did I misspeak when I indicated that we had increased the buffer?
A. No, but you've hit the nail on the head. That's absolutely correct. Under proposed conditions, we're actually not only not disturbing that 50-foot
transition area, we're giving an additional 10 feet, at the minimum, where we're staying away from that wetlands area, and that, again, will be completely on that subdivided 2.12-acre portion of land that will be subdivided from the subject portion of the development.
Q. Okay. And just to sum this up, just to make sure that we're complete for the record, other than the LOI, given that they're isolated wetlands and given the fact that we are not doing anything in terms of disturbance of the wetlands, there are no additional permits, no statewide permit that's necessary, no individual permit that's necessary, no other permit that is necessary from DEP in connection with this. Is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay.

Why don't we talk about the plan, the overall plan, for a little bit of background tonight since the original plan was for an overall site plan and then we changed that a few months ago and had the subdivision.
A. Absolutely. I'd be more than happy to speak of proposed conditions. I just have to grab my other exhibit which, this one, we didn't identify yet,
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but I will be more than happy -- oh. We did, I believe.
Q. Yeah, we did, the site plan rendering.
A. So again, for the record, this is Exhibit

A-4. I marked it with today's date. And again, it's entitled "Site Plan Rendering," dated February 9, 2022, Sheet 2 of 2. Essentially, what this is is a colorized version of the site plan that was submitted in conjunction with this application.

So just for orientation purposes, you could see the same thing up on this TV screen that I'm identifying. For orientation purposes, north is considered to the top of the page. Old Tappan Road runs in an east-west direction to the south of the site and, obviously, what you see here is, the -- the entire site boundary is -- is colored in. They add some color to help explain better where items are.

So under proposed conditions, the first thing we're -- we are essentially proposing to do is to subdivide the lot. This subdivision will be into two conforming lots, one of which will be Lot $A$, which is the eastern proposed lot. That Lot A contains the proposed assisted living development and consists of 3.35 acres. Lot $B$ is the western side of the site, which is a little hard to identify but the subdivision
line is running essentially west of this proposed access drive to our site so that subdivision line essentially divides the site in two with all the wetlands area that was identified and confirmed by an LOI and the associated buffer, plus an additional 10 feet will be on the western portion of the property, and that would be currently identified as Lot $B$, consisting of 2.12 acres, and that will contain all the wetlands and we're not disturbing anything in there. The one thing that we are doing, as we identified earlier on, was taking the historic stone portion of that existing dwelling and relocating it to the southwestern corner of the site in front of that existing, I'll call it, barn that exists on that property as well.

Would you like me to go into further proposed conditions?
Q. Go ahead.
A. So going into proposed conditions, essentially, as you are well aware, we're proposing an assisted living facility here, which is a nonpermitted use. We are in the R4 -- or R40 zone, which essentially permits single-family residential use, house-of-worship use, schools and daycares, so in this case, we are seeking a use variance to construct our
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## senior living development.

This building will consist of three stories approximately 245 feet by 182 feet in width and will contain 83 units. The footprint of this building -- so I know you heard a lot about 80,000 square feet. The footprint of this building is 30,000 square feet, which -- just over 30,000 square feet, which includes the canopies that are on that building, but the gross floor area, yes, will be just over 81,000 square feet.

The height of the proposed building will be 34.5 feet in height, which is complaint to the zone; however, the stories of the building will be three stories versus the zone only allows two and a half. You'll hear detailed testimony from our architect that speaks into essentially what the difference is of a half story versus a full story and we'll get further into that.

So as far as access to our proposed site -- so we're talking the eastern lot, the proposed assisted living development -- we are providing a single, full-movement driveway that is directly across from Vandervoot Avenue and Old Tappan Road is a twolane, undivided road under county jurisdiction so we would provide a full-movement access into the site.

That throat of the driveway will be about 40 feet in width and total curb cut is about 86 feet in width along the frontage of our site.

Once you make your way into the site, there will be two-way circulation, which is essentially identified as that gray area that -- that encompasses the building. There'll be 25 -foot-wide, two-way circulation along the western and the northern portion of the site.

