

| 1 | $\underline{\text { IND EX (continuing) }} 5$ |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | PUBLIC COMMENT SWORN PAGE | 1 | (Whereupon, all rise for a recitation |
| 3 | Angeline Sheridan $214$ $214$ <br> 31 Edith Street | 2 | of the Pledge of Allegiance.) |
| 4 |  | 3 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Can I have a roll |
| 5 | Sharon Coughlin <br> 26 Meadowbrook Road | 4 | call, Diane? |
| 6 | Boonton | 5 | MS. FROHLICH: Chair Weidmann? |
| 7 | Victor Polce 220 220 <br> 28 Ritie Street   | 6 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Here. |
| 8 | Piermont, New York | 7 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Mamary? |
| 9 | Brian Morandi 1043 Westwood Avenue | 8 | VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Here. |
|  |  | 9 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Maggio? |
| 10 |  | 10 | MR. MAGGIO: Here. |
| 11 | Catherine Levine 231 | 11 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Keil? |
| 12 | 3 Klein Court | 12 | MR. KEIL: Here. |
| 13 | LoriCharkey 236 236 <br> 141 Demarest Avenue   | 13 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Alessi? |
| 14 | Hillsdale | 14 | MR. ALESSI: Here. |
| 15 | Kurt Carpenter $239 \quad 239$ <br> 168 Central Avenue | 15 | MS. FROHLICH: Ms. Haverilla? |
| 16 |  | 16 | MS. HAVERILLA: Here. |
| 17 |  | 17 | MS. FROHLICH: Councilman Gallagher? |
| 18 |  | 18 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Here. |
| 19 | E X H I B I T S | 19 | MS. FROHLICH: Councilman Boyce? |
| 20 | NO. DESCRIPTION IDENT/EVID | 20 | COUNCILMAN BOYCE: Here. |
| 21 | A-31 Engineering Plans prepared by | 21 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Eller? |
| 22 | Dynamic Engineering, <br> Last Revised 9/26/22 | 22 | MR. ELLER: Here. |
| 23 |  | 23 | MS. FROHLICH: Ms. Louloudis? |
| 24 | A-32 Updated Stormwater Management <br> Report, Last Revised 9/22/22 8 | 24 | MS. LOULOUDIS: Here. |
| 25 |  | 25 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Scozzafava? |
|  | 6 |  | 8 |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: In compliance with | 1 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Here. |
| 2 | the Open Public Meetings Law, notification of this | 2 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Holloway? |
| 3 | meeting has been sent to our official newspapers and | 3 | (No Response.) |
| 4 | other publications circulating in the Borough of Old | 4 | MS. FROHLICH: Mr. Bedian? |
| 5 | Tappan. | 5 | MR. BEDIAN: Here. |
| 6 | And notice -- | 6 | (Whereupon, the Board conducts other |
| 7 | MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you. | 7 | agenda items and begins the public hearing in |
| 8 | FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: We can't hear | 8 | this matter at 7:11 p.m.) |
| 9 | you. Your mike may not be on. | 9 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: So, next up is the |
| 10 | MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak up. | 10 | application for 244 Old Tappan Road. |
| 11 | FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're not | 11 | COUNCILMAN GALLAGHER: Bob, I'm |
| 12 | here. | 12 | stepping down. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You can hear on | 13 | MR. REGAN: Yes. |
| 14 | here? | 14 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Pardon me? |
| 15 | Okay. I'll -- I'll start again. | 15 | MR. Regan: Mr. Boyce and Mr. |
| 16 | In compliance with the Open Public | 16 | Gallagher, D variances are implicated, Class I and |
| 17 | Meetings Law, notification of this meeting has been | 17 | Class III members are not eligible to vote. |
| 18 | sent to our official newspapers and other | 18 | (Whereupon, Councilman Gallagher and |
| 19 | publications circulated in the Borough of Old Tappan. | 19 | Councilman Boyce recuse themselves and step |
| 20 | And notice has been posted on the | 20 | off the dais.) |
| 21 | bulletin board at Borough Hall. | 21 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Just put an |
| 22 | Please note the fire exits located at | 22 | asterisk -- Diane, put an asterisk on their name. |
| 23 | the main entrance where we came in and the rear of | 23 | MS. FROHLICH: Put an asterisk on their |
| 24 | the Council Chambers there is another exit. | 24 | name? |
| 25 | Can we stand and salute the flag? | 25 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah. |

As we know, Ladies and Gentlemen, tonight everybody's going to have five minutes, and we got a time clock in front, to come up and have their say on this application, because we were hoping it was going to be the final application [sic].

MR. REGAN: Final hearing.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: The final hearing.
It doesn't look that way.
MR. REGAN: Well, it could happen.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: It could happen, but from what we've been hearing, it's probably not going to be that way.

But everybody gets their --
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Buddy, can you
speak up?
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It's really hard to hear.

AUDIENCE MEMBERS: We cannot hear you.
Sorry.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: I apologize, I'll
speak loud. Okay?
Everybody is going to get their five minutes tonight as long as you signed in ahead of time.

Okay. Your name will be called. You
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can come up, you'll be put on the clock.
MR. REGAN: They'll be sworn.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah, you'll be sworn. And you'll be put on the clock and say what you want to say. Okay?

MS. PRICE: Just before we start with the public, Gail Price from the firm of Price, Meese, Shulman \& D'Arminio, 50 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, on behalf of the applicant.

You have one submission that was made from me earlier, about 10 days ago or so, that we will not be utilizing this evening because an agreement that we had hoped would come together with Lakeview has not materialized yet.

So, we do not have a signed agreement with Lakeview. So I cannot proffer any testimony based upon doing anything on property that we don't own.

MR. REGAN: So, there's no new evidence?

MS. PRICE: Correct, no new evidence. And I will try to be responsive to any particular questions that the board has of me following public comment.

But it's my understanding as well that
we're here for public comment this evening. CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You -MR. STEINHAGEN: So, Chairman -CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: -- have some witnesses?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah. Well, I think -- for the record, Daniel Steinhagen from Beattie Padovano, 200 Market Street in Montvale, on behalf of Lakeview and Angeline Sheridan.

Part of the reason we don't have an agreement, unfortunately, and I wish I could report something otherwise, is because I got the document that the board got today. I got it today, as opposed to 10 days ago when it was submitted.

We are hopeful that an agreement can be worked out. We're going to continue to try, but I think -- and I'm glad Mr. Williams is here, because I think where we left it was that in the event that an agreement could not be reached, I was going to cross examine Mr. Williams.

So, I think that's the first order of business.

Before we do that, I would like a decision from the board as to what plan is currently
in front of the board.
I know that there was a submission in September that Mr. Sehnal testified about. And then there was a subsequent submission at the end of September, that there was no testimony about.

And I think that was -- the October meeting was canceled.

MR. REGAN: Would it be A-31 --
MS. PRICE: Correct.
MR. REGAN: -- the plans were revised
9/26?
MS. PRICE: Correct. A-31 and A-32 is the stormwater management report --

MR. REGAN: Stormwater management, right.

MS. PRICE: -- that goes with that.
And just for the record, I want to note that I had no advance notice about any witnesses on behalf of Lakeview.

Lakeview has known every time I'm calling a witness and I intend to call a witness.
They have the opportunity to know about those witnesses and the scope of those witnesses intended testimony.

That has not been afforded to me and
the reason -- just so everybody is clear, the reason that Mr. Steinhagen did not get the plan that the board got is because my paralegal of 25 years, her son has got stage four pancreatic cancer. And she thought she had sent it and she hadn't. And I told Mr. Steinhagen that nothing was intentional, but that MaryPat was, as you might imagine, upsidedown about her son. So, that's the reason that Mr. Steinhagen doesn't have it.

But it's irrelevant because we don't have an agreement, so there won't be any testimony on it.

MR. REGAN: It's not -- it's not being proffered in --

MS. PRICE: Correct.
MR. REGAN: -- an exhibit?
MS. PRICE: Correct.
MR. STEINHAGEN: At least as it relates
to witnesses, I think I advised Ms. Knarich about that, at the beginning of November.

But that's neither here nor there.
MS. PRICE: I don't have any advice, so I'd like to just be told what kind of witnesses these are, the scope of testimony that we intend.

And Mr. Williams is here for cross
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exam.
I'm going to make a proffer on behalf of the public. The pubic has been very anxious to stand up and speak. And I'm not putting the public in any different category than Lakeview, but every meeting we hear from members of the public saying when are we going to be able to have a chance to speak.

So, I don't know how -- if the board wants to hear from Lakeview's witnesses first and then members of the public?

I'm good either way. I just wanted to point out that we had thought we would hear from the public first.

MR. REGAN: And I think that's what the board thought, too.

MR. STEINHAGEN: I'm happy to proceed in any fashion that the board wants.

I can do my cross of Mr. Williams
whenever you want. I can present my witnesses
whenever you want, but I would like to note that with respect --

MR. REGAN: You have an engineer, a
planner?
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah, an engineer and
historic preservation.
I don't believe that A-36 [sic] was the subject of testimony.

MS. PRICE: There's no A-36.
There's --
MR. STEINHAGEN: A-31, whatever the number was.

MS. PRICE: A-32 was the last --
MR. STEINHAGEN: Whatever the number
was.
MS. PRICE: I -- and at the beginning of the last hearing, for purposes of housekeeping, I was very detailed in terms of indicating that A-31 was the written product of Mr. Sehnal's testimony at the hearing before.

There's nothing shown on those plans that don't meet with his testimony.

He's been recalled. I have made him available as the board knows time and time again in terms of the engineer.

So, I don't believe that he has to stand up here and have me ask is all your prior testimony reflected in these plans.

I can certainly do that. The answer will be yes. And then we can proceed.
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I'm not going to take any additional testimony on the subject matter of those plans.

If Mr. Steinhagen wants to have a problem with that, he's entitled to it.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So, the reason I -- I -- I do have an issue with it is because in September Mr. Sehnal said: My drainage design works.

And then when he went back, and after we -- we cross examined him, the -- the drainage design changed. The basin in the front is substantially bigger. The basin in the back is bigger.

So, what he told the board that his design works...

MR. REGAN: Well, Mr. Steinhagen, you said you have an engineer as one of your witnesses --

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah.
MR. REGAN: -- presumably that witness

MR. STEINHAGEN: Well --
MR. REGAN: -- will testify as to that issue.

MR. STEINHAGEN: I want to understand whether or not the board is accepting a different stormwater management design without any testimony?

And if the answer is yes, that's fine.
MR. REGAN: It's been marked in evidence, it's part of the record.

MS. PRICE: And any changes to the plan
were done at the behest of the board when we were
asked to consider the addition of a rain garden and a smaller retention basin in the front, and also whether we can size the back any larger.

And we did --
MR. STEINHAGEN: And --
MS. PRICE: Let me just finish.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yup.
MS. PRICE: We did that.
His testimony stands that our original design was fully compliant with DEP regs, that's what he's testified to.

We made additions and revisions at the request of the board and -- and having heard from some members of the public, including people in Lakeview.

MR. STEINHAGEN: My recollection was Mr. Skrable, in response to a question that I asked Mr. Sehnal about -- about the runoff curbs indicated, you need to check that out. And that's what actually happened. They changed the curb numbers, which 18
required them to -- to detain and keep on-site substantially more water than the plan that was presented in September.

And so the fact that an exhibit was marked, if we're not going to have testimony to it, I object.

MS. PRICE: And I'll just stand by my statement.

Mr. Steinhagen can present whatever argument that he wishes to and I'll do the same.

MR. REGAN: That's fine.
Why don't we proceed, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Let's go. The
floor is yours.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Do we want to have cross examination of Mr. Williams now or do we want to have my witnesses?

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: I want to hear your witnesses.

MR. STEINHAGEN: When am I going to get a chance to cross examine the applicant's planner?

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Don't know yet, maybe next month.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay.
(Audience Outburst.)

MR. REGAN: And for the record state your full name and spell your last name, please.

MR. JOY: Selwyn Joy, J-O-Y.

```
MR. STEINHAGEN: Can I go?
Okay. So, your first witness is Selwyn
Joy of Boswell Engineering.
May I ask that he come up and be sworn?
Ms. Price, would you mind if I took the
table?
MS. PRICE: No, I don't mind.
Do you have a report from this expert?
MR. STEINHAGEN: No.
MS. PRICE: No report?
MR. STEINHAGEN: Nope.
MS. PRICE: Okay.
MR. REGAN: Sir, would you raise your right hand, please.
Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?
MR. JOY: I do.
SELWYN JOY, PE
330 Phillips Avenue, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
    Okay. So, your first witness is Selwyn
May I ask that he come up and be sworn?
    Ms. Price, would you mind if I took the
    MS. PRICE: No, I don't mind.
        Do you have a report from this expert?
        MR. STEINHAGEN: No.
        MS. PRICE: No report?
        MS. PRICE: Okay.
        ease.
            or affirm that the
```

            MR. REGAN: And your first name?
            MR. JOY: S-E-L-W-Y-N.
    VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Selwyn, can you give the board the benefit of your educational and professional experience, please?
A. I have two bachelor's from Rutgers University, a Master's from NYU.

And I'm currently a doctoral candidate at New York University.

I currently have a -- working with the state on flood management and changing how flood miles are analyzed.

I have a decade of experience, mainly in hydrology and hydraulics, working in both the public and private sector.

At Boswell Engineering I do the majority of stormwater reviews.

MR. REGAN: Are you a PE in New Jersey? THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. REGAN: For how long?
THE WITNESS: Four years.
MR. REGAN: Have you been qualified in the field of engineering, civil engineering before
any land use boards?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. REGAN: On how many occasions?
THE WITNESS: One.
MR. REGAN: One?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Mr. Chairman, I think he
can be deemed qualified.
BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Just before we start into your testimony, can you give the board the subject matter in which you have a master's degree?
A. Civil engineering with a concentration in urban systems and hydrology.
Q. And what's your Ph.D. thesis going to be on?
A. Flood modeling, remote sensing of flood modeling.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So, he's qualified, Mr. Regan?

MR. REGAN: I qualified him previously. MR. STEINHAGEN: Very good.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Can you tell the board what -- or what
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documents did you review in anticipation of your testimony tonight?
A. The stormwater management report entitled, "Stormwater Management Report" prepared for CSH Old Tappan, LLC, last revised September 2022, as well as the site plans.
Q. And given the board's interest in moving things along, I'm not going to go into -- I'm not going to ask you point-by-point questions, but can you just run through the Lakeview Association's concerns as it relates to the stormwater management design?
A. So off the bat there seems to be certain problems with how the report was analyzed with time of concentrations for the existing conditions, the applicant didn't look into the McCuen-Spiess -- the McCuen-Spiess calculations to figure out the maximum amount of sheet flow, which they used 100, which is the absolute maximum while the state requires you to use the McCuen-Spiess, which would require 35, 43 and 51 for the DA-1, 2A and 2B.

This would mean that in the existing condition, there is a -- it would change the amount of runoff in the existing condition and, therefore,
change the -- the criteria for the proposed condition.

I didn't see any geotechnical reports to prove that the -- the systems would drain.

I didn't see a mounding analysis.
The applicant makes two mentions of
bioretention basin, but the lowest orifice in the systems are at the ground elevation and, therefore, they cannot operate as a bioretention basin. They would -- they would operate more as a detention basin.

And with all of this happening, chances are the bioretention basins or slash retention basins, would discharge more than what the applicant shows.

And that would allow more water to go into the wetland areas adjacent to the basins.

So, the flow capacities of both of those basins are 15.59 and 3.79 for the flow full-pipe capacities.

So, this would not allow the soil to be stable if it actually flowed -- like flowed full.
Q. Which soil are you talking about and where specifically?
A. In both detention basins.

So, in the front and back of the site.
Q. Okay.
A. And so if the soil erosion standards require anything above a certain velocity to be stabilized.

And so the applicant designed it for a certain velocity, and by having a higher velocity, it would no longer be applicable.

And, therefore, there might be additional runoff, additional erosion. And this might end up causing erosion into the Lakeview properties that would end up causing clogging up of their systems and, eventually, after the systems clog up, it would cause more flooding in the area.
Q. Could -- could the erosion cause a diversion of water on the Lakeview property away from the stormwater management -- the stormwater inlet that the applicant is proposing to discharge its stormwater towards?
A. Yes, because it would block up both the inlets, as well as the pipes, by causing runoff of soil.

And by blocking this, it would cause the water to flow elsewhere and cause localized flooding.

|  | 25 |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Is that something that Boswell | 1 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. I have nothing |
| 2 | Engineering would have a problem with if it was | 2 | further, Chairman, and make him available to the |
| 3 | reviewing an application on behalf of a municipal | 3 | board for questions or Ms. Price. |
| 4 | land use board? | 4 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: We're going to get |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | a written report from his gentleman? |
| 6 | Q. Can you elaborate on what kind of | 6 | MR. STEINHAGEN: If you want one, sure. |
| 7 | recommendations you have to avoid that? | 7 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah, I want one. |
| 8 | A. And well -- | 8 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. |
| 9 | Q. Unless there's something else you want | 9 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay? |
| 10 | to talk about. | 10 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Sure. |
| 11 | A. And also just the location of the -- | 11 | MR. MAGGIO: We have to. |
| 12 | the discharges. They are quite close to property | 12 | Tom Skrable is not even here tonight. |
| 13 | boundaries, which if they are not stable or had time | 13 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Right. |
| 14 | to stable, it would end up being -- it wouldn't | 14 | MR. MAGGIO: He's going to need to be |
| 15 | stabilize on the applicant's property, it would | 15 | able to evaluate -- |
| 16 | stabilize elsewhere -- | 16 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Sure. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | MR. MAGGIO: -- what he said. |
| 18 | A. -- or move elsew here quicker. | 18 | MR. STEINHAGEN: That's no problem. |
| 19 | Q. What's next? | 19 | MR. MAGGIO: He will need a report. |
| 20 | A. Oh, can I... | 20 | MR. STEINHAGEN: I can absolutely do |
| 21 | Q. Yeah, sure. | 21 | that. |
| 22 | Do you have anything else? I have a | 22 | MR. REGAN: It would have been helpful |
| 23 | couple more questions. | 23 | if we had it -- |
| 24 | A. No. | 24 | MR. STEINHAGEN: I understand. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. | 25 | I was hoping that we weren't going to |
|  | 26 |  | 28 |
| 1 | Have you seen any analysis of whether | 1 | be here tonight with this. |
| 2 | there's going to be adverse hydraulic impacts? | 2 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You were hoping |
| 3 | Well, first, what is an adverse | 3 | what? |
| 4 | hydraulic impact? | 4 | MR. STEINHAGEN: We were not going to |
| 5 | A. Any adverse hydraulic impact is causing | 5 | be here tonight with this. |
| 6 | flooding elsewhere. | 6 | MR. REGAN: Oh. |
| 7 | So, the state systems, the town systems | 7 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Does any -- |
| 8 | are all analyzed for certain flows, which were | 8 | MR. REGAN: Board questions. |
| 9 | designed whenever they where designed, usually 20, 30 | 9 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah, anybody from |
| 10 | years ago. | 10 | the board have any questions for this gentleman? |
| 11 | And so any extra water that is not | 11 | MR. ELLER: I have one, if anybody -- |
| 12 | anticipated could cause extra water in those systems | 12 | CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Go ahead. |
| 13 | and extra flooding. | 13 | MR. ELLER: Just because I didn't |
| 14 | Q. Do you know if there's been any -- | 14 | necessarily understand what you -- the point you were |
| 15 | since a significant amount of the off-site discharge | 15 | making. |
| 16 | of the stormwater was proposed to go onto the | 16 | Can you just expound on the issue, you |
| 17 | Lakeview property, have you seen in the applicant's | 17 | talked about the elevation of the basins and their |
| 18 | documents that they submitted to the board any | 18 | lowest orifice. Can you expand on that versus the |
| 19 | analysis about whether or not there will be an | 19 | elevation of the Lakeview property and how that... |
| 20 | adverse hydraulic impact on basements in Lakeview, | 20 | THE WITNESS: Well, so the -- the |
| 21 | the Lakeview drainage system? | 21 | comment I made was in terms of how the applicant |
| 22 | A. No, I have not. | 22 | stated that both of the basins were bioretention |
| 23 | Q. And does the Borough's ordinance | 23 | basins. |
| 24 | require that? | 24 | And so in the outlet control structure, |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | the lowest orifice, the lowest outlet hole is at the |

bottom of the basin.
MR. ELLER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: As per the New Jersey
Best Management Practices, the lowest orifice must be above the water-quality storm.