There will also be a one-way pick-up/ drop-off area, which is essentially the main entrance to the building. This pick-up/drop-off area is tall enough to accommodate an ambulance and it's essentially just to allow the residents to get in and out of that 14-passenger van and any other vehicles that might be dropping them off and keeping them out of the weather.

As we circulate around the site, there is also an 18 -foot-wide, two-way drive aisle to the eastern portion of the site and that accomplishes a few things. This provides fire access around all three sides of the building and it provides access to the receiving area that is along the eastern or -- or eastern portion of the building itself.

The proposed development, as I said, will
be placed completely outside of that wetlands area and the 50 -foot transition area and, as I mentioned, we are providing an additional 10 feet of separation between that transition area, so right where you see that dark, wooded portion, that's the wetlands area and the transition area and our proposed development starts after the subdivided line and an additional 10 feet past that wetlands buffer.

In the parking lot itself, we're proposing 46 parking spaces out of -- of those 46 parking spaces, three of which will also be accessible parking spaces, so three van-accessible parking spaces, and we're also providing two electric car charging stations, which complies with the New Jersey law that was recently passed.

The parking circulation area was designed to accommodate the largest delivery truck that we anticipate as well as garbage trucks and the largest fire truck you would essentially anticipate having in this -- this location.

The loading area, as I mentioned, the receiving area in the eastern portion of the site is 26 feet in width by about 50 feet in length and that will be large enough to accommodate that -- that truck that Mr. McElwee testified earlier that would be
making an appearance about two times a week.
To the northeastern corner of the parking area, we're proposing a 10-by-20 masonry trash enclosure. That trash enclosure will be masonry and will have a nice face on it to essentially complement the architecture of the building and that will be accessible by a garbage truck, front-loading garbage truck, again coming to the site about two times per week.

There is a six-foot-wide ADA-compliant sidewalk that encompasses the site. It's kind of a nice amenity for some of the residents to utilize. It will help them get outside and circulate around the site and it'll also provide ADA-compliant access back to the sidewalk that is along Old Tappan Road. We -we really focused on trying to segregate pedestrians from the loading and the vehicle use on the site, which is why we have a sidewalk that encompasses the entire building and allows the residents to avoid walking in the parking lot and crossing drive aisles as much as we can.

Finally, you'll notice, in the center of the building, you'll hear more testimony from both our landscape architect and our architect, but there is a fully-enclosed amenity space for the residents to
enjoy. It's essentially an outdoor patio courtyard area in the center of the building, which is a signature to the Capitol Seniors use.

With all these improvements, we are obviously increasing the amount of impervious surface coverage or, in the Borough of Old Tappan, it's known as "lot coverage" per zoning. The increase in impervious surface coverage will be approximately 77,000 square feet, which encompasses 1.65 acres of the site, and I'll speak a little bit more of that shortly, but to mitigate this increase of impervious surface coverage, we are proposing an aboveground detention basin to the northern portion of the site, and I'll get into a lot of testimony about stormwater once I get to that point.

As far as signage, we are proposing one monument sign. That is located directly to the east of the proposed driveway. That'll be a monument sign that's about five feet tall by seven-and-a-half feet wide, but the sign itself is only three feet by five feet. Essentially, it's not an overbearing sign because this isn't a use like a fast-food use where you're trying to identify a site and draw in customers. This is a destination. People know it's here, so essentially a smaller sign just to identify
the site, especially for an ambulance to know they're pulling into the right location, but since this is technically a residential zone, we would need a variance for that sign as well, but again, it's a small sign. It will be decorative in nature and, again, it will complement the architecture of this building.

Additionally, there is -- as I mentioned, this is a county road. We have submitted an application to the county. We received comments from them, all of which were minor in nature. They're not requesting a roadway widening here. They're on board with the application and they actually have four very minor comments that we're working to clean up and one of those comments has to do with a proposed easement.

The county requested that they provide a width from the center line of the road of 35 feet. Right now, the road is only about 20 feet from the center line so 40 feet width in total. They have requested on additional 15 feet as an easement onto our property, essentially to maintain their sidewalk, to maintain the driveway, so they're not requesting a widening here, as they felt it was not necessary, but in the future, that easement, yes, they could use that and potentially propose improvements along the site
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when and if they ever make improvements along this corridor.