And, therefore, it is not operating as the bioretention basin.

MR. ELLER: And what is the -- okay. So it's just operating, I think you just said, as a retention basin?

THE WITNESS: As a detention basin.
MR. ELLER: So, what is the objection
from, I guess, your part relative -- how is that relevant of the two different types of basins, why does that matter to us?

THE WITNESS: Because the applicant is stating that there's going to be water quality associated with this basin because it is a bioretension basin and it is not.

And, therefore -- the state requires you to perform 80 percent TSS removal on any vehicular, motor vehicle surfaces. And if it is not a bioretention basin, it is -- the state or at least according to the BMPs, it will not achieve 80 percent.
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MR. ELLER: And how -- assuming that's the case, why does -- what does that effect -besides the wording and what we're calling it, and how it's classified by the state, whether it's one or the other, how does that affect this application in terms of...

THE WITNESS: So, it -- it affects the
application in terms of it is not achieving the appropriate TSS removal, but then also because it is so low, it has free discharge.

And if more water goes into the basin,
whether it be because something isn't analyzed as
stated earlier, it would have free -- or be easily
discharged out and causing more discharge downstream.
MR. ELLER: So at that point you're
just letting gravity do its thing?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. ELLER: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Anybody else from
the board?
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Yeah, I do.
You --
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Any questions of this --

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: I do, Mr. Chairman.
designers for the project, we will put it in a -- in a report.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Right, but -but the idea of having an objection to what they've done is maybe to suggest --

MR. REGAN: How the plan can be made better?

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Yes, a plan can be made better --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MR. REGAN: -- a recommendation --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- a better
alternatives.
And for that, then we could maybe understand exactly what he does, what he's recommending and then provide it back to the applicant for their --

MR. STEINHAGEN: We --we will --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- people to
look at and -- and the public to make a decision as to whether or not it's sufficient.
(Audience Outburst.)
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Why don't you ask them for answers.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- or the public
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will make a comment about it.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Like I said, we're happy to put it in writing.

When we -- if you want the -- if you want Lakeview to design a different system, it's going to need to happen a little bit more thoughtfully than tonight.

I'm sure -- I'm sure he can give you suggestions now, but I think we want to really think about how we want them to design their system.

MR. ELLER: Well, I'd have -- I'd to think that your engineer is involved in any conversations you're having regarding the agreement as to drainage, so I'm sure that that's already happening, no?

MR. STEINHAGEN: I don't want to get into what we've been talking about with them.

MR. REGAN: So has your engineer consulted with the applicant's engineer?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
MR. ALESSI: I -- I have a question.
So -- and correct me if I'm wrong, I'm on Nick's side with the education, kudos.

So, the applicant has approval from the
state for meeting state requirements and you're saying he doesn't or they don't?

THE WITNESS: So, the applicant doesn't have to get state approval unless if it -- it goes to a land use board.

And, therefore, it is strictly off of a board engineer's opinion.

MR. ALESSI: But didn't the applicant meet and beat the state's recommendations, and I don't remember the numbers, by reducing the water flow by 60 percent --

THE WITNESS: So --
MR. ALESSI: -- of what the state --
MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Alessi, there hasn't been, as far as know -- there was a Letter of Interpretation delineating wetlands, but as far as we know, the DEP has not reviewed a drainage design.

Similarly, the Bergen County Soil Conservation District has not approved the plan that's currently in front of you yet, at least as far as we know.

So, I don't believe that there is a state review that's been done.

And even if there was, the board has an independent obligation. I think it's the In Re Pond

Watershed Case from 2006 or 2007 to independently evaluate compliance with its ordinance, which is modeled after the state's guidelines and the stormwater management regulations.

I believe your ordinance was adopted in 2006, and the board has an obligation --

MR. ALESSI: I was in grammar school.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Excuse me?
MR. ALESSI: I was in grammar school.
MR. STEINHAGEN: So was I.
(Laughter.)
MR. STEINHAGEN: I think the board has an obligation, irrespective of what the state says, which we don't believe there is such an approval that has reviewed the drainage. And Ms. Price can correct me if I'm wrong.

But the board has an obligation to do it itself in this process here. You have to determine if this project, the plan in front of you, complies with your Stormwater Management Ordinance.

MR. REGAN: The board, I think, knows its responsibilities and has its engineer to rely on.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Absolutely.
But the -- I think the question was the state's reviewed it, why -- why are we doing this.

So, if there is a disturbance to either
a wetland or a flood hazard area, then the New Jersey
Department of Environment Protection, Department of Land Use will then review stormwater management.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Oh, the New Jersey Department, not --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- a local land use board --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- such as
ourselves?
THE WITNESS: That's -- as far as I know, as stated, there is no disturbance at the moment.

And, therefore, there is no approval from the DEP.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay.
MR. STEINHAGEN: But, again, Mr. Mamary.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Mamary. MR. STEINHAGEN: I'm sorry.
Even if there was a permit, the Supreme
MR. ALESSI: Unfortunately, our engineer is not here tonight, so we'll have to wait --

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Counsel, aren't we a land use board.

MR. ALESSI: -- and defer to him.
MR. REGAN: You are a land use board.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay.
So, that is what you're claiming, that they needed to do something, unless it was in front -- unless or because it's in front of the land use board.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat that question.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay.
You mentioned the word that some
application or some qualification had to be done because --

THE WITNESS: Well --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- because or if it was going to come in front of a land use board.

And you said that the applicant is not in compliance because they didn't come in front of the board or they didn't present?

Could you please explain that?
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THE WITNESS: That is a different

Court case law says that you have to do it yourselves also.

MS. HAVERILLA: So, our board attorney -- our board engineer who has looked at all the plans and looked at them from the beginning and gave opinions and gave specifications how to increase everything because he wasn't happy and then, finally, he does review everything and he finds that it is proper and it works.

You're saying -- you're disagreeing with what the board engineer has found to be sufficient and -- and works for the property -- for the application?

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: As well as the state.
MS. HAVERILLA: As well as the state?
THE WITNESS: According to --
MS. HAVERILLA: But you have no suggestions as to how to make that better?

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Not yet.
MS. HAVERILLA: Not yet.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Do you want to give some off-the-cuff suggestions now?
(Audience Outburst.)
MR. REGAN: I don't think that --
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: We want to -- I
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want a report so --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Yes, yes. That
he could -- he could say --
MR. STEINHAGEN: To just tell me what you want.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: He could say some suggestions, but --

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Again, it's not what --

MR. STEINHAGEN: It's not his job to do that, sir.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: So --
MR. MAGGIO: Here's a question for you, why is this coming up today?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Because generally the way that the land use process works is the applicant presents its case -- -

MR. MAGGIO: Uh-huh.
MR. STEINHAGEN: -- which we still haven't finished it yet.

MR. MAGGIO: Right.
MR. STEINHAGEN: And then interested parties present their own testimony afterwards, and
-- and -- which is where we are.

They need to get -- they need to rebut it or not rebut it, if you agree with it.

And then we need to have an opportunity
to question them.
MR. STEINHAGEN: And --
MR. MAGGIO: And we need to see recommendations for it.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Absolutely.
And I'll promise the board that we will
get this to the board and the applicant well in
advance of whenever this is -- if it's not done
tonight, we will get it to the board and the applicant well in advance of the next date.

MR. REGAN: So, you're saying also that there's no potential for settlement between the applicant --

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no. No. That is absolutely --

MR. REGAN: If that's off the table, let us know.

MR. MAGGIO: Is that unrelated to this?
MR. STEINHAGEN: The -- my client has advised me to not ever close the door on a
settlement.
I hope we have a settlement.
And if there is, we will advise the board to that effect also.

MR. REGAN: I -- because I'm -- I'm confused at the -- at the last meeting, at the end of it, my notes reflect, Mr. Steinhagen advises agreement with Lakeview -- agreement reached with Lakeview -- between Lakeview and the applicant.

THE WITNESS: Pending.
MR. REGAN: And we'll have a copy of the agreement.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So --
MR. REGAN: So, I went home thinking, okay, that's resolved.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So did I and as of today, I still don't have comments on the written agreement I sent in November to the applicant.

I have an e-mail that says here are our concerns, but I still don't have comments on the document that I transmitted.

MR. REGAN: Okay.
We've been dealing with this for ten months. The prospect of a settlement I think is unlikely, but why don't we just proceed,

Mr. Chairman.
MR. BEDIAN: Mr. Chairman, I have a -CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yeah. MR. BEDIAN: I have a question, if I may?

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Please.
Go ahead, the floor is yours.
MR. BEDIAN: I'm a little bit confused, there's two basins, which one you -- you're contesting that it's not bioretention?

THE WITNESS: Uhmmm.
MR. BEDIAN: There's one in the front, which is Basin No. 1 or 2 actually and the bigger one is at the back?

THE WITNESS: Neither, neither operate as a bioretention basin.

MR. BEDIAN: Although it's labeled as bioretention/detention basin?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
So, in the report there is no evaluation of the water-quality storm.

And then the orifice, if you review or turn to page --

MR. BEDIAN: Because the drawing doesn't say bioretention. It just says Detention

Basin No. 1.
I'm looking at the drawing, which is --
I'll tell you the drawing number, on the --
MR. STEINHAGEN: On page 7.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. BEDIAN: I'm just looking at my computer.

THE WITNESS: On page 7 of the site plan it is referred to as bioretention basin, as well as in the stormwater management report, last revised September, on page 6.

MR. BEDIAN: I'm looking at the drawing.

It says drainage and utility plan, Sheet No. 7.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: What's the date?
MR. BEDIAN: The date is $5 / 18$.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Do you know what revision number you're looking at on the top right?
(Brief Pause.)
MR. STEINHAGEN: Would it be helpful if
he came over and pointed it out?
MR. BEDIAN: Well, it's -- it's on my laptop, so...

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no, no, I mean,
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where it says bioretention basin.
MR. BEDIAN: There's two -- two basins, one at the front, which --

THE WITNESS: And one at the back.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: I think we're looking
at two different reports.
That one's May -- what date are you
saying?
9
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there's also a sand filter and without geotech and since the -- the orifice is on the bottom, they will -- you cannot state that it will infiltrate into the ground.

MR. MAGGIO: You -- you said that before, you had mentioned without a geotech, but we do have geotechnical information.

Has that just not been provided to you?
THE WITNESS: It is not included in the report.

MR. MAGGIO: But there are --
MS. PRICE: Yes.
MR. MAGGIO: I'm looking at you, Gail.
There has been --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MS. PRICE: We did it twice.
MR. STEINHAGEN: They do have it, they
did.
MR. MAGGIO: There has been
information, there was a packet of 31 documents that I have, right?

MS. PRICE: Yes, we did it twice.
MR. MAGGIO: So, can we make sure that he gets that?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes. Sure.

MR. MAGGIO: You should make sure you get that, so that that doesn't become a qualifier.

Let's make sure that send that to him.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah, I have that.
MR. MAGGIO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Anyone else on the
board with to be heard?
MR. ALESSI: I have a -- I want to go back, Mr. Joy, to answering Ms. Haverilla's question about the qualifications of our engineer Mr. Skrable, that seem to get brushed aside.

He's -- he's been looking at these
plans for ten months and has been approving them and had been talking with Mr. Sehnal, if I pronounced it correctly, if not, I apologize, but you didn't answer her question.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Before you do, Mr. Skrable, while he approved of certain aspects of it, he also voiced a very strong concern about another aspect of it.

So as long as we're clear about what he's -- what he has done.

And I think he should speak for
himself, but I know that he has very strong concerns about the way that that back basin is being
discharged onto Lakeview.
MS. PRICE: Okay. If Mr. Steinhagen's going to testify, I'm going to object.

I've given --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MR. STEINHAGEN: I'm not testifying.
MS. PRICE: I've given leeway so far so we can move everything along, but I want to note the objection for the record.

MR. REGAN: I think your objection is noted.

The record will speak for itself.
MR. STEINHAGEN: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Anyone else --
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: I have one more question, this process has been ongoing for months and when the drainage was presented, why wasn't somebody questioning it at that point or shortly thereafter?

That was months ago when we started that.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Is that a question for the witness?

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: No, that's a question for you.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So, is Ms. Price going to object to me testifying?

MS. PRICE: I think you can answer the board member's question.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Right, so I asked -- I
asked Mr. Sehnal questions about the drainage, but
I'm not an engineer, I think thankfully, and asking a witness questions is very different than presenting an affirmative case.

This is the time that the association's case goes on the record. It's like -- well, that's all I can say.

I mean, why -- you can't ask the objector/interested party to present witnesses during the applicant's case, the applicant wouldn't allow that.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: But you could have been prepared behind the scenes with an expert that guided you on the questions to ask --

MR. STEINHAGEN: Sure.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: -- and it doesn't seem that you were, which makes it harder for all of us, including the residents, to sit here on the night that they're supposed to be speaking, to hear that your expert now saying that everything that's been
done today is not correct and all he's doing it is based on testimony without any document.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So, I don't think that it's fair to say that everything that's done is incorrect.

And, frankly, a lot of this, we don't have issue with, assuming certain tweaks are made. These aren't major changes that we're recommending.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: We've had ten months to make tweaks and we've been making tweaks.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Well --
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: We've been asking for tweaks as well.

Let me just ask one more question and then I'll be quiet.

So, you're -- you have a problem with
it being classified as a -- I can't remember the phrase, a bio -- does it qualify as a retention basin?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: So --
THE WITNESS: One of them does.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: -- are we splitting hairs on the words?

Wait, wait, let him answer.
THE WITNESS: The reason why I brought that up is: One, it implies that there will be more infiltration into the ground naturally by -- by it being a bioretention basin, it has to allow the water-quality storm to infiltrate into the ground, thus reducing the amount of runoff that can discharge out.

And as a result, if more discharge does go out by any of the other -- as a result of any of the other measures, pressure flow will cause more water out.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: But a retention basin is also going to drain in the ground, right?

THE WITNESS: No, not -- not necessarily.

A detention basin is meant to allow for free discharge. It is not a "BMP" allowable infiltration method.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Okay.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Okay. You're going to do a report and our engineer, if he was here tonight, we would be able to move this process forward, probably make a resolution one way or another.

But in the meantime, you're going to
make a report, you're going to provide it back to the board. Our engineer will review it, review it with the engineer of the applicant.

And then we'll -- the Chair will make a decision on how we're moving forward with the rest of the testimony, whether you need to be brought back or however that works.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Can I just --
MR. BEDIAN: I have a rhetorical question, say for sake of argument you had an -- you had an agreement with 24 Old Tappan Road [sic], then your objection, you know, goes sideways or you're still going to have an objection?

Sounds to me, you know, because you don't have an agreement, now you're objecting.

MR. STEINHAGEN: I -- I don't know if that's a fair question to ask the engineer.

MR. BEDIAN: Because last time we went home, we all assumed we had an agreement, not us, but you, you know, with -- with the applicant.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: You also made a statement, Mr. Steinhagen, that your applicant has said she will not make an agreement.

So what -- what --
MR. STEINHAGEN: I never said that. I
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said we will not close the door.
I hope we can get to an agreement.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: So, what -- what does
closing the door mean, coming to an agreement, doesn't it?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: So, when -- what's -when is that going to happen?

MR. STEINHAGEN: As soon as I get comments on it, I'm going to -- I worked on over the Thanksgiving holiday on a document and I still don't have comments on it. I still don't have comments on it.

I appreciate the board's frustration.
I'm frustrated, too.
I would love to have come here tonight to say, we have an agreement, Lakeview's concerns about the drainage are put to bed and we don't have to do this. That is what I hoped for.

Unfortunately, and perhaps -- and I'm still hopeful that we can get that before the next meeting if the applicant wants to, we do.

MR. REGAN: We've been hearing this for months.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Well...

## MS. PRICE: Mr. Chairman? <br> MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It takes two to tango. <br> MR. STEINHAGEN: It takes two to tango. <br> MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Okay. <br> MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah, as the member of

 the public says, it takes two to tango.CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay.
MR. STEINHAGEN: I was asked to
withhold my objection tonight without an agreement and prejudice my client.

And I said no, I'm not doing that.
MS. PRICE: That is absolutely not
accurate and this record needs to reflect that while Mr. Steinhagen got me a proposed agreement on the afternoon of November 30th, which was two weeks after we last met here, I needed to get it to transactional counsel in DC for comments and drafting. And transactional counsel had accurate objections, because they were in agreement with my objections.

So what I did instead, try to make it much simpler, was I detailed an 11 or 12 bullet agreement back to Mr. Steinhagen and said it seems like we're talking about linguistics here and the content of the agreement between our two clients can 56
be broken down as A through M.
And the matter of taking A through M and putting it into an agreement, doesn't stop an agreement from being reached by two clients.

So, the fact that we don't have a signed agreement here is frustrating to me because I did spend time taking it out of what was an inaccurate agreement, and I drafted all of the bullet points that we've been talking about for ten months and I got it back to Mr. Steinhagen a couple of days ago.

He's also heard from our transactional counsel.

So, any inference that this has been like, you know, bad applicant, is absolutely false.

And that -- and the record needs to be clear on that.

MR. STEINHAGEN: And if you want to talk about what happened after that, you got a response from me that day.

And the only thing I had a concern about was your request that we not proceed tonight in the absence of an agreement so that the board can take a vote. And then what happens if we don't have an agreement after tonight? That's my problem.

MS. PRICE: You did get a proposal.
You got an 11 or 12 paragraph document back from me laying everything out so that our two clients could agree to this drainage issue that has been percolating --

MR. STEINHAGEN: And --
MS. PRICE: -- sorry for the pun --
over the past couple of months.
MR. STEINHAGEN: And as to the substance, I agreed to all of them.

And I asked you for a proposal how as not to prejudice my client based upon your request that we not proceed tonight.

MS. PRICE: I didn't ask you not to proceed tonight.

MR. STEINHAGEN: We --
MR. REGAN: I think I need to interject
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here.
MS. PRICE: I did --
MR. REGAN: The board -- the board is
taking action on this application one way or the
other, favorable or unfavorable to the applicant.
It's not contingent on an agreement --
MR. STEINHAGEN: Absolutely.
MS. PRICE: Correct.
MR. REGAN: -- between the applicant and
Lakeview.
MS. PRICE: Correct, right.
MR. REGAN: The board doesn't have to give that any consideration at all.

MR. STEINHAGEN: But to get to an agreement --

MR. ELLER: We can put a stipulation, though, correct?

MR. REGAN: Not -- well, if it's going
to modify the plan, they would have to come back to the board. Okay?

I mean, every resolution I prepare, any action of any other -- any other agency modifies the plan that the board approves, there has to be a return to the board.

But the board's decision --

MR. MAGGIO: Are you close?
MR. REGAN: -- or the ability to move forward and either approve or deny the application is not contingent in any way whether there's an agreement between the applicant and Lakeview.

MR. MAGGIO: So are you close to a settlement?

MR. STEINHAGEN: As soon as I get a copy --

MR. MAGGIO: Can you both just look at each other and tell me --

MR. STEINHAGEN: As soon as I get a copy of the document, and I'll tell this to Ms. Price, I'm going to review it and I'm going to give you comments back.

MS. PRICE: No, and we're talking about linguistics.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Correct.
MS. PRICE: We do have an agreement on the terms.

The terms that we thought we had when we left here the middle of November.

Our client hasn't changed them. And that agreement is on the exhibit that I had filed with the board.

MR. MAGGIO: Mr. Steinhagen, do you agree with that statement?

MR. REGAN: It really doesn't matter.
MR. MAGGIO: I'm just curious, I want to know if we're close.
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MR. REGAN: It really doesn't matter.
MR. MAGGIO: Are they -- are they --
because if we're close, let's get this resolved.
If we're not close, let's get the public up here to make all their comments --

MR. STEINHAGEN: I, unfortunately --
MR. MAGGIO: -- and let's vote then.
MR. STEINHAGEN: I unfortunately -- I
hope I do. Unfortunately, I haven't seen the linguistic -- this agreement that we have. I don't know what it is.

MR. ELLER: But she's talking about the terms, forget the -- the wording of it.

MR. STEINHAGEN: The essential terms, the consideration in what we're doing, yes.

MR. MAGGIO: That's all I wanted to hear.

MS. PRICE: Yes.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes. Well, I -- and I
think -- but we need a document to protect my client. That's all.

MR. ELLER: No one is arguing that.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Well --
MR. ELLER: -- as long as you're in
agreement in principal, you guys can work it out.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Absolutely, but I -- I
can't have a situation where we say we have an agreement in principal and then someone says I'm not signing it.

That's all. That's my concern.
MR. ELLER: Of course.
MR. REGAN: You're lucky this board is patient, because a court would not be anywhere near as patient.
(Audience Outburst.)
MS. PRICE: I have -- I have a couple of cross questions for this witness.
(Audience Chatter.)
MR. REGAN: Everyone on the board has asked their questions?

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
Then the applicant can question.
MS. PRICE: Okay. I just have a
couple.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. Sir, when were you retained in connection with this project?
A. I don't -- I can't speak on that. The -- the firm went through another member of the firm.
Q. So, you don't know when you were retained?

MR. REGAN: When was the firm retained?
We don't need --
MS. PRICE: Right.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Over the summer.
MS. PRICE: No, no, no, I want to hear
it from the witness, Mr. Steinhagen.
MR. STEINHAGEN: He just told you he doesn't know.

MS. PRICE: No.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. Okay. So you don't know.

Do you know who retained your firm?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You do not? Okay.

MR. REGAN: What engineer in your firm was retained, was it Kevin Boswell? Tell me who, who
it was, before it got to your desk.
THE WITNESS: It was handed to me by
Steve Boswell.
MR. REGAN: Steve Boswell. Okay, one of the partners of the firm.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. Have you personally reached out to our engineer at all during this process?
A. I have not.
Q. Have you conducted any site visits or asked for any site visits that involve the rear of our property and the common boundary line of the Lakeview property?
A. I have not.
Q. And I -- did I hear it right that you've only testified at one planning or zoning board meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was that?
A. East Hanover.
Q. East Hanover? Okay.

Isn't it a fact, in the stormwater management report, in the appendix, that it is listed with the hydrograph summary reports water-quality design system, did you see that in the appendix?

When you did your review, which was the foundation for your testimony tonight, did you have an opportunity to review that?
A. I did.
Q. You did.

But you're still testifying this
evening that there are no water-quality provisions
despite the content of the report?

## A. I -- I did not state that.

Q. You did not.

You stated what, that the water quality there doesn't work?
A. I stated that there seems to be issues in how the analysis was performed and, therefore, these -- this analysis is based off of a very certain circumstance.

It's off of what everything stated in this report is correct and, therefore, if one of those circumstances is not correct, therefore, it is -- it cannot -- it will not operate that way.
Q. Okay.

So to that end, can you tell me, based upon your review of the case and your getting ready for tonight's hearing, what DEP regulation is being violated by this application with regard to drainage?
A. It does not follow the -- the Best Management Practices of -- in the State of New Jersey.
Q. What regulation under --
A. N.J.A.C. 7:8.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry?
THE WITNESS: N.J.A.C. 7:8.
MR. REGAN: N.J.A.C.?
MS. PRICE: Yes.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. Does that section speak of particular regulations concerning the number of years of the storm, the creation of detention and retention ponds and water quality or are you giving me the general best practices citations right now?
A. It states that as well as refers to the Best Management Practices manual.
Q. It states that as best practices management, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So is it your opinion sitting here tonight, without a report, that this applicant needed to get different DEP approval or A DEP approval for this plan?
A. Not at the moment, no.
Q. The wetlands are not being impacted, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And there's no discharge permit that we would need based upon your review?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And did you not review the soil tests that were supplied to the board in connection with the geotech?
A. I did not.
Q. So that goes for either set of geotech reports that were supplied to the board, you didn't review either one of those?
A. No, because they were not attached to the stormwater management report.
Q. So, you didn't ask to review anything else that related to drainage?
A. No, best -- best practices is for everything associated with the report to be attached within the report. So --
Q. Wait a minute, wait, wait.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Let him answer, let
him answer.
MS. PRICE: Again --
THE WITNESS: Best practices --
question.
THE WITNESS: Best practices is for everything associated with the report to be included in the report so someone reviewing said document can see all pertinent information.

## BY MS. PRICE:

Q. But, again, we're talking about your citation to best practices without specific citation to a regulation.

And you did not review what's been submitted by our engineer in connection with additional reports, correct?

Your report -- your review was limited to review of this stormwater report?
A. Yes, as -- as stated earlier, my review, it is on this, as well as the site plans.
Q. So, if there were soil tests done on two separate occasions, that would be contra to your testimony that there's no geotech in this record?

## A. Correct.

MS. PRICE: I don't have anything else at this point in time, but I reserve given the absence of a report and my own engineer.

MR. MAGGIO: That's what I was going to
ask, if we get a written report, will Mr. Sehnal be responding to that?

MS. PRICE: I don't -- I think it's going to depend on what the written report says to tell you the truth, to be as honest as I can, because --

MR. MAGGIO: Okay.
MS. PRICE: -- hearing the stuff for the first time tonight and without any specific reference to any regulation, I don't know if we're going to respond other than to say that.

So, I'll see when we get anything in, I'll be certain to review it.

MR. MAGGIO: Two -- two questions; one is for the two of you, Mr. Steinhagen and Mr. Joy.

You mentioned earlier, it just came out where you said we're talking about minor issues here. Did I hear that right?

Is this not a major situation that's being reported upon or did I hear that in context that something else?

MR. STEINHAGEN: I did say that.
MR. MAGGIO: Okay.
So what does that mean if it's not a major issue?

Why -- then why would we spend the last 45 minutes talking about it?

MR. STEINHAGEN: Well, for -- I thought I -- we were at least -- there were a lot of questions from the board.

MR. MAGGIO: Sure.
We're a pretty good board.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah. So --
MR. MAGGIO: I know we don't look that
smart.
MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no, no, no.
MR. MAGGIO: But we actually are.
MR. STEINHAGEN: I wish the board that I sat on asked questions like this and they didn't.

And so I think you guys are doing a great job, for what that's worth.

It's our opinion that subject to the -to the issues that Mr. Joy is going -- has and will further identify in a report, and I think Mr. Skrable has told you that the new design, putting aside my objection to it being considered without testimony, is generally acceptable and that's why my client is willing now, as opposed to in September when the design did not work, because they did not properly calculate existing conditions, my client is willing
to enter into an agreement, because the system properly accounts for stormwater coming down, and what's going out, subject to these -- to these suggestions that we're going -- we will put in writing.

MS. PRICE: I just want it on the record that Mr. Steinhagen's opinion of what did or didn't qualify as provable --

MR. MAGGIO: Not evidence.
MS. PRICE: -- or correct is his opinion and that's nowhere in the record, dating back to the September.

MR. MAGGIO: I'm looking at my notes from August 10th, so that's before September.

And I wrote that Tom Skrable expressed concern about drainage because the church had not provided access.

And Tom was going to issue something in writing. I don't remember if he did or he didn't.

That was the only thing I had. I've checked all my notes here. I've looked up Tom's name. There's nothing else about that.

MR. STEINHAGEN: If you bear with me --
MR. MAGGIO: -- I don't know if it's
fair to say that the design didn't work.

MR. STEINHAGEN: So, if you bear with me for one second, I'm going to pull the exhibit number up.

MR. MAGGIO: Sure.
MR. STEINHAGEN: I think I have it.
MR. MAGGIO: And -- and really Tom should be here for this conversation.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Yes. Absolutely correct.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Mr. Regan, do you have the runoff of the -- the exhibit number, it was an -I think an $L$ exhibit for the runoff curb?

MR. REGAN: It was an L-1 exhibit.
MR. STEINHAGEN: That was in September?
MR. REGAN: Let me look.
October, Objection L-1, urban hydrology
for small watersheds.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes, right here.
So --
MR. REGAN: That was the October meeting.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Right.
So, L-1, Mr. Sehnal and I had a conversation about whether or not this was --

MR. MAGGIO: When you're done, I'm got
-- I'm going to ask --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MR. STEINHAGEN: -- forested or whether
or not there had been agricultural activity going on
and I think he said there were deer and so that it was -- it was being used for grazing.

And once that testimony came out, I believe, and it's my recollection, that Mr. Skrable said that should be checked.

And after that should be -- after that was checked, then the plans changed.

That's my recollection.
MR. MAGGIO: And in fairness, on my notes -- on my notes from the September 14th --

MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes.
MR. MAGGIO: -- he did feel that there was an issue about water flowing overland to Lakeview, repair the basin for erosion in a large storm should not be the responsibility of Lakeview and the church. And he thought it was a soil conservation agency issue.

I'm just -- I'm just reading my notes.
And then I've got -- and then that's -- I have
another commentary here.
One last question, and to follow up to
one of Ms. Price's questions to Mr. Joy, when were you assigned this?

THE WITNESS: Yesterday. MR. MAGGIO: That's what I figured.
Okay.
(Audience Outburst.)
MR. REGAN: Yesterday.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: That's a good
question.
MR. ALESSI: I -- I have a question for Mr. Joy.

Do you -- you have documents in front of you from yesterday, and you said you only reviewed, excuse me, what was given to you.

As a professional, two masters, Ph.D., sitting on boards before, did you know there was documents missing that was pertinent to the testimony you were going to give tonight?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
As I mentioned, typically everything
pertinent in regards to stormwater management, so, on behalf of -- for Boswell Engineering, I do stormwater management for the majority of the municipalities and, therefore, everything -- and we -- we force all of our applicants to -- all pertinent information in
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regards to your stormwater management be within the stormwater management report, and that way when the DEP reviews it, we -- they can see everything.

And, therefore, since this was the completed or revised document, I believed that everything was there.

MR. ALESSI: So, your testimony was
based on the belief that you had received everything, but knowing that it's not everything that you've always received.

And you didn't think to ask anybody, is there more documentation so I can give a thorough testimony on what is going on?

MR. MAGGIO: He only got it yesterday.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: He got it yesterday.

MS. LOULOUDIS: Yes.
MR. ALESSI: Maybe we shouldn't be --
MR. MAGGIO: Dan, is the --
MR. ELLER: Guys --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: No, no, listen, I have a different question, though.

Now that you know there are additional info that you could have been provided, even though
it wasn't where it was supposed to be, is it possible that reviewing that additional info would give you an opportunity to give the same or a different opinion? THE WITNESS: It -- it could do either. MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Oh, good. THE WITNESS: Several of the -- of the comments will remain regardless of the geotech or not.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Right.
And he's going to give us a report.
So, he'll get the additional information. He'll
consider it. He'll write up his report, probably give it to --

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Well, as long as he gets it --

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- his -- hi
bosses, so to speak, for their review, and then it will go to the other -- other side so...

MR. ELLER: Guys, we've been doing this for over an hour.

Can we just ask two things?
One, Mr. Steinhagen, can you make sure that your engineer, whoever else in the firm is required, has all the documents that have been presented?
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MR. STEINHAGEN: Yes.
MR. ELLER: And, two, what's a
reasonable timeline for you guys to turn over that report?

Because the holidays are coming up, we're going to have three, four weeks. It's going to be mayhem.

Is there -- if we said seven days from today, can you get a report to our engineer and to the applicant so that everybody has three weeks to get their comments, get everything in order before the next meeting?

Because once the holidays hit, we're going to be into January. It's going to be New Years and before we know it, we're going to be sitting here again and nobody is going to have answers.

So, seven days from -- next Wednesday, can you get us a report by close of business?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. ELLER: If not earlier. The earlier, the better.

So, it would come to both us and to the applicant, so we can post it publically, everyone can review it and then you guys can have your conversation about it.

## MR. STEINHAGEN: No.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: -- right, not one.
MR. STEINHAGEN: No, the -- the issue here is where is the water going.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: No, no, I mean, in principal, the principal of the agreement that we had a month or two ago that now is gone, and you said I have to get my applicant to sign off on it.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Well --
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: -- you didn't want them to be held at a disadvantage, you're talking about two different groups that have to sign off, right, a person and --

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, no.
MR. ELLER: He's asking who the parties
are?
MR. STEINHAGEN: No. So the answer is
no.
The agreement would be between the developer, CSH, and Lakeview, because the proposal is, rather than go through the church through the easement that we heard about at the beginning, rather than discharge out of a scour hole onto the Lakeview property, the proposal is -- and I don't know if
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|  | 81 |  | 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bring them up. | 1 | MR. REGAN: For the record, state your |
| 2 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Tim Adriance. | 2 | full name, please, spell your last name and give us |
| 3 | MR. REGAN: Who? | 3 | your address. |
| 4 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Tim Adriance. | 4 | MR. ADRIANCE: Timothy Daniel Adriance, |
| 5 | MR. REGAN: Well, he signed up as a | 5 | A-D-R-I-A-N-C-E, 86 East Main Street, Bergenfield, |
| 6 | witness on the -- on the -- | 6 | New Jersey. |
| 7 | MR. STEINHAGEN: There was little bit | 7 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION |
| 8 | of confusion, I think, about how the board was | 8 | BY MR. STEINHAGEN: |
| 9 | proceeding tonight. | 9 | Q. Tim, can you, please, give the -- tell |
| 10 | I think he's going to testify tonight | 10 | the board a little bit about yourself so that we can |
| 11 | now and that's it. | 11 | get you qualified. |
| 12 | MR. MAGGIO: That's it? | 12 | A. I have practiced historic preservation |
| 13 | MS. HAVERILLA: He's actually spoken | 13 | in Bergen County for the last 40 years. |
| 14 | before the board. | 14 | I professionally work as the consultant |
| 15 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: He has spoken. | 15 | for the boroughs of Westwood, Paramus, pro bono to |
| 16 | MR. MAGGIO: Yes. | 16 | Closter, where I am the historic preservation |
| 17 | Not on the -- | 17 | chairman for their commission. |
| 18 | MR. REGAN: We can cross him off the | 18 | I have been the historic representative |
| 19 | witness -- the public witness list. | 19 | for the County of Bergen Open Space Trust Fund and |
| 20 | MR. ADRIANCE: Not on the application. | 20 | chairman of that body for about three or four years |
| 21 | MS. HAVERILLA: No, on the history of | 21 | as my memory recalls. |
| 22 | Old Tappan. | 22 | Past president of the Bergen County |
| 23 | MR. ADRIANCE: Correct. | 23 | Historical Society. |
| 24 | MR. REGAN: He testified previously. | 24 | THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, slow |
| 25 | MR. STEINHAGEN: Did he testify or did | 25 | down, sir. |
|  | 82 |  | 84 |
| 1 | he ask questions? | 1 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 2 | MR. ADRIANCE: I spoke pubically in | 2 | Past president of the Bergen County |
| 3 | August in regard to the general history of Old | 3 | Historical Society. |
| 4 | Tappan. | 4 | I've written two books on historic |
| 5 | MR. REGAN: He gave a background, I | 5 | projects. |
| 6 | guess. | 6 | I've also been retained by Clarkstown, |
| 7 | (Simultaneous Speaking.) | 7 | New York; Tenafly, the list goes on. |
| 8 | MR. SCOZZAFAVA: We called it | 8 | I have over 80 projects, which I |
| 9 | testimony, because we swore him in. | 9 | personally restored, over my tenure in the field. |
| 10 | So, are we doing it again. | 10 | I am now just solely a consultant. |
| 11 | MS. HAVERILLA: Not -- | 11 | My most recent project was the 60th |
| 12 | MR. ELLER: In any event, they have the | 12 | Governor of Delaware's house in Laurel, Delaware. |
| 13 | right to bring up who they want to bring up as far as | 13 | I'm currently working on numerous other |
| 14 | I'm concerned. | 14 | application projects for a national register. |
| 15 | MR. REGAN: Let's go, we're wasting | 15 | I have been quoted in numerous |
| 16 | time. | 16 | publications, books. |
| 17 | Sir, would you raise your right hand, | 17 | I'm been the guest lecturer for |
| 18 | please? | 18 | Montclair State University. |
| 19 | Do you swear or affirm that the | 19 | I've been employed by the County of |
| 20 | testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be | 20 | Bergen to teach historic preservation. |
| 21 | the truth, so help you God? | 21 | I probably know more about the Jersey |
| 22 | MR. ADRIANCE: Yes. | 22 | Dutch Stone House than any other individual. |
| 23 | TIMOTHY DANIEL ADRIANCE, | 23 | And that's -- has been said to me by |
| 24 | 86 East Main Street, Bergenfield, New Jersey, | 24 | other people. |
| 25 | having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: | 25 | BY MR. STEINHAGEN: |

Q. And putting aside your work for boards, have you ever testified in front of any land use boards?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've been qualified as an expert?
A. Yes.
Q. In what field?
A. As a historic preservation expert.

MR. REGAN: I don't have a problem with
him being qualified in that field.
I'm concerned about the relevance, but
let's see.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Is that -- is that a ruling from the Chair?

MR. REGAN: Yes, he's been qualified.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Okay. Great.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Tim, can you just -- we talked earlier today. Why don't you just run through your issues with what's going on.
A. Okay.

The property in question has the historic Gerrit Haring House, that house is on the National Register of Historic Places.

It was placed on the National Register amongst the thematic nomination that was prepared by the County of Bergen under the auspices of the Office of Cultural and Historic Affairs, direction of Allen Roth and Clare Thol.

The national register was created in 1964, after the establishment of the National Historic Preservation Act.

The intention of the national register, most people don't understand what its intention is, is to protect historic cultural sites from the actions of government.

Local designation within the State of New Jersey, which is empowered by the Municipal Land Use Law, through historic preservation commissions, protects historic properties from private owners.

Here we have the board here in Old Tappan making a decision relative -- relevant to a historic property, and the actions of the board in the sense of their decision, has a detrimental or a positive affect on the building.

Statements have been made concerning the movement of the structure.

It's very important for the Borough of Old Tappan to know that if they are going to be
accepting this structure, moved from its original site, that it will lose its national register designation. That goes without saying.

It has to go back before the state historic preservation board officer so that the SHPO will make a determination of whether or not it still has eligibility.

The importance of eligibility in the aspect of the government here in Old Tappan, is not only to be able to insure the protections that would go in perpetuity for the building in the ownership of the town, but, most importantly, for the grant opportunities that will be lost if you're not able to obtain national register designation, once the building has been moved.

So, if there are thoughts that there are going to be improvements to the structure, maintenance, other things that are a financial need, you may be cancelling all those out with the removal of the national register designation.

I've also heard statements, being here for the meetings, that there are certain aspects of the building that have been declared historic.

The entire structure when it was placed on the national register is the entire structure, not
a stone section, not missing wings.
There has been, according to what I
understand, and correct the board, please tell me, if you have received a Phase I Cultural Resources Study for this property. Has there been an actual real study of the historic properties of this building?

Has a document been prepared?
Has a study been prepared?
I believe it has not, from my inquiries
with individuals within the historic preservation community in the State of New Jersey, has not happened.

> So, we don't even know the real
history.
Q. Before we go on, in the event that the board were to approve this application and authorize the relocation of the Gerrit Haring House to the Borough, would you recommend that as a condition of approval?
A. Would I recommend --
Q. That they be required, the applicant be required to do that, submit it, prepare it and so that it's --
A. A Phase $I$ is -- is the most primary. It absolutely has to happen before anything is done
in relationship to moving the structure.
It should possibly include
archeological investigation, dendrochronology, deed searching, architectural study, photographic evidence before anything is moved.

So, yes, a Phase I study is the preliminary, it's the first thing that you do.
Q. What else needs to get done?
A. If the board is going to agree that a prerequisite to the approval of the project is the moving of this historic resource within the community, then the board needs to insure that the project is going to be carried through to its fruition.