As far as grading, the pad of the building itself will essentially, when looking at the average here, remain unchanged from the existing grade, so when we're comparing the average finished floor of this building, under existing versus proposed conditions, that building is basically staying at -- I think we're coming up about six feet overall in average so we're not a substantial grade change, but if you're familiar with this site, you'll know, yes, there's a lot of woods, but there's a lot of rolling hills and changing topography that's across that site.

In order to combat that, it's a little bit of a balancing act to place this site and make it ADA compliant, so what we have to do is we have to look at this topography when placing this building. Again, we want to place it so it's relatively average to the perimeter that's there now, and in order to accomplish that, we did have to do a little bit of grading, a little bit of cut and fill, and because of that, we are proposing several retaining walls.

These retaining walls will be modular block retaining walls. They'll be decorative in nature and complementing the building architecture and
the location primarily along the eastern portion of the property and the northern limit of the parking area, so the retaining wall that is at the southeastern corner of the site is essentially what's known as a "cut wall" so the proposed senior living development will be lower than the wall on the southeastern corner of the site, very similar to the church that is directly to the east. The church is the same condition. They have a retaining wall about ten feet high so we're maintaining that -- that same type of grading scheme that they used.

As we work our way continually north on the eastern property line, we hit a small enclosure, which I'll speak to shortly, and at that enclosure area is essentially where the grade swaps so that it's about level to the two properties, and then after that, we get to what's called a "fill wall," so our development will be on the high side of the wall and the church would be on the lower side and that fill wall continues around the northern portion of the parking lot and borders our proposed detention basin through the north of the site. That is the highest wall on the site and it's only highest at the corner near the proposed trash enclosure. It's about ten feet in height. From there, it tapers back down.

Additionally, there is a small retaining wall along the western limit of our proposed parking area and that wall is about four feet at its highest point, again, modular block, decorative in nature, and what that's doing is allowing us to preserve those wetlands and not touch them at all. That is essentially bringing our grade of our parking lot where it needs to be but keeping the wetlands down below us so we don't impact them with grade. Could we grade this out and have no wall at all? Absolutely. But that's additional disturbance to those wetlands and it's additional trees we have to take down, but we want to maintain that, so just being sensitive to that area, we're proposing a small wall just to get us to the grade that we need.

And then, finally, there's also an existing stone wall. I'm sure you're familiar with the property. That's along the sidewalk on Old Tappan Road. It kind of goes with the historic nature of the building that's there and we are proposing to keep that wall. We'll refresh it a little bit, clean it up, make it stand out a little bit better, but I think it just adds a nice streetscape element to the project. You'll hear more from our landscape architect, but it's an existing wall that will remain
and it'll really add to the aesthetic value of the site itself but it doesn't propose any type of retaining qualities.

As far as bulk standards, we are looking for several bulk standards or bulk variances, but it's important to note the proposed assisted living building itself complies with all the required building setbacks. The bulk variances that we are looking for is, one, building coverage. The zone permits 15 percent. We're proposing 21.2 percent building coverage on the site.

Now, it's very important to note that this only results from the subdivision. If that subdivision line isn't there -- it's an invisible line -- we are down to 14 percent, which is a compliant building coverage, so by giving that portion of the property to the town or -- or -- I'm sorry -- the borough or whoever might want it, we are essentially setting ourselves up for a variance for lot coverage. We are looking for a variance for lot coverage. The town or the borough permits a maximum of 30 percent and we are proposing 49.9 percent. Again, if there was no subdivision, this number goes down to 33 percent and, if we were not preserving that house and not preserving that barn and not subdividing,
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we're compliant with lot coverage as well, so by subdividing this lot and saving those two structures, we get ourselves into a variance situation.

Additionally, as you heard earlier a lot of testimony about floor area, floor area is different than lot coverage. The zone itself permits 8400 square feet of minimum floor area, essentially, for -for a dwelling. With this lot containing our 30,000-square-foot footprint times three stories, we get up to just over 81,000 square feet versus the 8400 that's permitted.

And then, finally, you heard me mention the fact that we are seeking a height variance for the two-and-a-half stories versus the three stories that we propose. You'll hear detailed testimony from both our planner and our architect on the various variances as well as the height.

Finally, there's one additional variance that we are seeking and it's essentially associated with that historic dwelling that we're relocating.
The borough has a minimum front yard setback requirement of 50 feet. By talking that historic building and relocating it to the frontage on that lot, we violate that setback and we only have a setback of 25 feet, so essentially half; however,
that's because we are saving that barn. Could we relocate it essentially back where that barn is and be compliant? Yes, we could, but again, just trying to save that, and I think it also adds to the value of the streetscape along a very busy thoroughfare of Old Tappan where you bring that historic house into view for more people to essentially enjoy.

In addition to the bulk variances, we are seeking two waivers or variances associated with buffers. When a use in this zone, essentially, or a commercial use abuts a residential use or a house-ofworship use, there's an additional requirement for a buffer and it's essentially related to the lot depth or width.

So in our case, as you are well aware of, we're abutting the Lake View townhomes to the north or to the rear of our site and, because of that, there is a requirement for a 62-foot buffer to the rear yard; however, we're only providing a 40.2-foot buffer. Now, that buffer is to the top of the proposed retention basin or detention basin because the borough code's definition of a buffer basically says "natural areas" and, technically, since the proposed basin is manmade, it won't meet the definition of a natural barrier or a natural condition; however, it's

## 152

essentially meeting the intent of a buffer. It's green space. It'll be planted. It still provides that visual screen from our site, it provides headlight blocking and it also provides more separation from sound. If we were to measure from the property line to the closest impervious surface coverage, we're actually at 74 foot, so going over the top of that basin, we're at 74 feet before you get to any type of impervious surface; whereas, the buffer requirement is 65.

And also, I just want to note that the closest home behind us is 102 feet to that basin, 175 feet to the parking area, and it's 265 feet from the building, so we have a nice separation from the residents to the back of us as well as the building in front.

Additionally, we are seeking a buffer to the eastern property line due to the house-of-worship use. This requires a buffer of 40 feet versus what is required is 8.8 feet, so that 8.8 feet is essentially one small pinch point near this enclosure area along the eastern portion of the site and it's only for that one area. The rest of it, we're -- the parking area is 20 feet back, so it's still 20 feet short, but the most intense area is right where that -- that -- that
enclosure is. However, if you're familiar with the church property, they essentially would have the same intended requirement and their buffer is actually smaller than ours. The two uses are very similar in nature. They coincide with one another, both parking lots, both used for relatively commercial use. They're lit so it's not like we have a residence right next to us.

And also, we are seeking that variance because, again, we're saving a wetlands area. We could essentially move the entire development over, we can get into that transition area of the wetlands. We could apply for a permit to essentially alter those wetlands -- there are permits that are available -and then potentially comply with that buffer, requirement but since we are sensitive to those wetlands and we want to keep our development further away from it, we moved it up and, therefore, are violating the buffer requirement, but again, that buffer will have a nice, decorative retaining wall, and you'll hear from our landscape architect that he's going to landscape that very nicely with some dense plantings, and then the existing wooded area that is along that property line associated with the church will remain unchanged so that natural buffer will be
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there as well.
You'll hear detailed testimony from our traffic engineer regarding parking, but I just want to touch that our parking requirement is based upon the residential site improvement standards in the state, and for a senior-living use, they require .5 parking spaces per unit. With our 83 units proposed, that requires 42 parking spaces. We exceed that requirement by providing 46 parking spaces, which includes those three ADA spaces. This equates to .46 spaces per bed or . 55 per unit, but again, it's important to note, the majority of the residents don't drive. We have that 14-person van for that and so -so parking is primarily used for the staff and visitors. The space size is compliant. The borough requires a 10 -by-20 or a 200-square-foot parking space, which is what we propose.

And then we're also seeking a loading variance, but in this case, it's easy to justify. For a building of this size, the borough requires five loading spaces. For our use, we only need one. We have those deliveries that come twice a week, so we're providing a single loading space, whereas five are required, and again, that -- that loading area, the eastern portion of the building, is adequate to
support the size of delivery truck that's anticipated here, no tractor-trailers, and this also doubles as an area for the trash truck to turn around, as well as available location for a fire truck to be able to get in there and turn around to be able to circulate the site.