In other words, a bond of a very significant amount, needs to be required by the board to the applicant so that in granting permission for their development to go forward, if the building should, oops, fall apart during the course of its move and -- that does happen and I've seen it, what is the recourse by the Borough of Old Tappan in relevance to the decision that, oh, well, the agreement is that the historic structure is going to be moved, we're going to retain our history and you can build your site.

If it's not done, what does the Borough know in the sense of assurance that it will happen?

Words are one thing, a bond is another thing.

What's the guarantee?
Would -- would the Borough take the applicant to court and then what becomes of the historic property?

Does it get rebuilt in some sort of a forum?

Old Tappan has lost a significant history. I mean, just recently you lost the Blauvelt house on Dewolf Road at the corner where it intersects with Orangeburgh. That's pre-1840. That just disappeared.

So, here you have another property that could be gone tomorrow.
Q. If the Gerrit Haring House were to be destroyed, damaged, fall apart, how would that impact the Borough?
A. The Borough of Old Tappan has a significant history with the Haring family. The entire Borough was the Haring family farms.

Cosyn Haring -- anybody remember
I ngleside Nursing Home? Okay, Ingleside Nursing

Home, the older building, inside there was Cosyn Haring's house.

Cosyn was the son of J an Pietersen
Haring. Jan Pietersen Haring was one of the three Tappan patentees. Old Tappan gets its name because it's the old Haring Farm of when Harrington Township was split up and Old Tappan wanted to distinguish itself as part of the Tappan patent.

So, losing the Haring House is another removal. It's almost like -- let's just take the eyeballs off of the Mona Lisa and still call it the same painting. You're going to remove the history of this town if you lose this cultural resource.

It's valuable because it's not tucked away on some side street. This is right on the main street right in the middle of town. Everyone sees it. Everyone knows it.

So, the Jersey Dutch House is one of three indigenous forms of architecture of the United States.

You've got the skyscraper, which was invented in Chicago.

You've got the ranch house, which came in from the southwest in Mexico.

And the Jersey Dutch Stone House is the
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third of the only three indigenous forms of architecture to the United States of America.

You have this resource in town. You lose that, you're losing a significant cultural resource.
Q. Now, this isn't just a recreation of a Jersey Dutch House, is it?
A. No, this is the original structure.
Q. How old is it, approximately, the
original part?
A. Is --
Q. Is it 300 years, 250 years?
A. It's at least 250 years old.

The problem is that a comprehensive study has not been done. Dendrochronology would tell us exactly when the beams were cut and would tell us when they were installed in those floors, so we would know.

We can only go by best guest -estimates.

I would say anywhere from 1790 with the double door house to pre-revolution into possibly 1750.

Doug Biscuit -- excuse me -- Doug
Bissett, I'm sorry, God rest his soul. Doug Bissett
did a wonderful study of every piece of property on the Tappan patent within Bergen County. And he searched every deed. He read every deed in the Bergen County Courthouse up until about 1900. And he researched that property and its ownership and found it to be about 1750, 1760, as I recall from his report.

But, again, without a cultural resource
study, we don't know exactly the date of the building.

It's definitely right after the revolution and/ or before.
Q. Do you have anything else?
A. No.

The public wants to speak. I shall let

## them speak.

I just encourage the Borough to do its due diligence in making a decision that you protect your cultural resource, have all your ducks in a row, make every base covered, don't miss something, because it can get lost, so...

MR. ALESSI: I have a question.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MR. ALESSI: You said this is the federal national registry?

THE WITNESS: It's the National
Register of Historic Places.
MR. ALESSI: Where's the documentation
that says from the federal government that that's -I mean, federal government should have a registry number. I mean --

THE WITNESS: Oh, no, it's online.
MR. ALESSI: It's not --
THE WITNESS: It's online.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Your Master Plan says it's also on this federal registry.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Documented in the Historic American Building Survey.

THE WITNESS: Well, the HABS is a different story.

MR. ALESSI: It's like everybody else submits evidence. Like, if you --

THE WITNESS: I -- I can get it to you in an e-mail tomorrow if you want it. I can look on my phone if I had a decent internet connection.

It's online. It's available. It's
available to the DEP, County of Bergen.
I have it on my own computer at home.
MR. STEINHAGEN: Is there any dispute that it's on the federal register?

MR. ALESSI: No.
THE WITNESS: It's not federal, it's
national register.
BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Excuse me, the federal government has designated it, as is the state, correct?
A. Yes, it's on the state.

If it goes on the -- it has to go on the county. It goes to the state. Then it goes to national.

So all three, it's on all three.
MR. ALESSI: All I want to do is see the registry number, that's all.

THE WITNESS: Somebody provided it. Okay. It was put on the national registry January 10th, 1983.

And it is Number 83001514.
MR. ALESSI: Thank you.
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: And what does
that mean to you?
MS. LOULOUDIS: Can I ask a question?
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: -- sitting on
the board?
MR. ALESSI: What does that mean to me? FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah.
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MR. ALESSI: I don't speak to you.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Anyone from the board who wishes to be heard?

MS. LOULOUDIS: Are you done?
Can I ask --
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Go ahead.
MS. LOULOUDIS: Thanks, Mr. Chairman.
So, you mentioned that it's been
registered since 1983.
I may have asked this in an earlier
meeting of a different witness, but who would have put that on the registry?

Would that have been the owner at the time, the private owner or who would have petitioned for that to go onto the registry?

THE WITNESS: The County of Bergen in the 1980 s decided to create the historic site survey where they went through all 70 municipalities and did a survey of all the historic properties that showed up on maps, that were submitted by local borough historians and so forth.

And the stone house survey was a subset part of that where specifically the stone houses of Bergen County, which are so unique, were studied as part of that overall body.

And so the County of Bergen was the initiator in the sense of the placement for national register with that thematic nomination.

Individuals were given a notification, the individual homeowners, the property owners, were given a notification for inclusion.

If they voiced an opposition, it was
noted. And there were some properties that were omitted because of the opposition.

The majority of the homeowners or property owners agreed and, hence, they were placed. I believe it was 212 buildings.

MS. LOULOUDIS: Those that were opposed
--
THE WITNESS: No, no, 212 were placed on the national register as part of the stone houses.

MS. LOULOUDIS: But those who opposed the inclusion --

THE WITNESS: It was a small number.
MS. LOULOUDIS: -- could they be forced into the inclusion or once a private homeowner says:
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I don't want my home to be included, does the county need to --

THE WITNESS: The --
MS. LOULOUDIS: -- I don't know if I'm asking the question correctly, but can --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MS. LOULOUDIS: -- if I own that house, could somebody come and say: It's going to be historic. And I oppose. And they still say, you know, it goes through the nomination process. It becomes a historic property, they put a placard out front.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it does.
It can be placed on the national register of historic places without the consent of the owner.

The reason is, is because it does not put an imposition or a claim on the property.

Unlike local designation, which acts as part of planning and zoning and can limit what can be done with a structure, when it's placed on the national register of historic places, you're only protecting it from government.

So the private owner does not have any negative aspect to their property.

MS. LOULOUDIS: What are the benefits for them having it?

You mentioned grant opportunities. Is that for the benefit of the homeowner that owns the house or for the town that the home is located in?

THE WITNESS: There -- there have been some tax breaks and there have been initiatives towards creating greater tax breaks.

If it's a property that's used commercially, you can get tax incentives for being on the national register.

National register really doesn't do anything for the homeowner, other than bragging rights.

Although it will protect you. The Vreeland house, as an example, in Leonia, stood in the way of Interstate 95. And Interstate 95 was moved to protect the building, so -- and because that was government intrusion.

MR. MAGGIO: That was the government.
MS. LOULOUDIS: Was that a historic district?

THE WITNESS: No, it's an individual site.

Another individual site was Timothy's 100
restaurant in Norwood, corner of Tappan Road and Blanch Avenue, had a terrible fire.

The owner had a question whether or not he could rebuild. The construction code official, by which I'm also a Class I license, he issued a demolition order for the structure because of the fire. And since he was acting on behalf of the town government, the state said: No, this is national register. You can't order its demolition.

Hence the property owner was saved from having his building ordered demolished, and a fence was erected to protect the public, and a decision was later made when clearer heads prevailed.

MS. LOULOUDIS: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: So it --
MS. LOULOUDIS: But he didn't rebuild the restaurant.

THE WITNESS: No, he did not, because the insurance wouldn't cover it, but he wanted to.

But it allowed him to buy the time to keep it from being ordered to be demolished because of the fire.

So it did have the benefit of the private owner at that time.

MS. HAVERILLA: But a private owner, if
-- if they are on the registry, doesn't prohibit them from knocking the house down themselves?

They can do whatever they want -THE WITNESS: Absolutely correct. MS. HAVERILLA: -- to it.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
MS. HAVERILLA: Unless it was in a historic district --

THE WITNESS: Only -- only --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
MS. HAVERILLA: -- where you are
protected.
THE WITNESS: Only if you have, within your municipality in the State of New Jersey, a historic preservation commission, which is authorized and empowered through the Municipal Land Use Law, when you have a commission established by ordinance with the proper body as per the law, that then properties would be designated --

MS. HAVERILLA: In a historic district.
THE WITNESS: In the -- well, not necessarily district, but as individual sites.

So they can be a district or a site within a municipality. That does put the imposition of restrictions in the sense of review to a homeowner 102
as planning and zoning does, in regard to height and so forth.

MS. LOULOUDIS: Can I ask --
THE WITNESS: So they're two separate animals and they often get confused.

MS. LOULOUDIS: Just to kind of
piggyback on what you were saying, Anna, does that mean this home could have been, had it not -- had there not been this application in front of the board, could the existing homeowner simply gone and pulled a demo permit and had the house taken down? THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
MS. LOULOUDIS: Right. Okay.
THE WITNESS: I'm not disagreeing with
that.
check.
MS. LOULOUDIS: I just wanted to double

I know I had answered the -- asked the question prior and I was --

THE WITNESS: No. The --
MS. LOULOUDIS: -- given the same
answer.
MS. HAVERILLA: Which -- which, in
fact --
THE WITNESS: Unfortunately --

MS. HAVERILLA: -- he just mentioned the house on Dewolf Road.

The owner --
THE WITNESS: Unfortunately --
MS. HAVERILLA: -- sold the house.
THE WITNESS: -- as a historic preservationist, as a member of the historic preservation community, I would say that Old Tappan, like a number of other boroughs within the county, has dropped the ball.

You do not have a historic preservation commission as part of the three-legged stool of land use. You've got planning. You got zoning.

But do you have historic preservation?
No.
So what are the protections that the Borough is actually working on, in the sense of empowerment, to protect your cultural resources? Nothing.

So either you don't care as a borough, not necessarily you folks, but the Borough in general, Mayor and Council, and the general public not speaking up and saying we need a historic preservation commission, because you don't have it.

So, a private homeowner, acting on 104
their own initiative, could destroy a cultural historic resource within your municipality, because it's just like saying we're not going to have a zoning board, so we don't care how big something gets built, we don't care how much stuff is plowed over. And that's just the way it is.

MR. ELLER: So, to your point then right now, whoever owns the property, whether this applicant owns it at the end of this or whoever it is that owns it now, could go demolish this building as it stands right now?

THE WITNESS: Get certification of shutoffs, go to the construction code official, apply for a demolition permit --

MR. ELLER: Right.
THE WITNESS: -- and you can --
MR. ELLER: Right.
The point I'm trying to make is that right now we have an option -- we have a viable option to move this to a town property --

THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. ELLER: -- and because of your testimony last time, the applicant has agreed to bond for that, to make sure and --

THE WITNESS: Excellent.
knock it down and dispose of it?
THE WITNESS: They could, but it depends on whether or not the Borough is going to make that a condition.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: No, I mean, without -- without our approval or without anybody's --
(Audience Outburst.)
THE WITNESS: Can they take --
MR. ELLER: They have to get a demo permit.

## (Simultaneous Speaking.)

MR. ELLER: Well, they're here, the owner can do it. The applicant cannot.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Yes, the owner, not the applicant.

MR. STEINHAGEN: The applicant is here asking for approval.

And as part of the application they've said we will give this piece of history to the Borough.

And Mr. Adriance is here to say if that's going to happen and the board is going to -as part of the approval process, if we're going sanction that, here's what he thinks as an expert the 108 board should do.

That's it.
MR. REGAN: But for all those things to happen, the application would have to be approved.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Correct.
MR. MAGGIO: In fairness, originally
the applicant wanted to keep the house there.
MR. REGAN: Right, and subdivide it.
MR. MAGGIO: And subdivide it.
MS. HAVERILLA: Well, those two acres
in town.
MR. MAGGIO: And it changed.
MS. HAVERILLA: Well, the only problem with the applicant keeping it there at that time was the fact that they didn't know what they were going to do with it --

MR. MAGGIO: Right.
MS. HAVERILLA: -- and you can see that
with that and who's responsible to taking on that cost?

THE WITNESS: Usually it's the developer, just as they would hire a traffic study engineer, they would -- or any other professional.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Does the applicant actually have the legal right, right now to

THE WITNESS: -- it's the best
settlement.
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: The best
alternative is to leave it --
THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Chairman, I can't -- excuse me, sir, I can't hear what's going on because there's a lot of commentary coming from the public, I can't hear.

THE WITNESS: This was just -CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Could the audience try to keep it down so the stenographer --

THE WITNESS: The best alternative is to keep the building on its site in toto as a complete whole as it has been designated, that's the best.

MR. MAGGIO: Right.
THE WITNESS: The second best is moving it and preserving it.

MR. MAGGIO: That's what compromise is.
THE WITNESS: Of course.
MR. MAGGIO: Right.

A good negotiation is compromise.
THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
And I'm not disagreeing with -- that
it's a viable alternative, and it could be the best
outcome, given all the circumstances that are involved.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: So would you --
THE WITNESS: I just want to make sure
that the Borough knows what they're getting into and
is --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: But if it's
moved, it loses its historic designation?
THE WITNESS: It can reapply.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: It can reapply.
Who reapplies?
Our town would then be responsible to

THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- as the new
owner of the property --
THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- once it's been
moved to a town property --
THE WITNESS: Yes, right.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: And who would
pay that cost, because I mean, there's --
THE WITNESS: Well, the -- the application --

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Obviously it would have to be the town, because we're hoping to do it --

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: The town.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: But -- so
there's a cost not only to move it, but then to reapply for the designation so that it would still qualify as a historic designated property.

THE WITNESS: If you have the Phase I design -- Phase I cultural resource survey done, that's your body that gets submitted to the state historic preservation officer.

It's -- because of what the building already has been established as, you're not reinventing the wheel.

It's just a matter of placing --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: So does the --
THE WITNESS: -- it as new context.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Does the Phase I
assist the town in the reapplication because it's
been done and it's been analyzed and --
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THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- maybe they get
involved in the -- the movement or --
THE WITNESS: Right.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- they make
recommendations as to how --
THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- it could be
moved correctly and --
THE WITNESS: What parts to retain --
(Simultaneous Speaking.)
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- and that we
-- and then what part and parcel --
THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- we would then
wish or make part of -- acknowledge that we want it to be reestablished as a designated --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- historic designation?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's all part of it and will help you, yes.

MR. MAGGIO: If we decide we want that.
THE WITNESS: It's part of one process.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: If -- right, if
we decide we want it, but...
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: The original --
MR. REGAN: That's up to the Mayor and Council, not the board.

MR. STEINHAGEN: Do we want to take a break since the chairman has left?

MR. REGAN: I think it's okay.
(Audience Chatter.)
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: I'll take over.
MR. STEINHAGEN: I just want to make
sure.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: I'll take over.
(Whereupon, a brief recess is held.)
MR. ELLER: Is that something you would
be willing to help the Borough do?
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: For a fee.
(Laughter.)
MR. MAGGIO: Well, you're so passionate
about it. It sounds like you'd be interested in doing that.

MR. ELLER: Well, there's the Bergen County association for anybody that assists us in doing that once -- if this goes through and it's moved, I mean I would -- I would certainly like to see that redone and recertified.
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THE WITNESS: That's not our -VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: I'm sorry to interrupt you again, but what is the timetable for something like a -- what did you say it was a...

THE WITNESS: Phase I --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: A Phase I.
THE WITNESS: -- cultural resource
study.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: What does that
entail?
THE WITNESS: That's the architect getting on-site, measuring the building, photograph it, record the physical details of the building.

In addition to what the HABS, the Historic American Building Survey did in the 1930s, possibly dendrochronology study, which is very important.

Then you would have an individual like
myself who would be doing the actual historic research.

And so it's -- it's all --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Could you have it by the same date as the other -- the engineer is going to get us another report.
(Simultaneous Speaking.)

MR. STEINHAGEN: I was going to ask, I was going to say seven days.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: The engineer is going to get the report.

THE WITNESS: Not even close.
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: How long? How long would it take to do a project like that?

MR. MAGGIO: We're being presumptuous the town would even want it to be on the register.

MR. REGAN: It would be the Mayor and Council.

MR. MAGGIO: It would be the Mayor and Council's decision, and if -- if it would be put back onto the register and the town owned it, then the town could never tear it down down the road.

MR. ELLER: Well, I hope so.
But -- but I think --
THE WITNESS: But you're not going to get any grant money for it.

MS. HAVERILLA: Like you said, that's something the Mayor and Council will discuss.

MR. MAGGIO: That's something that's really not for the board.

MS. HAVERILLA: It's not -- it's not --
MR. MAGGIO: Honestly there's no reason 116
for us to debate it any further.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: The only think that we're looking at is whether or not --

MS. HAVERILLA: Just the --
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- it's going to come down for this application.

MS. HAVERILLA: Just to say, we do have a working historic committee now and they meet monthly. And they are looking at this.

So, hopefully this is something that will, you know, flourish.

THE WITNESS: Right.
MR. ELLER: So, would you be able to help -- would you be willing to help us out?
(Laughter.)
THE WITNESS: I would be willing to help you out, but I am only one player in a multi-team process.

MR. ELLER: Who knows more about it than anybody else in the room? So, I'd -- I'd appreciate it.

But thank you.
THE WITNESS: No, I certainly -- I'm more than happy to help the Borough out.