As far as utilities, we have received will-serve letters from the majority of the utility providers. Water service is provided by Suez. There's a 12-inch main located within Old Tappan Road. We're connecting to that main with an eight-inch fire service and a four-inch domestic service.

As far as sewer, we are tieing into the borough sewer system. There is an existing six-inch lateral that is located essentially where the proposed -- in front of the proposed relocation of that historic house and it is of adequate size for us to connect into and then that does flow towards Leonard Drive and down an existing main there. We will be applying to the DEP for a TWA application, which they have to actually review our sewer load, confirm it has adequate capacity in order to get us that permit, but based on our experiences in the past as well as the investigating in the sewer service, we feel that it is at adequate capacity, but we'd be more than happy to
provide additional justification if necessary.
Gas and electric will be provided by
PSE\&G. Both service lines will be underground. The electric also -- well, in conjunction with the electric, we're also proposing an aboveground or -- a diesel generator, so this generator is located on the eastern portion of the site. It is essentially the requirement for that 8.8 -foot setback from the actual enclosure in that generator and that generator will essentially power, not the entire building, but just life-support systems and have enough fuel capacity for about 72 hours of run time and you basically have week -- or monthly testing that runs about 30 minutes for testing during daytime hours.

We will have to comply with various noise requirements both at the borough and state level, but by using sound-attenuating enclosures as well as the proposed decorative wall that we'll have around it, we plan to comply with the noise levels at the property line.

We are providing lighting throughout the site, so the site was designed to comply with IES standards for uses of this nature. The site lighting will be provided by 23 decorative-style LED area lights, so these area lights will essentially, again,
complement the building architecture. they're -they're -- they're decorative in nature. The poles are decorative so they won't be those big lights that you'll see at like a Target or a Home Depot. They'll be at 14-1/2 feet tall. We'll also have eight light bollards that essentially encompass the walkway, which provides some low-level lighting at nighttime along that sidewalk, and there's also one hanging pendant light that will be below the pick-up/drop-off area that I testified to earlier.

The average lighting around the parking
lot is about 1.7 foot-candles, which is consistent with a residential use. It's very important to note
that there's no light spillover to the rear residential lots so it will have full cutoff by the time you're essentially -- not even before you are midway through that basin, those light levels drop down to zero, so there'll be no impact to the residential behind us.

And then we're also providing numerous landscaping. You'll hear from our landscape expert about the number of trees that we're taking down, the required replacement trees that's associated with this code and the trees that we're proposing. Right now, I can tell you that we're proposing 124 new trees and we
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also comply with the parking lot requirement of providing one tree for ten spaces, but overall, currently, we have over 750 plantings throughout the entire site, which you'll hear more from -- from our landscape architect.

As far as other outstanding approvals, I
did mention that we submitted to the Bergen County
Planning Board where we had received some minor comments that we are working to address, but we do foresee an approval forthcoming because it was essentially just conditions that they were looking for at this point, and we've also applied to the Bergen County Soil Conservation District where we have one outstanding comment that we've worked to address as well.

I mean, I guess the one big thing that everyone is really interested in here is stormwater. I think I'm going to hold off on that.
Q. Hold on that, yes.
A. So I wanted to mention that we'll also have a -- for that proposed subdivided lot where we're relocating the historic house, we're also providing a small driveway and a parking area for the community to utilize if there is a use for that building so that -that small driveway is only about 18 feet in width,
adequate to get a car in and out. There will be two parking spaces, one accessible, so an ADA parking space and then a standard parking space, and then we'll provide a nice little sidewalk back to the entrance of the house, again, just to provide a parking area and a use for that house.

MS. PRICE: I think we'll hold the stormwater.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Can we have a couple of the Board members ask questions?

MS. PRICE: Sure. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Tom, do you have...

MR. SKRABLE: I think I'll hold off on questions but -- except for one because it's going to be really easy and then a comment.

I noticed that the Wetlands transition area goes through the back of your barn. Should the borough ultimately own that property and wants to take the barn down, is there a permitting issue? Would we have to get a permit for that?