MR. MAGGIO: We still have about a
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hundred witnesses.
    MS. HAVERILLA: But we have the
paperwork --
    VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Any other
questions by the board?
    (No response.)
    VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: No?
    Seeing none.
    MR. STEINHAGEN: Done.
    MR. REGAN: Are you done?
    VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Do we -- wait,
wait, do we offer the --
    MR. REGAN: Yes.
    VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: -- the public to
question the --
    MR. HAVERILLA: No, not until --
    MR. REGAN: That's up to the board.
    VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Not the public.
    MS. PRICE: I just have a couple.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. Mr. Adriance, when were you retained?
A. I was asked, probably close to a month
ago.
    Q. Would that be retention, when you were
                118
    retained?
    A. No, this is totally pro bono.
    Q. Okay. So, you're doing this pro bono.
Who asked you to do it pro bono?
    A. Ms. Sheridan.
    Q. Ms. Sheridan?
    A. Yes.
        MS. PRICE: So, let me ask,
Mr. Steinhagen, is this aspect of your witness with
regard to your other client?
                            MR. STEINHAGEN: I don't know what you
mean.
            MS. PRICE: Is this witness being
proffered in connection with your -- the other client
that you represent --
MR. STEINHAGEN: No.
MS. PRICE: -- or is this witness being
proffered with regard to Lakeview?
    MR. STEINHAGEN: Ms. Sheridan.
    MS. PRICE: Ms. Sheridan?
    MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah.
    MS. PRICE: Okay.
        That wasn't put on the record at the
        beginning of his testimony that you're now putting on
        a witness --
ago.
Q. Would that be retention, when you were
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retained?
A. No, this is totally pro bono.
Q. Okay. So, you're doing this pro bono.
Who asked you to do it pro bono?
A. Ms. Sheridan.
Q. Ms. Sheridan?
A. Yes.
MS. PRICE: So, let me ask,
Mr. Steinhagen, is this aspect of your witness with regard to your other client?
MR. STEINHAGEN: I don't know what you
mean.
MS. PRICE: Is this witness being proffered in connection with your -- the other client that you represent --
proffered with regard to Lakeview?
MR. STEINHAGEN: Ms. Sheridan.
MS. PRICE: Ms. Sheridan?
MR. STEINHAGEN: Yeah.
MS. PRICE: Okay.
That wasn't put on the record at the
beginning of his testimony that you're now putting on a witness --
```

property or historic preservation in general?
Q. No, no, no, with respect to this particular property. No report done?
A. I was not asked to, but I -- do you want one?
Q. No, I'm asking if you did one before your testimony this evening.
A. I didn't feel that it was necessary to prepare a report specifically to this house, one, because I've not been, you know, granted access, because I have not asked for it. But I would love to be granted access to study the building.
Q. Okay. That was my next question. Have you been on the property and inside the house?
A. I have not been on the property or inside the house, that would be trespassing.
(Laughter.)
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. At any point in time have you been -in the past 10 years have you been inside the house?
A. I have never been inside the house because I was never granted access.
Q. By any owner?
A. Right.

The majority of the stone houses in

## Bergen County, I have been in --

Q. That's not my question.
A. -- because I literally knocked on the
door and said can I come look at your house.
Q. Mr. Adriance, we're talking about a specific application tonight --
A. Right.
Q. -- and a specific structure --
A. I don't know who the owner is. I don't
know how to --
Q. Let me get my question out before you interrupt, because the court reporter can't get everybody down.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. And she's been going since, you know, right after 7, so I'm sure she needs a break.

So there's no historic district that this property -- that is being debated by the board in connection with a land use application.

There's no historic district that would
apply in Old Tappan, correct?
You said that, that Old Tappan doesn't
have historic districts?
A. It's -- well, first --
Q. -- that -- it's a very specific
question.
Is there a historic district defined by the municipal code in which this property falls?
A. Okay.
Q. Yes or no?
A. It's not a yes-or-no question.
Q. No, well, I want a yes or a no answer
A. Because the terminology is incorrect.
Q. No, is there -- okay.
(Audience Outburst.)
THE WITNESS: Is the building
designated by the Borough.
(Audience Outburst.)
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. No, that's not my question.
(Whereupon, Chairman strikes gavel.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Can you keep it --
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. My question is: Are there historic districts within the Borough of Old Tappan that are then reduced to be guidance for this board?

You certainly have a lot of experience, you would know if there are actual formal districts
that are designated in a Master Plan, then reduced by ordinance, to be in the land use, are there any of that kind of district that governs this particular house?
A. I stated in my testimony that the Borough of Old Tappan does not have a historic preservation commission as empowered by the Municipal Land Use Law.

Hence, therefore, there are no districts, nor are there any individual designated sites.

Not every historic site is part of a district. They stand alone or are sometimes grouped.
Q. That's not my question, though.
A. So, the -- the question --
Q. You're going far afield --
A. Your question is, is there a
designation in Old Tappan.
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Okay. So --
A. I said that.
Q. -- you have a lot of experience and
you've opined on the land use procedures.
To the best of your understanding, is
the movement of this house part of the site plan and use variance request that have been made to this board, your understanding?
A. My understanding that the opinion of the board is weighed heavily on the preservation of the house, whether or not it's --
Q. Again, that's not my question.

Is there a -- has this board reviewed this application in connection with any land use elements to the best of your knowledge?

And I'll tell you what I really want to know is, you said before that a board cannot interfere or commingle in nongovernment sanctioned activities.

So, is it your opinion that this zoning board has the legal right, pursuant to the Municipal Land Use Law, to say what happens with that historic building and what doesn't? Very specific -- very specific question?

MR. STEINHAGEN: I'm going to -- okay, I'm going to object, number one, because it goes beyond the scope of his testimony, which I know --

MS. PRICE: Not --
MR. STEINHAGEN: Hold on, I'm not done. Hold on, let me put my objection on the record,
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please.
MS. PRICE: Not so.
Go ahead.
MR. STEINHAGEN: It goes beyond the
scope and I don't think that he's qualified to answer that, that's a legal question.

MS. PRICE: Okay.
The witness testified about a land use
board and commingling --
MR. REGAN: I think he opened it.
MS. PRICE: He opened the door.
THE WITNESS: And I'll answer on that.
Paramus, as an example.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. No, we're not talking about Paramus. We're talking about Old Tappan.
A. I'm answering your question.
Q. But we're only talking about Old

Tappan. We're not talking about other towns.
A. Old Tappan -- the Old Tappan Planning

Board has the power to say yes or no.
Q. To what?
A. To saving the building and requiring

## it, it does.

Q. Under -- under -- wait, wait, wait.

Under what statute do they have the ability to say that in conjunction with this land use application?

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Look at the Master Plan.
(Audience Chatter.)
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: The Master
Plan.
THE WITNESS: I'm hearing people say the Master Plan.

MR. MAGGIO: No, can't do that.
THE WITNESS: No. I know that, I'm not
saying that.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. There isn't any, correct?
A. I would have to research it. I do not know it off the top of my head.

So, since I have not researched it, I cannot say yes.
Q. But --

MR. REGAN: Don't even bother, because
there is no legal requirement.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I -- this -then I was going to just -- I'm going to say I agree with your counsel that it does not exist.
BY MS. PRICE:
you.
Q. Okay.
A. Which is a shame.

VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: I would think that would be a bad faith.

MR. SCOZZAFAVA: But we would have no say now.
BY MS. PRICE:
Q. No, I'm specifically --
A. I agree.
Q. I'm specifically asking about the property owner, not the applicant.

And the property owner could do that?
A. Sure, absolutely.
Q. And our client, as the contract
purchaser, has no status, standing, either way about that issue at the present time, correct?

It can't demolish a house as the contract purchaser?
A. If you're not the property owner, you can't tear it down.
Q. Okay.
A. If the property owner chooses to get -disconnected, apply for permit, it can be torn down,
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because there are no local protections --
Q. Okay.
A. That's the facts.
(Audience Chatter.)
MS. PRICE: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you. MR. STEINHAGEN: I have two follow-ups
if I can.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. STEINHAGEN:
Q. Tim, you're aware that the applicant here has proposed not to demolish the structure, but instead as part of its application has indicated to the board that it intends to relocate it, relocate the historic structure at its expense onto municipally-owned property and donate it to the borough?
A. Yes.
Q. And is the donation of the structure a
valuable thing that the municipality -- that the
Borough of Old Tappan is going to be getting?
A. Yes.

MR. STEINHAGEN: That's all I have.
MR. REGAN: It sounds like that's a
benefit of the application.

THE WITNESS: As long as the building is been saved, it's a benefit.

If the building's lost then it's not.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Does the board have
any questions for this gentleman?
THE WITNESS: If the building is lost, then it's not.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Do you have any other witnesses?

MR. STEINHAGEN: No, I don't.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay.
We're going to take a --
MS. PRICE: Five minute?
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Five-minute recess.
(Audience Outburst.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: And then we're
going to open the meeting to the public, we'll start the five-minute statements.

MR. STEINHAGEN: When am I going to get a chance to cross examine the applicant's planner?

MS. PRICE: Well, we can see.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Hold on.
MS. PRICE: We can see where we go, you
know, whether -- Mr. Williams, I brought him this evening, so he's available. But I would like to have 132
the --
MR. REGAN: The public has been waiting ten months.

MS. PRICE: -- residents to have an opportunity to be heard.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: So, can he cross
examine on January 11th?
MS. PRICE: I have to check with him and see if he's available on that date.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay.
MS. PRICE: Because getting him here tonight was a task.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: We're going to open the meeting to the public.

Five-minute recess and then we'll go in the order you signed in.
(Whereupon, a brief recess is held.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: I'd like to call the meeting back to order.

At this time now everybody who signed in, we're going to call them one at a time.

We're going to swear you in. You're going to get five minutes. The clock is up here.

Okay. You're going to get five minutes to, you know, make your statement.
2 the applicant.

```
to be aware of.
```

```
to be aware of.
```

to be aware of.

```

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: And there will be no clapping or applause. Okay?

This isn't a movie or a play. This is a hearing. Okay.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Still can't hear you.

Is it possible for you to turn your microphone on so that we can hear what you're saying?

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, please speak up.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Speak a little louder, please.

MR. MAGGIO: He's not going to be testifying anyway so it's okay.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: It doesn't make any difference.

It's so frustrating to see your lips moving and not hear you.

Please speak up.
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: I heard him. CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Okay. Friar? The first person who signed in is
Father Jerry --
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can't hear you.
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you talk into the microphone.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Turn the microphone on.

MR. REGAN: Yes, this is --
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Put the microphone on.

MR. REGAN: The time for statements.
It's not the time for questions.
This is your time to make statements
either for or against the application or anything you
think can be relevant that you would like the board

正.

Supreme Head of the Universal Syrian Orthodox Church.
Basically we're here -- the church, the cathedral is considered a sacred ground.

Now, that is -- now that it has been consecrated, it is considered a sacred ground than what's around it.

We are here strongly objecting to the application that is put forth by CSH Old Tappan, LLC. One, we have several concerns.

Number one, the substantial -- that there would be substantial noise that would result from increased traffic, from residents of the proposed site, visitors, deliveries, generator, HVAC system, employees, supplies, ambulances and other emergency or vehicles and garbage pickup.

Number two, another concern we had was the noise pollution will disrupt our mass at the cathedral and the chapel, which as I mentioned occurs every Sunday, other days during the week we have daily prayer services as well.

The construction on the site, it could potentially take years to complete and the noise and the debris generated from this will extremely disrupt the service at the cathedral and the chapel.

There is also not sufficient buffer
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between our property line where the construction is planned.

In addition, once completed, the
property would be probably massively out of scale on this really small lot.

The proposed building, it doesn't have dedicated emergency lanes for emergency as -- as -as far as I know.

A particular concern that we do have is the proposed property will likely cause increased flooding due to the huge loss of the trees adjacent to the wetlands on the site and this flooding, it can go directly into the church property itself.

Our property already faces flooding during heavy rainfall and this new structure, it will cause more water to flow to the church property as the proposed structure at 244 Old Tappan Road would probably at a higher incline.

We're also concerned about the danger that would be caused to the retaining walls of the church property.

On that side of the property that we do have retaining walls we are also concerned about that being damaged.

There will be substantial degradation

of the surrounding wetlands. The construction activities will result in direct habitat loss for wildlife, in addition to suspended solids in the water and modification of water levels and flow regimes.

Also, we're concerned about the trees, over 200 trees on the property that maybe 100, that may be over 100, I mean, several hundred years old.

The 2016 Old Tappan Master -- the
Master Plan, it states that, that the Borough should continue its historic high level of stewardship of the Lake Tappan Reservoir and its watershed. And it further called the area a high priority for preservation.

Building an assisted living facility on this property, it contradicts this Master Plan that Old Tappan put together.

And in addition, preserving this green area should be a priority for the planning board, especially in light of all of the new construction that is currently happening in Old Tappan. The scope of the proposed development will also lead to a loss of a considerable green space in the Borough.

There's a loss of that 271-year-old building that we were talking about as well.

140 property, the sight line for the church will be changed due to the new three-story structure.

The applicant has stated the property is the same height of the church.

However, due to the incline, the new building will appear substantially taller than the church.

In addition, we have concerns of the view the potential residents of this assisted living facility would have into our cathedral.

Would they be looking into our altars? The archbishop's private quarters, et cetera.

So, per the November 9th planning board minutes, the applicant claims that this project will be inherently beneficial to the Borough.

However, we believe that preserving this as a park or a nature preserve would be just as, if not more, inherently beneficial to the community.
(Whereupon, whistle sounds.)
FR. JERRY JACOB: So while the
applicant has attempted to address some of those issues, the efforts do fall far short of what is minimally satisfactory to all of us.

The property should remain an open
space is what we believe, it should stay green and it should stay just the way it is and this is what our request is.

Therefore, our request is that the planning board does not approve this project.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you.
FR. JERRY JACOB: Thank you.
(Applause.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You know, there's
no clapping or cheering or crying.
Francesca Costa, Closter, New Jersey.
MS. COSTA: Hello again, everyone.
MR. REGAN: Please raise your right
hand.
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony --
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: Right hand.
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right hand.
(Laughter.)
MR. REGAN: -- you give this evening in
this proceeding, shall be the truth, so help you God?
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: The other right hand.

MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you'll give in this proceeding, shall
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be the truth, so help you God?
MS. COSTA: Yes.
FRANCESCA COSTA,
82 Everett Street, Closter, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MS. COSTA: And --
MR. REGAN: And could you give us your
name and address, please.
MS. COSTA: Francesca Costa, Closter,
New Jersey.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. COSTA: I have a visual.
Can I please pass this, so you can pass
it around?
It's an -- and 1830 census record.
It's Gerrit Haring's son. And it proves that there is need for an archeological survey.

MS. PRICE: I'm going to object to this for coming in.

It has handwritten notes on it. I
haven't been provided with this and --
MS. COSTA: I'm --
MS. PRICE: Just let me get my
objection down.
MS. COSTA: I'm labeling where the

\section*{slave is listed on the census record. \\ MS. PRICE: Okay. \\ MR. REGAN: Can you let Ms. Price make} her statement?

MS. PRICE: Right. Yes.
Most of the print is blurry and illegible across the top and this -- you know, it purports to have a lot of history, I guess dates on it, but I have no idea what the relevance is and I don't know how this witness could be qualified.

MR. REGAN: Well, I think we can just allow some liberality to give it to the board's secretary, she can keep it in the file.

MS. PRICE: Yes, if you could, Diane.
MR. REGAN: Provide that to Diane, please.

MS. COSTA: All right.
And if you need -- if you require that, it's just through ancestry.com. I can provide screen shots of it, but, unfortunately, it's from 1830, so it's a little bit blurry.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Screenshots.
MS. COSTA: All right. I'm -- I'm going to jump when that things go off, I'm sorry.

My name is Francesca Costa and I'm a 144
resident of Closter, New Jersey, your sister town.
Although I do not live within Old
Tappan, I celebrate your town's victories and mourn her defeats in the same way I would my own neck of the woods, unless it's about high school football.
(Laughter.)
MS. COSTA: We are all part of the same waterways, same local environment and share our history and heritage as part of Harrington Township.

I urge you not to approve the current project at 244 Old Tappan Road.

In addition, I urge you to sit down and really consider what price you will pay down the road if you do not set aside specific land for storm flood absorption and public recreation. What cultural value are we destroying?

By the -- by the engineer report, without trees more than three times the amount of water would overwhelm one of the highest points in your town. Water always travels downwards.

Can your roads, first responder centers or homes cope with the water change?

Your fire department and Borough hall are downstream from this site. I would never want to see these public services incapacitated during a
flood the very time they prove to be invaluable.
Mountaintop removal is the term used to describe the development plans that are in store for a property so close to you.

I have a master's degree in archeology, history of the classics and I can assure you that this will change the landscape of your community forever and will cost millions to revert. The damage would have been done.

Thousands of years from now they will be able to date exactly when this soil and rock was moved and history will show who approved it.

This company is extracting resources from your town and once the well drys up, will leave your town with no loss of sleep.

Do you think this company will exist in 50 years, 100 ?

Some trees they threaten to kill are older than that. And the house is older still.

Gerrit Jan Haring built this house in 1750, give or take a decade, with the help of neighbors and friends.

His son Fredrick was born in 1762 and both men witnessed the revolution on their doorstep.

Long before Old Tappan was a -- was a
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town, it was the center of a conflict deciding the very heart of who America is.

In Old Tappan enslaved and free black people lived alongside dutch farmers subsisting on same soil in vastly different conditions of life.

This is Old Tappan's enduring legacy.
Yes, there are horrors in the past, but it would be unconscionable to shrink away from them.

I hope I am mistaken, but I have found some record to indicate Fredrick Gerrit Haring had a slave, and trees on that lot now centuries old, could have been planted by those very hands.

At most meetings every professional brought before the board has been asked if an archeological survey will be conducted. They have all said no.

We risk losing any chance to find archeological traces of your founders, both free and enslaved. Every day across this country we lose priceless archeology to the bulldozer. It is my professional belief that this cultural history is an inherent benefit to your town, as it is to all Harrington Township sister towns.

Your town deserves the safety of a watershed actively absorbing floodwaters, and its
people deserve a place to go and enrich their own neighborhoods.

A park would be a wonderful way to let the next generation of Old Tappan residents be inspired about the rich history and ecology around them.

While money is a major issue when making any decision, towns like Closter, Emerson, Oradell and Englewood all benefit immensely from their local forest and watersheds.

Money is one of the most short-sided issues a town can face and through your and your municipality's dedicated work, you succeed at keeping your budget balanced and insuring the town has all it needs to keep running.

But preserving a forest and historic house is one of the most long-term investments one can make. And now that priceless decision is entrusted to your planning board, as it was to generations of Old Tappan's predecessors.

Many of you remember finally back to when your town was rural. No developer can know the value of Harrington Township farm soil they steamroll over.

This lot is residential and this house 148
is historic. I have spoken to the state and county, both recommending the town seek to purchase this land via grants and green space funding.

As we near the 250th anniversary of the revolution, witness houses and properties untouched by development are able to apply for national grants which support renovations and preservation.

If a house is moved, it loses these privileges and its position on historic lists.

As a professional historian and amateur tree hugger, I highly recommend this land be invested in rather than treated as a sacrifice already lost. Your grandchildren and their grandchildren will thank you for your wisdom in knowing you shaped the next chapter of Old Tappan history.

I thank the citizens of Old Tappan for appearing tonight, whether they sit on your planning board or within this crowd. You are all making history.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you. MR. MAGGIO: Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Melissa Ingala.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Melissa Ingala?
MR. REGAN: Would you raise your right hand.

Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you'll give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. INGALA: I do.
MELISSA INGALA,
380 15th Street, Norwood, New Jersey, having been
duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record, state your name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. INGALA: Sure.
My name is Dr. Melissa Ingala. Last name is spelled I-N-G-A-L-A.

And my address is 380 15th Street, Norwood.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. INGALA: So, I'm a professional biologist.

I'm not a resident of Old Tappan, but I am a resident of your neighboring town in Norwood, and a lifelong resident of the State of New Jersey.

As a professional biologist and assistant professor, I have studied the impacts of habitat fragmentation and habitat loss on wildlife both in the tropics and temperate regions like where we live.
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Something I've come across in my research is that this notion that small habitat patches like the property at 244 Old Tappan Road cannot serve as useable wildlife habitat, because they are too small, is actually incorrect.

These small habitat patches are really important to supporting local biodiversity.

And, in fact, a 2019 paper published in the proceedings in the National Academy of Sciences showed as much indicating that even habitat areas of low ecological quality that are already impacted by pollution, runoff, the kinds of things that we would find in this suburban environment, do not affect their ability to host diverse plant and animal species, sometimes hosting up to 150 different bird species and threatened plants.

Given its status as a wetland and its proximity to Lake Tappan, there's good reason to believe that even though this property is only 5-and-a-half acres, it could be serving this function for our local fauna.

Professionally I'm a bat biologist and so two of the species that come to mind when I think about this property are the Indiana bat, which is federally listed as an endangered species, and the
tricolored bat, both of which are known from this area.

The tricolored bat was recently proposed by the state to become a threatened mammal in September of 2022.

In the wintertime, these bats roost elsewhere, but in the summertime, properties like 244 Old Tappan Road and the mature trees that they host are important roost sites for these animals.

And while bats may not seem like a priority to the Municipality of Old Tappan, they're loss from the landscape could have important knock-on consequences, such as an increase in the number of biting insects that you have to suffer in your backyards every summer.

Without knowing anything about the animals and plants that call 244 Old Tappan home, it is impossible to guarantee that the conversion of this property would not adversely impact them and have those knock-on consequences for the residents of the town.

Given the other concerns about the hydrology changes to this region, how it would impact the wetlands, I, as a professional scientist, cannot say that this is a net benefit for the Town of Old

Tappan without at least some further consideration of the wildlife.

And that's all I have to say.
Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Kaitlin Song.
Can you raise your right hand?
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you'll give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. SONG: Yes.
KAITLIN SONG,
56 Leonard Drive, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. SONG: Kaitlin Song, S-O-N-G, 56 Leonard Drive, Old Tappan.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: You're going to have to speak up.

MS. SONG: So, I'm currently a sophomore at Northern Valley Regional High School.

And I went to TBD and CBW while I was
living here.
So, I have, right now, 300-plus
signatures from students and teachers from NVOT, teachers from CBW and TBD. And I also have signatures from students from Bergen County Technical Schools, Bergen Tech.

And on their behalf I'm talking about how they expressed concern about the wetlands preservation and, like, the general --

MR. REGAN: Ms. Song, I'm going to have to stop you right there.

You can give that petition to the board's secretary. It can be filed, but I'm just going to read -- it is not me talking -- talking about this, it's New Jersey Zoning and Land Use Administration.

It's Section 18-4.5, in the 2022 Edition:
"Often a board receives letters of objection or petitions from members of the public for or against the proposed variance. Such documents are not admissible, though, of course, the writer of a letter or signer or a petition may appear and testify.
"Where the writer or signer is not
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-
of which the court noted that the fact that the board placed in evidence a petition opposing an application was one indicated that the board considered the wrong things in denying the variance.

But you can give it to Diane, and she'll place it in the board's file.

Thank you.
MS. SONG: Anyway, so they were
expressing their concerns about wanting to preserve the location --
(Whereupon, whistle sounds.)
MR. REGAN: We understand that.
Thank you very much.
(Audience Outburst.)
MS. FROHLICH: No, it was my fault.
MR. REGAN: Oh, it was your fault?
MS. FROHLICH: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
(Laughter.)
MS. SONG: And it --
MR. ELLER: That thing scares me every
time it goes off. It's like a fire alarm.
MR. REGAN: Why don't you -- why don't you continue, but you can't talk about what other people think.

MS. SONG: All right.
So --
MR. REGAN: You can tell us what you think, but not what other people --

MS. SONG: Okay. So, as the youth, I'm part of the future generation and I'll probably continue living here for several years, so this impacts me directly.

So, I personally want to see this space being used as a way that benefits the public and I also want to -- I'm not like necessarily opposed to like the construction of assisted living facilities, it's more rather like I'm concerned about the fact that this is being done on like property that has value, because if, like, these 200-plus trees are being cut down, then obviously that will have a large environmental impact as well as, like, the chance -the increased chances of, like, flooding and traffic and light pollution and noise pollution that happens, like, when I'm going to school, there's already, like, really bad traffic. And I can't imagine how
much worse it will be if this construction happens.
In addition, I brought up this issue in December 8th meeting to the New Jersey Historical Commission. And I want to add that they also expressed their concerns about it, but for what it's worth...

MS. PRICE: I'm going to have the same objection.

MR. REGAN: You're getting into hearsay, what other people are saying.

MS. SONG: All right. Sorry about that.

MR. REGAN: Tell us what you think.
MS. SONG: So, I think that as someone who is probably going to continue living here for years, I really don't want to see this town, like, lose its historical value and, like, this will have, like, a really large environmental impact.

And so I think in the long term, it will have more negative impacts than, like, positive impacts, like, in hindsight, I'm not sure that this plan will actually -- like, this -- if building this will actually have a benefit to the town.

So, I suggest to consider, like, the future generations before making a decision as to
whether or not to go through with this application.
Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
The next speaker would be Jennifer
Annese, \(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{E}\).
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. ANNESE: I do.
JENNIFER ANNESE,
24 Lakeview Drive, Old Tappan, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name, spell your last name and your address.

MS. ANNESE: Jennifer Annese,
24 Lakeview Drive.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. ANNESE: So, I'm directly behind ground zero of this.

And I -- I -- as a teacher in a nearby Closter town, I teach environmental awareness.

And how am I supposed to go back to my students and say, well, the very members that we elected to protect us are the ones that destroyed our open space?
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I can't -- I don't understand why the town has to agree to this proposed buffer variance -buffer zone variance reduction.

Why does -- when it's supposed to be 60, but they want 47. Why roll back, grant variance for rules that are already in place to protect our residential area?

I do not want to view an
81,000-square-foot structure in what's supposed to be an 8,000-square-foot lot, from my kitchen window.

I moved to Old Tappan for its beauty. I moved to Old Tappan for its open space. I grew up in Westwood. I know what this surrounding area is like. I did not move here to see constant commercial building. I would have moved to Englewood or Hackensack if that's what I wanted.

I moved to Old Tappan.
The removal of the trees disrupt
drainage. And I implore the members of the board to consider this happening in your backward.

I implore everybody to take a moment and think about this structure being built directly in your backyard and if -- I'm sorry -- one second -it would be too extreme for this town to just simply vote, yes, because it seems, no offence, like this
woman runs the show.
And I also find it disgusting how condescending some of your board members are to the public. I really do.

It's embarrassing as an educator to see how disrespectful some of you are to the public, regardless of how the public is acting, because the public does not -- is not versed in your rhetoric on an everyday basis.

We don't know off the cuff of our sleeves what the procedures are.

And you're rolling your eyes and that's fine, because you're one of the ones I find to be incredibly condescending.

MR. ALESSI: You're talking to me?
MS. ANNESE: Yeah, I am.
MR. ALESSI: Okay.
MS. ANNESE: Exactly, looking at you, talking to you.

MR. REGAN: I don't think we need personal criticism.

MS. ANNESE: I thought this was my time to share how I feel --

MR. REGAN: It's --
MS. ANNESE: -- when I feel that the
board is disrespectful.
MR. REGAN: You're probably wasting
your time by doing --
MS. ANNESE: Oh, no, my -- I've said
what I needed to say.
Thank you for interrupting me.
MR. REGAN: You're welcome.
Mary Walsh.
(Audience Outburst.)
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Shame on you.
MS. ANNESE: Thank you.
I'm so glad I elected you.
(Whereupon, Chairman strikes gavel.)
MR. SCOZZAFAVA: You didn't elect us.
MS. ANNESE: Whatever it is.
MR. REGAN: Mary Walsh.
Do you swear or affirm --
MS. ANNESE: That's right you can't

MS. WALSH: I do.

MARY WALSH,
64 Davis Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And for the record, state
your full name and spell your last name and give us
your address, please.
MS. WALSH: Mary, W-A-L-S-H, Conservation Chair, North Jersey Sierra Club, 64 Davis Avenue, Hackensack, New Jersey.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. WALSH: You're welcome.
My comments will focus on three
aspects: Nature, the Master Plan and, finally, the site plan.

To begin, I'd like to reference something that the Christophers say, it's better to light one candle than to curse the darkness.

The property with all the trees at 244 is one candle in the huge amount of pollution produced by the number of cars that are already traversing Old Tappan Road.

Everything in nature is connected in the most beneficial way.

If we look at The Enclave, we see what happens when there's no care given to consider what 162
trees actually do. We know that 200 trees on the property at 244 are doing the crucial activity of removing pollution from the air.

According to the Arbor Day Foundation, a mature tree will absorb more than 48 pounds of carbon dioxide from the atmosphere in one year.

Almost five tons that doesn't have to be inhaled by the residents of Old Tappan.

The next part of my comments involves the Master Plan. This development is in direct contradiction to the environmental and historical elements of the Master Plan.

I quote from Goal 2:
"The Borough seeks the encourage development that preserves Old Tappan's sensitive environmental features, including floodway and floodplains, groundwater recharge areas, wetlands and their associated buffers, wellhead protection areas, steep slopes and environments supporting rare, threatened or endangered species."

And you do have threatened species
there.
I'm going to speed up to Goal 2, because I think I won't have enough time.
"Goal 6: To preserve the historic features of the Borough.
"Policy Statement, Old Tappan recognizes its historic features continue to be an integral part of the community's unique character. Old Tappan seeks to maintain and protect its historically significant structures and sites from adverse impacts created by development proposals, whether they be public or private.
"Goal 9: To discourage the creation of flag lots or subdivisions of property that create new or disruptive developmental patterns contrary and to the detriment of existing residential development patterns in the Borough."

You may be wondering why I'm reading this -- these, because according to New Jersey statutes annotated 40:27-4:
"In order to maximize the degree of coordination between municipal and county plans and official maps, the county planning board shall be notified in regard to the adoption or amendment of any municipal Master Plan, official map or ordinance under the amended plan to the county at least 20 days before that hearing.

My third point about the site plan, there's still some minor errors, but I trust that you will locate them.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Peter Ardito?
Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you'll give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. ARDITO: I do.
PETER ARDITO,
57 Glen Avenue East, Harrington Park, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And you'll give us your
name and address, please, and spell your last name.
MR. ARDITO: Peter Ardito, A-R-D-I-T-O, 57 Glen Avenue East in Harrington Park.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MR. ARDITO: Mr. Chairman and Planning Board Members, I have been a member of the Harrington Park Planning Board for more than 10 years and Vice Chair for the last four years.

I understand firsthand the complexity of the decision you have before you concerning this application, the 244 Old Tappan Road.

I also -- I have in the past worked with Ms. Price and have great respect for her, but I am here to explain to you why you should vote no on this application.

The planning board needs to answer the overriding question, would this applicant's project be of inherent benefit to the community more so than following the recommendations of your current Master Plan, which are: Preserve open space in the central district; do not rezone residential to commercial; consider stormwater management concerns and steep slopes of over 15 percent; protect the habitat of threatened species; preserve the historic house.

On every count the answer is no, this
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application is not of inherent benefit for the town. When it comes to the historic house, your last three Master Plans specifically have said if the opportunity came about to save it and preserve it and make that into a park, you should do that.

So it's disappointing that your Mayor and Council seemingly have not acted on that in the past.

All New Jersey residents should have easy access to open space. Open space and parks are essential to quality of life in New Jersey. Open space preservation protects water resources, cultivates biodiversity and fulfills the recreational needs of the local residents.

There is another location in Old Tappan
that is in proximity to 244 's plot and that was already zoned for commercial use. It has almost no trees on it.

It was recently approved by the planning board for the same type of development in the past.

It would fit the overall needs of CSH that they have requested for 244 and that would not be -- serve as negative impact on the environment of Old Tappan.

Preserving 244 simply follows the
Borough's Master Plan. The Master Plan reviewed and submitted to the Mayor and Council by you, the planning board of Old Tappan, in three consecutive Master Plans, 244 was specifically noted the preservation for the good of the town, its citizens and the environment.

If you approve this application, you are saying that your Master Plan is not meaningful and that its recommendations carry little weight.

If you approve this application, you are throwing aside logic that says there is a reason why some areas are zoned residential and others commercial.

Everything in my experience as a member of my planning board tells me that CSH has another much better location to build in town that is proper and should receive easy approval, and that building at 244 location would definitely not be in the interest of the town; would not be inherently beneficial to Old Tappan's citizens; and that their application should, in fact, be denied.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Karl Wuscher? years.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
And thank you for your service.
MR. WUSCHER: Now I'd like to speak on

I've been a longtime resident. My family built their house on Haring Drive back in '53. We were here when the high school was just orchards and when it was mostly farms back then.

I've seen Sunden become housing.
I've seen Prentice Hall be built.
Prentice Hall come down to The Enclave which is really, to be honest, not all that attractive looking compared to the rest of the town.

I've seen what's happening across from the high school, and this application is being used especially during the last one by, I believe the planner, that it was a beneficial use.

It might be a beneficial use for people that are in the town and also as a member of the ambulance corps. I've been to other towns. There's a lot of people where their parents live with them.

They can't afford a place of that expense to put their parents.

They -- and a lot of parents don't want to go to a place like that.
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If they do, it's usually for a short period of time. They're not there for years upon

My dad died in his house. My grandfather died in the house. The three of them built it with my uncle. My mom died in the house, because she didn't want to go somewhere. That's -if you were to ask the people here, they would try to want to stay where they are.

And seeing someplace built like that when there's other ones in the area, I just -- you know, that's all I got to say.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Jill Lawrence.
Can you raise your right hand?
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be
the truth, so help you God?
MS. LAWRENCE: I do.
JILL LA W RENCE,
53 Leonard Drive, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MS. LAWRENCE: Forgive me in advance, I'm nervous.

MR. REGAN: That's okay, don't be
nervous.
Just state your name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. LAWRENCE: My name is Jill
Lawrence, L-A-W-R-E-N-C-E.
I'm a resident of Jill Lawrence Leonard Drive, which is directly across from where the property is proposed to be built.

I've lived here since 2001 with my family, my husband whose mother still lives on our street in her own home, has been here since 1959.

I am concerned, very concerned about the environmental impact, not just on the property, but to the residents who are living within the immediate area.

I work from home. My husband works from home. We are both always sitting in our front windows and we see the children and the residents all day long, walking dogs, riding bikes, on skateboards and scooters in the summertime, that's a very big concern.

In the 21 years that I have been living in town, we have never had a flood in our home, nor have any of my immediate neighbors that I have spoken with who have concerns about the property being
built.
And that is a big concern for us at this time, that if we have stormwater running down as we've had with hurricanes and other big storms, that it will end up in our homes as opposed to down our storm drains.

I'm worried about the size of the structure that is being proposed.

From our residential neighborhood, there are a lot of structures that have been built recently, structures such as this in other towns that have been built. I'm from Woodcliff Lake. There are Sunrise Assisted Living homes, as well as other residences of this nature in Woodcliff Lake, but they are not in people's immediate neighborhoods where they're worried about children and families walking the neighborhood or even traffic that's going to affect the residents.

Somebody mentioned the site across from Oakes Park. I'm not sure if that's an option, but that is across from a bank, already a park structure. It might be better to consider something like that for a building like this, excuse me, instead of somewhere that's near a residential neighborhood and an elementary and middle school.

I do also want to address that I had a conversation with somebody who's sitting on this panel currently, back in August, saying that this is pretty much a done deal.

My hope is that all of you sitting here are giving everyone the respect to listen to what we have to say and that you have not already made up your minds in your conversations with the people who are building this structure.

Our town has changed dramatically in the 21 years that I have been living here and not all of it is progress, as the Mayor said in his letter to one of the residents.

This is not benefiting our town in any capacity, the residents of our town.

We don't even have a supermarket in this town, maybe you should consider working on that before putting another residential home for the elderly in our town.

My other concern is that the property owners are from another state. I did some reading about them online and, essentially, from what I found, they buy properties in what they consider to be affluent communities, where they are assured that the residents can afford to put their loved ones into
these homes, but they're not managing them.
They're, I believe, in Virginia. So they're not based out of New Jersey.

They have really no care and concern for the residents of our small little town that is being built up.

We also have the townhouse community as somebody mentioned that has just been built across from the high school, the tennis court and all you see are the tops of those buildings.

The beautiful green trees are gone.
Driving down Leonard Drive, what we see are beautiful green trees, that will be gone.

We'll be looking at a three-story building.

Thank you for your time.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Lynn McIntosh?
MS. McINTOSH: I'm going to pass.
Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Dorothy Gillespie?
MS. GILLESPIE: I'm going to pass also.
MR. REGAN: Barbara Ditrolio?
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: She had to leave.

MR. REGAN: Kathy Ferdinand? Would you raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. FERDINAND: I do.
KATHY FERDINAND,
15 Autumn Lane, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And for the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. FERDINAND: Kathy Ferdinand, 15Autumn Lane, Old Tappan, New Jersey.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. FERDINAND: Tonight I am going to be repeating some of the things that were made, but I am also extending an invitation to the planning board about the historical significance and the environmental significance of this property at 244 Old Tappan Road.

I don't know if you all knew, but the Borough of Old Tappan was established in 1894 by a \(28 / 2\) vote. It was the 28 boroughs that were the first to organize in Bergen County.

Vale border to our east -- our west, sorry, going down Old Tappan Road to the Tappan, New York eastern border, we are the crossroads of history.

It's my hope that the planning board will consider preserving the total 5-and-a-half acre piece of property for its historic value, restore the house and property, potentially make it an Old Tappan Historic Society building for visitors, our children and future generations to learn about and preserve our rich history.

My second invitation is about the environmental impact of this 244 Old Tappan Road.

The Master Plan has already been talked about, highlights its continuation of the historic high level of stewardship of the Lake Tappan Reservoir and its watershed surrounding areas.

Even a small forest serves as a habitat for a lot of living creatures and species, plus the 206 trees that part of the three-and-a-half acres that are proposed to be cut down for this assisted living facility.

I don't know if you've walked the property. I haven't walked the property, but I stood on --

MS. PRICE: Bob, I'm just going to need 182
to, A, get foundation for this --
MR. REGAN: Can you indicate who took those photographs?

MS. FERDINAND: I took these photos on
the church property border and I asked the church permission to do so.

MR. REGAN: And how long ago did you take the photos?

MS. PRICE: Right.
MS. FERDINAND: The fall.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
MS. FERDINAND: So, these were taken in
the fall.
The property is rich, vibrant, alive and supporting all kinds of species in this area.

The other proposal to show you is the limited green space on the Old Tappan corridor.

This is a drone picture of the site
with the trees in the area and the Gerrit property right here.

MS. PRICE: Same question.
MR. REGAN: Yeah, could you just
indicate how you've --
MS. FERDINAND: Google.com.
MR. ELLER: Oh, it's a Google Maps
picture?
MS. FERDINAND: Yes.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
And when did yo do that?
MS. FERDINAND: The fall.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
MS. FERDINAND: So, this is limited green space on the Old Tappan corridor.

This is a Google shot showing what this area looks like and the green space that we're trying to preserve.

So, where am I now? We could clean up this site, put on hiking trails within in, mark the trees, all of the species and not worry about losing the tree roots that sock up this rainfall, store it and release amounts of extended rain over a period of time serving as a natural protection for flooding.

It's time to protect remaining parcels of open land in town, including this fragile pond ecosystem on this property.

The property is walking distance from our schools. What a great history, environmental and science field trip this could be back and forth from TBD and CDW to this area.

In closing, I've been a resident of 184
this town since 1955.
My parents bought property here thinking it was heaven on earth. My mom Alba Johnson recently passed in January, restored and lived in the Eckerson house for 40 years on Old Tappan Road.

Stop paving and building paradise.
Originally the property planners were going to gift us 2-and-a-half acres of the western part of the wooded property.

MS. FROHLICH: Ma'am, your time is up.
MS. FERDINAND: I just have three sentences left.

Now the proposal includes, you know, moving te house across the street and did you know that this house doesn't have regular mortar like we do today.

It has hog hair, straw, mud, clay
holding it together with a heavy-stoned arched chimney that's structured inside it made out of sandstone.

Even the pond behind it has been cited in journals historically saying that children that grew up in the 1700s would ice skate there and go into Gerrit housing for entertainment and refreshments.

The last picture is my backyard. This is what the three stories on Central Avenue look like in my backyard. It was wooded. It was protected. And this is the three-story variance that this planning board voted on across from the high school and this is now what I look at and I hope that we don't have to look at this on Old Tappan Road.

I urge you to preserve the 5.5 acres, the pond, the 1760 Dutch Colonial and open space to preserve the rich heritage of Old Tappan, which are the crossroads of history.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Janis W --
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: -- 49 Ogle Road.
Sorry if I mispronounced your name.
MS. GILLIAM: Did you say 49 Ogle Road?
MR. REGAN: Yeah.
MS. GILLIAM: That's not my name.
MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. GILLIAM: I do.

\section*{J ANIS GILLIAM, 49 Ogle Road, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:}

MR. REGAN: Just state your name for the record, spell your last.

MS. GILLIAM: Janis Gilliam, G-I-L-L-I-A-M.

So, I'm not going to waste a lot of time. Everyone's already said a lot of what I feel that I'm against the planning board approving this for -- you know, it's against the Master Plan, the extra strain on the ambulances, the added traffic, the overbuilding in Old Tappan, but what I do want to say, I live on Ogle, I'm on a slope and kind of similar situation, it was almost five acres, zoned residential, stayed residential, developed all around me, trees cut down and there are a lot of -- there's so much wildlife it's unbelievable.

Oh, and it's also up the street from the reservoir.

So, little similarities and the
wildlife is so displaced that it winds up coming into the properties, does a lot of damage.

There use to be an owl. The owl is gone.

I noticed, you know, the water flows different even though, you know, it goes into the sewer systems, it goes into engineered retention basins, the natural trees, just the way it absorbs the water and the soil, it does make a difference.