MR. SEHNAL: Calculated, it's disturbance within the -- in the wetlands but it -- it would be a
-- what's called a "permit by rule." It would allow the temporary disturbance of that portion of the
wetland and take that building down and then we would just have to essentially mitigate and replant the area that was disturbed. It's such a small portion. It's just that -- that northwestern corner of the site that touches that transition area. It's not the wetlands itself. It's the transition area. It would just be a permit by rule. It doesn't require an extensive review from the DEP as long as we can prove that we're essentially just disturbing it temporarily and then it'll be replanted and back to natural conditions.

MR. SKRABLE: Just something for the borough to think about in the future.

And the other comment was, and we spoke about this briefly, sounds like the county is not going to require widening, not going to require any accel/decel lane, if the Board is interested in that, because this is probably the tightest part of Old Tappan Road.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: So, Tom --
MR. SKRABLE: You're going to have to do it proactively.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Roll back your mind. Remember the gentleman who owned --

MR. SKRABLE: Mr. Budnitch.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Mr. Budnitch.

Remember him going out to the middle of Old Tappan
Road and spray-painting all the time?
MR. SKRABLE: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: The reason the
road is so narrow right there was the town tried to compromise, okay, his piece of property, in other words --

MR. SKRABLE: Right.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: -- but the reason
it's narrow right there is, you know, the road or his property really goes out to the center of the land heading north, so that's why that street became really narrow there, because I think Socovitch got involved also, so I think Bergen County has to do a resurvey of that road, and the other thing is, on the other side, there was that big tree that eventually got cut down and the property, you know, went immediately up, you know?

MR. SKRABLE: If this Board wants it, I think the county should be put on notice that we want it because they are not going to require it, based on what I'm hearing.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: But do you agree with what I'm saying?

MR. SKRABLE: I do. I do, and I'm not
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sure it's necessary. I think you might want to hear the traffic testimony.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Do you think we should notify Bergen County or wait --

MR. SKRABLE: Well, once they have a
final approval, it becomes more of a problem to get it done.

MR. REGAN: Time to act would be sooner rather than later.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Do you understand
what I'm saying? There's a history of that road right
there and the reason it's so narrow is because, over
the years, there was a huge, big elm tree there that
had to be, you know, 150 years old if it was a day
that they didn't want to cut down but then the elm disease came anyway and it ended up cutting it down. Plus, the property right there, the reason that stone wall was there was to try to keep back some of the earth when the sidewalks went in. Okay? And the sidewalks went in, what, five years ago? But there -there is a problem there with the -- with the Old Tappan Road.

MR. SKRABLE: And this is definitely a low-traffic generator and I agree wholeheartedly with that. The issue is that they're already in that.

It's literally the most narrow part of the roadway, so if you want to remedy that, and I don't know exactly that that means at this point, now is the time.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Would you like to or you want this Board to write a letter to Bergen County?

MR. SEHNAL: We applied -- submitted an application to the county and they just requested an easement, no widening at this point.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: So this is what's going to happen: Since you don't want to be cooperative, this Board is going to write a letter to Bergen County or maybe you should ask your -- the gentleman who did your traffic. There is no doubt, okay, no doubt that the road has to be widened on your side of the -- in front of your -- in front of your property and I think it has to be widened the whole width of the property.

MR. SEHNAL: Yeah. Our traffic engineer, I'm sure you'll hear plenty of testimony from him and he'll address that.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Maybe -- maybe then what we have to do is hire our own traffic engineer.

MS. PRICE: I don't think that the volume 164
is going -- I think that we're all going to be on the same page about the volume, low generator. I think it's an issue --

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: Listen. You have a shopping center there, you have the DPW there --

MS. PRICE: I understand.
CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: -- the police department there and you have the schools there. It's in between the two schools and drive along there and, say, best time is $3: 00$. Drive along there at $3: 00$ and tell me how many cars, you know, better yet, look, hit the high curb that got put in opposite you.

MS. PRICE: My primary doctor used to be right next door here, actually, so I used to come all the time.

CHAIRPERSON WEIDMANN: So what I'm saying
is: Decide what you want to do, you want us to hire our traffic expert? You want to write a letter to Bergen County and express to then the concern and -and maybe, if they do a survey of Old Tappan Road, they will find out that, holy mackerel, you know, the road really is 10 feet on your property.

MS. PRICE: Well, we'll have a conversation with the county.

MR. REGAN: You need a course of action
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