And just in closing, one of you guys had said, you know, being presumptuous if Old Tappan wants the property, you know, as a resident and a taxpayer, I consider myself one of Old Tappan and my family and, yes, we want to preserve the property intact, leave the house, do park, educational center, you know, just for keep some green space in this town.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Patrick Gambuti?
Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. GAMBUTI: Yes.

\section*{PATRICK GAMBUTI, JR.}

16 Autumn Lane, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MR. REGAN: And for the record, state your full name, spell your last name --

MR. GAMBUTI: Patrick Gambuti, Jr., G-A-M-B-U-T-I, Patrick Gambuti, Jr. 16 Autumn Lane, Old Tappan.

I'm going to say something you guys probably know already, but for the benefit of myself and maybe the people here.

So, the question before the board today: Does the inherent beneficial use of an assisted living facility outweigh the negative impact this project brings to the community and the site.

That's where the Sica balancing test comes into play. In Sica vs. Wall Planning Board in 1992, the New Jersey Supreme Court came up with this as a way to discern inherent beneficial use versus negative impact.

The silver tsunami coming our way is a great marketing term and it is a real issue, but do we really need another senior facility here in town?

Besides Sunrise, there are many in the area within a reasonable drive that people from ours and surrounding towns could place their loved ones.

On a quick internet search, I found 21 facilities close to us.

Considering many of us in the sandwich generation keep our folks at home, that's a lot of
options.
The testimony by the planner was really good. He mentioned a lot of reasons why the facility could work, but I found one a bit off. It was the good neighbor.

Yes, there were many changes made and offered that covered concerns of the board and some neighbors to the back of the property, but what about the folks to the west?

It was brought up, but never addressed. What impact will this facility have on them? Is moving the historic house being a good neighbor? It is an incredibly important part of our history.

The chance of it being destroyed by the move is real considering the type of construction that was done to build it. There was no historical expert to testify how this would be done and the idea of it being destroyed was basically blown off and with the applicant's attorney saying things happen, if it's destroyed, well, we tried our best.

I was personally flabbergasted by that statement. That home was a treasure for this town.

And I am still in bewilderment how the Mayor and Council would agree to this move.

And what about the property, itself?
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In Sunrise Development, Inc vs. The
Princeton Zoning Board of Adjustment, the board argued that the facility would greatly exceed the permitted density and floor area ratio of the zone.

Consequently, the board found that the property cannot accommodate the mass and scale of the proposed building.

The board also found that there would be a negative impact on the existing landscape and particularly on the existing mature trees on the property.

In that case, Sunrise proposed to build three-story building consisting of over 82,000 square feet and 89 units holding 100 beds on a four-and-a-half-acre lot.

\section*{Sound familiar?}

That board denied the application based on this and several other factor and in the mentioned lawsuit the board won.

I also understand that there are ten units that qualify for our next COAH round, but in the long run, considering all the development going on in town, especially The Enclave that's going to put so much pressure on that, does that number of ten really help us in any way?

Regarding the wetlands, the New Jersey
Freshwater Wetland Protection Act Rules, 7:7A-2.2(A)2
prohibits the drainage or disturbance of the water level or water table so as to alter the existing elevational groundwater or surface water regardless of the duration of such alteration, by Subsection I, adding or impounding a sufficient quantity of stormwater or other water to modify the existing vegetation, values or function of the wetland.

How does the proposed drainage system comply with this NJ DEP rule?

Rhetorical question.
The engineer for the applicant has stated that his plan will do a better job of serving the wetland and the natural flow of the plot, itself.

I think about the engineering marvels of the Colorado River and see a disaster that's been happening for decades, but the changes afoot from our climate crisis, I find it hard to believe this design will accomplish what they propose.

And I know that Tom, last time he was here, did before he left that night said, he still has concerns about the drainage plan.

I don't know what happened in the interim between that meeting and last, if something 192
happened, but at that point in time, Tom left saying you guys know about my concerns about the drainage on the property.

And what about the destruction of over
200 trees?
According to the National Wildlife
Federation, trees improve air quality, improve water quality and reduce flooding and erosion. Trees temper climate. And trees create habitat for plants and animals.

Those trees are an incredibly important asset to the wetland there. And I don't think the engineer's plan sufficiently addresses those issues, other than saying it will be better than it is.

I don't believe that. And I don't know if you guys really do either.

I've lived in the wet -- in a wetland area of town.

I live on Autumn Lane, which is by Dorotockeys Run. And the back of my property is way down below.

So, I've lived in a wetland area of town for over 30 years. I've seen how changes in climate and development have changed that wetland. It's not a pretty picture. of summer. forever changed. appreciate it. address. across. this application.

MS. FROHLICH: Sir, your time is up. (Whereupon, whistle sounds.) MR. GAMBUTI: Can I finish two

MR. REGAN: Sure.
Go ahead.
MR. GAMBUTI: Thank you.
The grass that was planted by the town
when the sewers were put in by Dorotockeys Run to
deal with erosion issues has gone wild in my observation and now takes over the wetland at the end

This is just one of many examples of how development has affected the wetland.

With that in mind, I can only imagine how the wetland at 244 Old Tappan Road will be

Thank you for the extra time, I

MR. REGAN: Cherie Fonorow.
Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. FONOROW: I do, sir.

\section*{CHERIE FONOROW,} 256 Old Tappan Road, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your

MS. FONOROW: Cherie Fonorow, F-O-N-O-R-O-W, Cherie Fonorow 256 Old Tappan Road.

I wish I was my daughter because she speaks really, really fast as a millennial and I don't, but I will try. And I hope I get my point

For 25 years I look at the site daily. The wetlands, barn, stone house, birds and wildlife.

Since I've become aware of this project, I've done extensive research the past year and questioned how could any board member approve

I've learned more about the inherent benefit significance of preserving OT's historic importance starting with the Tappan patent, the first black freeholders and this particular lot at 244.

In fact, Old Tappan has the beneficial distinction having one of a few historic stone houses in New Jersey listed on the federal and state
registry.
The site is a unique combination of wetlands and history environmentally important to our ecosystem and also Old Tappan and American history.

In fact, last week Governor Murphy issued a mandate for \(\$ 25\) million investment to preserve Revolutionary War sites, not destroy them.

This has to do with the quincentennial coming up.

The number one objection in all this is this is the wrong project at the wrong location. It's the wrong project in the wrong location.

This doesn't align with the Master Plan and it fails in its inherent claim of inherent beneficial use.

I know all the lingo. I've been at every meeting and beyond.

This application isn't small or a temporary decision. It concerns the future and welfare of Old Tappan and it should be denied.

It's specifically listed in the Master Plan to be preserved by the town for the inherent beneficial use of all town's people and the entire community all ages, all ethnicities, all religions and all backgrounds.

You decide the fate.

Then, of course, we know where it goes, but you know what, I believe in God and I believe the right thing should happen.

I want to go on record so -- the inherent -- the inherent -- the project does not adhere to preserving open recreational space.

Again, approval by the planning board goes against the will of the people, as well as the Master Plan.

So, want to throw the Master Plan out, who cares that we voted on it for 30 years, we don't care what the people in the town think either, but I think you do. And you live here. And we're your neighbors.

And they want us to be their residents that put our parents in there, but I don't know if that's going to go down that well because the public isn't very happy about this.

The project doesn't adhere to -- sorry, briefly, traffic, some of the reasons, there's so many, I got to talk really fast.

There's traffic. Morning and evening rush hour hundreds of autos and cars and trucks from Enclave additional retail shopping. Traffic survey
we feel doesn't adequately account for all this increased traffic.

We've even got the 12-month closure of West Old Tappan Road that nobody's even talked about.

So, all the cars and trucks are all being detoured down Washington. Where are all these trucks and construction materials and flatbeds and equipment going to go? Washington Avenue was not built for that.

Safety, there's so many kids coming piling out of the school. I see them every single day. They're all walking on -- they like my side of the sidewalk. I don't know why they don't like the other side of the sidewalk because they got to cross the street, but they all come over here.

It's -- I took films of it. It's
crazy. They all go to Bi-State and they all walk by
and they ride their bicycles and people walk their dogs. So safety is a really big issue.

Stress on the police and ambulance and fire departments. The police are the first, they have to be legally the first on the scene.

How many calls do they have to do?
I've talked to some of the cops. I know we increased the police, but this is really hard, it's really
taxing our services and what if they need it somewhere else?

They're volunteers for the ambulance and fire department.

They're hard to find.
(Whereupon, whistle sounds.)
MS. FONOROW: Can I finish a few more
things?
MR. REGAN: How much more do you have?
MS. FONOROW: I'll make it really
brief.
MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: I will defer my five minutes to her.

MS. FONOROW: Would you allow that, please?

MR. REGAN: Go ahead.
MS. FONOROW: You know what, I mean, I have been here a long time.

I'm going off my script.
MR. REGAN: Take another minute.
MS. FONOROW: Been here a really long
time because I really care.
MR. REGAN: Take another minute and go ahead.

MS. FONOROW: Thank you.

I appreciate that, sir.
The stress on the police and the ambulance, fire department, they're all located, that one street right across from where this is going to be built.

I didn't even get into the mentioning of the widening of Old Tappan Road, which right now that hasn't even been approved by the county and that has to be approved and I checked on all that and OPRA forms and know about that as well.

I mean, they're asking so much that are you going to allow all these accommodations for one commercial enterprise.

You know, we already have an assisted living in town. We know how many calls. We hear the fire engines, this and that. There's also over 20 within a five-mile radius. It's -- they've gone crazy building them because it's such a big money maker in our area.

We've got the environmental issues.
Again, I look at it every single day. I look out that window and it breaks my heart.

The vernal pool is there. It's a natural basin. It is a vernal pool. I know they questioned it. We don't have documentation the right
time of year. I can't afford -- I can't afford the lawyers and experts that CHS -- CSH, Capitol Senior Housing can afford. I can't afford Mrs. Price.

But I'm sorry, I can't afford to bring in all these people and where everything that's being done is really out of the heart and soul of people that care and there are people just like you and there are people like your children, that's how -that's what you teach your kids how to grow up and to stand up for what they believe in and to do the right thing.

So, with the environment, the wetlands are going to get ruined and destroyed. It's -- I've said come look from my vantage point.

Again, it's a bird sanctuary. It has been for 25 years. I've had bald eagles. I've had almost every kind of bird you can think of. I've had bats. I've had owls. There's a whole big decrease in bird population across Rockland County and Bergen County because --

MR. REGAN: Could you wrap it up, please, because we have 19 other --

MS. FONOROW: I would say if you approve this application, you are telling citizens and businesses that you accept the paving over of Old
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Tappan Road and increasing suburban sprawl.
Are you taking the quality of life into account for your development decisions? It's proven parks, trees and registration are highly rated and have more inherent beneficial use and are more valuable. Allowing this type of commercial development opens Pandora's box, which is what the Master Plan prevents.

Once the community experiences the negative impact, they're going to ask why has this administration let the town down.

Please in my opinion, the applicant has failed to prove the inherent beneficial benefit of this project and that the benefits outweigh the cost and the risks.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. FONOROW: Thank you very much for giving me extra time.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Ken Scarlatelli.
Sir, would you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. SCARLATELLI: Yes, I do.

KEN SCARLATELLI,
507 Dorchester Road, Catonsville, Maryland, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give your address.

MR. SCARLATELLI: My name is Ken Scarlatelli, S-C-A-R-L-A-T-E-L-L-I.

My address is 507 Dorchester Road in Catonsville, Maryland.

Now, why am I here all the way from Maryland?

I was born and raised in Bergen County, spent more than half of my life here.

I'm a professional conservation biologist.

I hold certifications as a senior professional wetlands scientist and a certified wildlife biologist.

It was brought to my attention that this property, this application is proposed in wooded area adjacent to what is potentially a vernal pool according to the New Jersey DEP.

Now, why am I concerned about vernal pools?
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applicant -- excuse me -- hire qualified individuals
to conduct a survey next spring according to New Jersey DEP protocols to determine whether or not this wetland is, in fact, vernal habitat and if it is, I would urge the board and the town, the borough to reject the application under the Master Plan or some other mechanism and instead improve a less intensive development if one is made, preserves the majority of the upland habitat and thank you for listening.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Brooke Nelsor (phonetic).
FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Brooke's left.
MR. REGAN: He left.
Patches Magarro.
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. MAGARRO: I do.
PATCHES MAGARRO,
4 Churchill Road, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. MAGARRO: Patches Magarro,

M-A-G-A-R-R-O, 4 Church Hill Road, Old Tappan.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. MAGARRO: I want to thank all of the volunteers that serve on our planning board. This is a long process and usually you're here in a unknown and thankless capacity without an audience.
So I appreciate the attention that you've given to this application.

This project before us seeks to use a use variance, which is called a D variance.

Courts have stated that a \(D\) variance will always be the exception, rather than the rule, because this development is for senior housing.

It's considered an inherently beneficial use.

As I understand that to mean, the applicant is given special consideration as a positive use.

However, the applicant also has the burden to satisfy the planning board that the negative impacts of the project do not outweigh the good. I submit that the negative does outweigh the good.

With regard to the second prong of the negative criteria, the variance should not
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substantially impair the intent and purpose of the plan and the zoning ordinance.

So let's consider the zoning in Old
Tappan. A change in use would be considered when the property is particularly suitable for the proposed use.

Thus, serving the general welfare of the municipality, but nothing could be further from the truth. This lot is particularly unsuitable for this development. This lot is residential and zoned for 8,000 square feet. The scope of the proposed building is 80,000 square feet, ten times what is allowed in our zoning ordinance.

The planning board is tasked as the fiduciary of our land. The zoning exists to utilize our entire portfolio of properties in a way that is beneficial to our community in Old Tappan.

With so much vacant commercial property in Old Tappan, it seems essentially unnecessary to grant a use variance and, in fact, there's a lot available already zoned for a senior living facility. So, if someone could say that there is actually a need for more senior housing, it's possible to build one over there without losing any more residential land.

With regard to the Master Plan, this lot is named specifically as one to target for open space.
"Since most of the Borough's recreation lands are not centrally located, explore and pursue any opportunities that arise to obtain property suitable for recreation near the Borough's central core."

And goes onto specify, one other such pursued property that has not yet been successful is the historic Gerrit Haring House property.

Once the natural land at 244 Old Tappan Road is gone, it's lost forever. So the first step to explore and pursue this lot for recreational use would be to vote no on tearing it down for a commercial use. I urge the board to vote no.

However, if one of the plans is considered, I would suggest the plan that the applicant submitted that gifted Old Tappan a couple of acres of the natural unspoiled land so that it would remain undisturbed and residents can access it for recreation and education.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Anna Bager.

Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. VILLA BAGER: I do.
ANNA F. VILLA BAGER,
6 Klein Court, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. VILLA BAGER: Sure.
My full name is Anna, \(A-N-N-A, F\), Villa, V-I-L-L-A, B-A-G-E-R, Anna F. Villa Bager, 6 Klein Court, Old Tappan.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. VILLA BAGER: I can definitely empathize with each of you and the level of stress and responsibility that you have to maintain the integrity of these lands in our town.

I am urging you to really, truly consider if this property were in your own backyard, if this property were viewed by you and played on by your children and the thought of your grandchildren looking at this property in your own backyard.

I want you to imagine that and then 210
imagine it not being there at all.
Taking it personally in your vote,
that's what I'm hoping and praying that each one of you will do.

Take it personally, be the custodians
of what we as a community really desire in
maintaining the integrity of this town.
It's really, really important and each one of you will be -- your names will be etched in the history, in history of Old Tappan as being responsible for whatever may come by the destruction of this land.

So, I urge you, please, to take it personally, take it personally.

Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Johan Bager?
MR. BAGER: I defer to everybody else because they are saying it so much better than I potentially could.

MR. REGAN: Okay. Thank you.
Susan Bauer?
MS. BAUER: Good evening.
MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give in this proceeding shall
be the truth, so help you God?
MS. BAUER: I do.

\section*{SUSAN BAUER,}

35 Deberg Drive, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. BAUER: Susan Bauer, B-A-U-E-R, 35 Deberg Drive.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. BAUER: I'll keep mine short and sweet. I haven't mastered my phone yet, so I'm using the regular stuff, but I'm impressed by people who can do that.

I really am.
(Laughter.)
MS. BAUER: I also want to thank everybody for their service to this town.

I recently moved here a couple of years ago from Queens, so you can imagine the shock.

When I see those buildings across from the high school, though, and I see them looking in other people's backyards, that's how I spent 40 years of my life.

It's not pleasant, believe me.
So, I love this place. I'm thrilled that we were finally able to afford it to be honest with you.

I really moved here to be near my grandchildren, but that's besides the fact.

I do understand that development is not bad, it's not inherently bad. Things have to be developed. Things can never stay exactly the way they are.

But I am concerned, however, with the scope and magnitude of the current and planned projects and the impact they have on the Borough.

The character and the charm of Old Tappan being changed certainly warrants concern, but more concerning is the impact these developments will have on the police, fire and emergency services.

The fire and EMS department is currently in need of volunteers and answered almost 300 calls this year.

It seems unfair to burden the residents who volunteer their time to provide us these services with even more calls.

I would think it would be an extremely important factor in any decision regarding a zoning
change request.
In addition, land such as the 244 Old Tappan site once developed, it's lost forever. We're never getting it back.

As stewards of the Borough, I feel it's incumbent on the planning board to protect this land and set it aside for the use and the enjoyment of the residents of the Borough.

It is especially important to cease this opportunity prior to any changes made by the counsel for affordable housing.

I'm requesting the planning board deny the request for zoning change and move forward with acquiring the public funds and grant necessary to protect this open space for the future.

I do have to say as I've been sitting here through different meetings and I hear testimony, some of it goes over my head, but when I hear the historian, when I hear the gentleman from EMS, when I hear the person who traveled from Maryland, I hope you are all taking this -- I know you're all taking it into account, but that what they're saying to me far outweighs anything that the applicant has presented and I do hope that you'll deny this request.
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Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
Alexandra Molina (phonetic).
(No Response.)
MR. REGAN: No?
Angeline Sheridan?
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. SHERIDAN: Yes.
ANGELINE SHERIDAN,
31 Edith Street, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And for the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. SHERIDAN: Angeline Sheridan, S-H-E-R-I-D-A-N.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. SHERIDAN: 31 Edith Street.
MS. PRICE: Can I just note for the record that I believe Ms. Sheridan is represented by Mr. Steinhagen.

MR. REGAN: I think the record reflects that.
help you God?
MS. COUGHLIN: Yes.
SHARON COUGHLIN,
26 Meadowbrook Road, Boonton, New Jersey, having
been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And for the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. COUGHLIN: My name is Sharon Coughlin.

\section*{My last name is spelled}

C-O-U-G-H-L-I-N.
I currently live in Booton, New Jersey.
I grew up in Old Tappan.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
Go ahead.
MS. COUGHLIN: Graduate of NVOT.
I do not believe the developer has proven that we should change existing zoning laws from single-family residential to accommodate the request. Their proposed project involves constructing a large commercial building on the site.

It involves cutting old growth trees and paving almost the entire site.

The proposed development of 244 Old 218
Tappan Road is not beneficial for many reasons. Significant changes would need to be made to the landscape of the site, including filling the vernal pool and flattening the hillside to accommodate the project.

There are other sites in town more suitable for development.

The site provides suitable habitat for species of special concern in New Jersey, which are species vulnerable to habitat changes.

Open space is on the decline in New Jersey, particularly in Bergen County.

Access to open space has documented health benefits for local residents. It's valuable to try to preserve open space when you can.

The project removes 200 trees. Many
from old growth, which are not common in New Jersey anymore.

Latest scientific data documents that
the oldest, biggest trees absorb and store more carbon in proportion to their size than young trees. Preserving mature trees plays a vital role in removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere in addition to keeping the surrounding temperatures cool.

You know, I look at every single one of you guys over here and I know that I, basically, appointed probably the majority of you planning board members who are here, obviously, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Regan, obviously, you were hired by my administration.

And I think I just wanted to come up and say that, you know, there's going to be a couple of things I'm going to probably be a little bit longwinded, but I will respect four minutes and seventeen seconds.

During my administration we basically had a number of properties that were privately owned, which the town was able to purchase with open space funding.

One of them was Washington Avenue Estates. The other one is the beautiful Oakes Park, which everyone enjoys right now with the concerts, et cetera and the other one was Bonnabel, which is pretty close to the River Vale border.

The point of that is that I know that as I'm looking at each and every one of you for 16 years that I was mayor.

And I lived here for 33 years along with Ed Gallagher, I was longest serving mayor.
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I know in your heart of hearts right now as I'm looking at each and every one of you, you don't agree with this project. There's something about this project that you guys are looking at and you're saying to yourselves it does not make sense. The road is too narrow to have this structure there. It's -- it was part of the historical preservation committee, which our administration had put together. I don't know when that was disbanded. It might have been for my administration. It may have been afterwards, but that's academic.

But I don't think it's a coincidence that over the last six years and this has nothing to do with anybody over here, this town has changed, you know. We've gone from what I would call Old Tappan being a destination to right now it's become a drive through.

And I don't think that's good for property values. I don't think that's good for people who are living here. I don't think that's good for the fire department, the police department, the ambulance corps.

This is all probably about money and
COAH and I get it.
And at the end of the day I know and
every single one of you guys know, that if I were sitting in that corner, that I would look and I would speak and I would be talking to every single one of these residents and I'd be telling you guys, you know what, bring it on, I want a good fight, because Bob, that's why I hired you, because you're one of the best land use attorneys in Bergen County. Okay?

And you're still here, so that means you're doing a great job.

But there are people who will take this on who are not afraid. This is historically significant also.

Don't cheapen this town any more than what it's been cheapen over the past six years. It's too much. There's too much development, which has been going on. You know it and I know it.

And, obviously, there are reasons for it, that I'm not privy to, because I haven't been there the past six years, I get it.

But you guys are smart. You guys are articulate. You know what's going on here. You in your heart of hearts.

And I want to thank you all for doing what you've been doing over the years. Thank you for your efforts. Thank you for your service, but help
these people out here. These are the ones who are looking up at you and us when I was here and basically wanted someone to protect their asses.

Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Brain Morandi.
MR. MORANDI: That's the last time I
let Former Mayor Polce sign ahead of me.
(Laughter.)
MR. MORANDI: Brian Morandi,
1043 Westwood Avenue, Old Tappan.
MR. REGAN: I have to swear you in.
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. MORANDI: Ace.
MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm --
MR. MORANDI: I understand that, but it's a statement.

It doesn't have to be truth.
MR. REGAN: I have to --
MR. MORANDI: Okay.
(Laughter.)
MR. REGAN: I'm not making this up.
MR. MORANDI: No, that's fine.

MR. REGAN: We have to.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: We have to.
MR. MORANDI: Okay. Somebody's jumping through hoops.
(Laughter.)
(Audience Outburst.) MR. MORANDI: They're asking for all these -- someone's asking for something. Okay?

It would have been just easier if somebody had bought the property and built a house, we get that. We get development, right, I get it. I get all this.

Just because you can doesn't mean you should and that was written by a woman by the last name of Kenyon who's a famous American writer and what everybody has said here speaks to that.

Just because you can -- I came before this board 12 years ago, the zoning board to build a three-bay garage on my property. I had all the setbacks. I had everything. I didn't need a
variance. I didn't need anything.
One of the board members asked me and said, do you really need to build a three-bay? And I went back and talked to my architect who lives in town, Bob Saccone and I paid for it, I said can you do it for two, can you give me two things? Why? Because -- just because I could didn't mean I had to and it wouldn't have cost me any more money.

So, what I'm asking and what everybody here is asking, what Former Mayor Polce asked you is, look, we get dollars and cents, we get it all.

But when you talk about beneficial and people have said, like, you know, no one has proven it's beneficial interest or whatever, who really benefits from this.

I live on Westwood Avenue. A car drives 60 miles an hour down the road. I see that every day. I talk to the policeman. Their hands are tied. They're not being paid to sit there and watch people.

True story, two pickup trucks drag racing on both sides of the line right past the park.

I'm just asking you, and I got two minutes, I'll give them back to you, but from everything that everybody said, it resonates. This
room, this thought, this process resonates.
You asked the gentleman here, the attorney, well, have you thought about doing this, you asked the drainage guy, have you thought about that.

Has anybody asked the applicant, have you thought about all these other places around town? Why is -- and I'm not asking you to answer that.
(Laughter.)
MR. MORANDI: I'm saying -- what I'm saying is, why is it so hard for us to be in the path of least resistance to be pushed back so far?

Thank you very much.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Serina Lancia.
MS. LANCIA: Joe Fernandez is going to take my spot.

MR. REGAN: Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you'll give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. FERNANDEZ: I do.
JOE FERNANDEZ,
10 Leonard Drive, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MR. REGAN: State your name and spell
your last name and give your address.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Joe Fernandez, F-E-R-N-A-N-D-E-Z, 10 Leonard Drive.

Just for the record, I have 35 years of construction and real estate development. I build buildings like this. It's a little hard for me, because I'm usually on this side presenting to get the building built.

I'm here to talk about the impact of the area. This is two-year project. It's going to be constant trucks in and out.

By the grading plan presented by
Dynamic, there's 13,000 cubic yards of soil coming off of this site. That's 920 trucks that are going to be lined up Old Tappan Road.

We talked about the pinch point of Old Tappan Road.

At its shallowest it's 26 -feet wide. A tandem truck ranges anywhere between 12- and 15-feet wide at its widest point.

Any time they're taking material off of that site, they're going to have to close down Old Tappan Road.

It's going to impede across the second lot. It's going to take up more than half of the
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road. That's one point.
The other point is coming in, there's deliveries that are coming in, concrete structure, going to have trucks lined up.

Again, it's going to be across the center line. Anything that's delivered there is going to have to be closed down. It's going to impact police, fire, ambulance.

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: The school.
MR. FERNANDEZ: I'm getting to that.
(Laughter.)
MR. FERNANDEZ: I mean, the school is
right up the road, guys.
Old Tappan has got a high school in it, it's got grammar school, middle school. Everybody's driving their kid to school or kids are driving to school. That area throughout the day is taxed. This is only going to do more.

This project, as I said, is going to go two years. Supply chain, switch gear, transformers, they're all year out. HVAC, 24 to 28 weeks, that's what you're looking at.

This is going to be an ongoing impact of this town. And it's not going to stop after it gets to a certain point.

Just in the different ebbs and flow, in a construction project, but it all means material, labor and trucks.

Where do you stage the trucks? They're going to be lined up either up Old Tappan Road, on Leonard, on Charles.

Where are people going to park? Where are the workers going to park? They got to go somewhere.

So, this is all things, it's a logistic plan. I don't know if they presented a logistic plan, but this is something we're all going to have to live with.

So, please consider that.
Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Catherine Levine.
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MS. LEVINE: I do.
CATHERINE LEVINE,
3 Klein Court, Old Tappan, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

MR. REGAN: For the record, state your full name and spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. LEVINE: Catherine with a "C" Levine, L-E-V-I-N-E, 3 Klein Court, Old Tappan.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. LEVINE: I'm going to be brief because everybody has pretty much said all the thoughts that I've had, but in following this gentleman, I keep coming back to the one thing, how does this benefit our town?

Where is this a benefit for us? And everything that's been presented, the variances that have had to be -- that will have to be weighed, I don't see any benefit to the town.

What I see as a benefit of a town would be a supermarket, would be more trees on The Enclave, maybe having The Enclave not look like army barracks, that would benefit the town.

But I don't see -- we have an assisted living facility already. I don't see the need for another assisted living facility especially when we have so many surrounding us.

So, it's all up -- you're the keepers
of our town. You're the people that make our town
the success it is. It's in your hands.
Do you do something that's going to benefit the residents or benefit some construction -some developer to make money and not service the town and that's totally up to you.

The other thing I want to point out and just have it stated for the record, there's this reference to 200 trees being cut down. That's false. They have to by our -- I think it's our ordinance, it's an 8-inch caliber of the tree.

Those trees are what they counted. I don't know if anybody else on the planning board walked the property with them.

I served on the environmental commission in the '90s. I was there when the first open space thing was presented and we passed the Washington state open space -- the Washington Avenue Open Space.

We walked the trees with the developer.
It can be a very subjective thing. Is it 8 inches or is it 7 inches? It can be -- and it's very subjective. There's -- those 200 trees, but there's trees that are 6 inches. There's trees that are 7 inches that are 20 -feet high.

The impact of the trees that are going
to be cut are 400 trees, maybe 500 trees. It's not 200 trees.

Not to mention all the brush that's going to be cut. The area is going to be clearcut. It's going to look like a bomb went off there, much like what passed, the property looks like with The Enclave looks like. It's not going to be the town I moved here 30 years ago from Virginia.

Why did I move here? Because of the bucolic nature of the town, because it seemed like a warm and fuzzy town. It was tree lined. It was quaint. There was three schools here. It fit my family's needs.

And I am watching it being destroyed.
Again, as the keepers of our town, as
the future, I'm concerned about our property values,
I'm concerned about the safety issues of the change
in traffic, what all these trucks are going to be, where the traffic is going to get rerouted down residential areas, where the children are going to be walked, all the children that walk to Bi-State after school to get a snack, they're going to be passing trucks and all kinds of debris or just inconveniences.

The parents that go pick up the kids,
the people that are going to work. Where's the benefit to the residents of this town? I don't see it. I don't see any benefit.

What I do see as a benefit is making it a center. Make Old Tappan special again. Have it as a nature center, a historical center with all kinds of trails going back into the vernal pond and something that the town will enjoy and treasure forever and I just hope, I'm hoping for a miracle here.

I hope you can do that for us. I know you work hard and I know you give a lot of time.

I just hope you can make this happen for the town. Do something for us, not something for somebody that doesn't even live in our state.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Lynn Lee?
(No Response.)
MR. REGAN: Lynn Lee? No.
(No Response.)
MR. REGAN: Lori Charkey.
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be
the truth, so help you God?
MS. CHARKEY: Yes, I do.
LORI CHARKEY,
141 Demarest Avenue, Hillsdale, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MS. CHARKEY: Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Could you state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. CHARKEY: Lori, L-O-R-I, Charkey,
C-H-A-R-K-E-Y, 141 Demarest Avenue, Hillsdale, New Jersey.

MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MS. CHARKEY: First of all, thank you so much for everything you do. This was grueling work.

As a fellow volunteer, I understand. It takes years. It's a very, very difficult decision that's weighing upon you.

I've been an open space advocate and nature lover, a follower of history since I was knee high to a grasshopper.

I was brought up to love nature, to value historic sites.

My parents shlepped us to every monument, every national park east and west of the

Mississippi.
It was a wonderful education. And it's made me do what I've done, which is that since 1988 I've been serving as the codirector and now director of Bergen Save the Watershed Action Network, Bergen SWAN.

A lot of you know that you have open space here because of our work. Some that was preserved through legal settlements and some through your applying successfully to the open space trust fund.

I've sat on that board since the beginning. I was a founding member. I still serve on it.

This is the ideal application that we'd like to see before us. It has everything going for it.

I'm urging you to partner with Bergen County Open Space Trust Fund, with Green Acres, with my organization, with Tenafly Nature Association, with the Sierra Club.

There's money.
We've had a 2009 settlement with the water company. It's earning interest ever since then. It's over a million dollars.
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for us. We know we should protect our wetlands. We know that trees offer so many incredibly valuable services. We know by taking them down we do a disaster to the surrounding area.

You can't reengineer back the services that nature provides. You can manage, but you can't repeat what nature can do.

Please, I'm going to leave my card over there.
Call me, work with me, work with
everybody here.
I've never heard such wonderful words in favor of not making a terrible mistake.

Please use your logic, your
intelligence and your conscience in deciding this.
And thank you so much.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. REGAN: Lorrinda Morris (phonetic).
MS. MORRIS: I'll pass now.
Thank you.
MR. REGAN: Kurt Carpenter?
Do you swear or affirm that the
testimony you will give in this proceeding shall be the truth, so help you God?

MR. CARPENTER: I do.
KURT CARPENTER,
168 Central Avenue, Old Tappan, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. REGAN: And for the record, state your full name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MR. CARPENTER: Sure.
It's Kurt Carpenter at 168 Central Avenue in Old Tappan.
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MR. REGAN: Thank you.
MR. CARPENTER: So, speaking late in the -- the proceedings, I wanted to echo what everyone has said.

First off, to thank everyone for their extensive time these many, many months to listen to the presentation with patience, excellent questions and your job is so difficult.

It is a thankless, literally thankless job as you have understood from lots of different angles.

But with that said, it's also you know how incredibly important a role and a vital role that you play in the shaping the direction and character of this town.

You've heard lots of people speak about their ties to the town and history and so on and so forth, and under -- stocked through all of that is understand trying to balance intelligently and creatively the desire for forward progress and transformation while still preserving the inherent character and the beauty in -- and that's in sort of air quotes, because it can be interpreted in different ways, both physical, environmental and just sort of the -- everything about the town of why Old

Tappan is a draw for people to here, to live here and raise families.

We've seen so much change over the time, over the last several years of development.

And, again, I've been to those
presentations and tried to help make logical arguments for and against projects.

For example, when the project for -across Oak Street, Oakes Park was being proposed for storage, that didn't make sense for that thing and I said so.

However, when it came up for the senior home, the memory care facility, we asked intelligent questions of the applicant. We got our answers. We've made changes.

And guess what? We got it through. And that was great. It was going to be helpful.

Now, that project didn't go through.
It's -- that lot is -- is now available. It wasn't
at the beginning when this application started.
The people said, oh, why can't we just
buy that?
Well, that wasn't what -- this applicant started, right? So, that's just the facts.

Now, everybody's made great arguments
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of why everything from historical, environmental, operational, all kinds of impact, negative.

But if we take the opposite side, if you guys make this decision, right, to actually improve it, all right, why would that be.

Is it because of tax revenue that would
come? Because the Sunrise facilities, a very
analogous facility to be about \(\$ 230,000.00\) of annual revenue to the town for schools and the town coffers.

I don't think that's enough to -- to --
to make a compelling argument to make this D-1, this -- this use variance, right, everything based on the use variance.

However, there is a compelling argument and it hangs over all of us about the COAH obligations.

Ms. Haverilla's incredibly helpful presentation on COAH and our obligations for the third round is hanging over us. 2025, I believe she said. We're protected with our situation for -until that time, but after that, we're being on the hook.

So we're going to get ten -- if you approve this and because the COAH is the driving mechanism for the approval, we're going to get ten
beds out of this. Is ten enough?
Okay, maybe, but if not, and we really
want to go all in on COAH, can we deny this and say perhaps work with some sort of public/private partnership where we say let's take more of a model of the Russell Street housing, the senior housing. We're doing just hardcore, just serious housing, nothing about housing and that's all -- every single one of those is COAH.

You get the property, I didn't say how, that's operational, but if you were able -- if you were able to get the property and donate it to the county, hands off, that's, like, 20 to 30 pieces -credits right there.

So, all I can say is, thank you again for your time.

Consider all the options. I know you do.

And we like the character as it is. We totally understand that you have this, sort of, hanging over your head.

Thank you so much for your time.
MR. REGAN: Thank you.
We've concluded the list, a total of 25 speakers.

I guess there would be a motion to close the meeting to the public.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Can we have a --
MR. ALESSI: Motion to close.
VICE CHAIRMAN MAMARY: Second.
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: All in favor?
(Whereupon, all present members respond in the affirmative.)

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Now, Ladies and
Gentlemen, we're into January, January 11th is the next meeting.

Are you going to have anybody here?
MR. REGAN: The planner --
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: And by the way, January 11th is another hearing also.

MS. FROHLICH: And it's also our reorganization.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Pardon me?
MS. FROHLICH: It's also our reorg.
MR. REGAN: Reorganization meeting.
MS. PRICE: Well, I calculated, before
coming tonight just to see where we are from
February 9th, and tonight is Day 308 on a 120
statutory day --

MR. REGAN: I'm assume you're willing to extend the time?

MS. PRICE: That's what I -- I mean, we're going to need -- I mean the board asked for additional info from Mr. Steinhagen.

I don't know what's going to come, whether I'm going to have to call Dan on rebuttal and, quite frankly, reopening the record, you know, poses additional procedural issues with timing.

So, we're good for 11th, right, Joe?
But, you know, we're going to have to make a decision at that point, because we're really --

MR. REGAN: The concern I have is how much time --

MS. PRICE: -- we're over a year.
MR. REGAN: The other application is residential?

MS. FROHLICH: It's 306 Old Tappan
Road.
MR. REGAN: That's a subdivision.
MS. FROHLICH: That's a subdivision.
MR. REGAN: Two-lot subdivision with
variances.

MS. FROHLICH: Yes, a decent amount of variances. It's not a simple application.

MR. REGAN: No, I know.
MS. FROHLICH: It's not a fence. He's already noticed.

MS. PRICE: But we would carry as an ongoing to be prior to that application, correct, the new application?

MR. REGAN: I think she would be first on the agenda.

MS. FROHLICH: If that's what the board wants to do, absolutely.

MR. REGAN: But there would be a cutoff time, because the meeting would have to be split between you and the other applicant.

MS. PRICE: We'll see what happens in the next couple of weeks also, you know, on the other issues.

MR. REGAN: Eventually this has to be brought --

MS. PRICE: No, it really -- I mean,
we're --
MR. REGAN: We're going to be coming up on the one-year anniversary.

MS. PRICE: We are.

I actually filed the application in December of '21 and it was deemed complete.

MR. REGAN: The first hearing or the second?

MS. PRICE: February 9th was the first hearing. So, we're way over the statutory period of time.

So, I think January. And we have -one board member made reference, we have made a lot of tweaks. Every time we're asked to do something, we've done it and modified the plans.

So, we certainly haven't come in here and said take it or leave it. You know --
(Whereupon, Chairman strikes gavel.)
CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Ladies and Gentlemen, the meeting isn't over yet, please.

MS. PRICE: The applicant hasn't done that and has, you know, gone with additional meetings just to insure that everybody has their comments on the record.

So, I would ask, though, that January really be a good focus on this to wrap things up, because at that point --

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: Listen, if we have to --

MR. REGAN: Is it's possible, but, you know, there's no guarantees.

CHAIRMAN WEIDMANN: If we have to in February, we'll go with two meetings, try to get this done.

MS. PRICE: Okay. And could that be done in January, do you think?

MR. REGAN: Let's hope, let's hope.
We've made a lot of progress.
MS. PRICE: Because I'll ask for availability as well.

MR. REGAN: Yeah, your planner needs to be here to be cross examined?

Ms. PRICE: Yup.
MR. REGAN: I thought he was here
before.
MS. PRICE: He was, but when I said go ahead, because I know we had all the public comments to get to.

MR. MAGGIO: What is he testifying on?
MR. REGAN: Well, he's not. He's testifying --

MS. PRICE: He's not.
He needs to be cross examined by --
MR. ELLER: And to that point, because
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\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline '21 [1] - 247:2 & & & 256 [3]-4:18, 194:2, & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
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\hline 146:9, 177:5, 181:4, & 201:3 & 149:22, 155:12, & \[
123: 10,150: 5
\] & 202:5, 202:13, \\
\hline 185:6, 194:11, & huge [2]-138:11, & 156:20, 156:21, & increase [4]-39:6, & 240:21 \\
\hline 213:20, 213:24, & :19 & 163:8, 206:21 & 151:13, 170:13, & inherently [5] - \\
\hline 215:15, 215:24, & hugger [1] - 148:11 & impair [1]-207:1 & 172:17 & 140:16, 140:19, \\
\hline 235:9, 235:11, & hundred [2]-117:1 & impede [1] - 229:24 & increased [5] & 167:20, 206:14, \\
\hline 235:13, 248:8 & 139:8 & implicated [1]-8:16 & 137:12, 138:10 & 212:8 \\
\hline hoped [2]-10:13, & hundreds [1] - 197:24 & implies [1]-52:2 & 155:22, 198:2, & initiative [1] - 104:1 \\
\hline 54:19 & hurricanes [1] - 176:4 & implore [3]-158:19, & 198:24 & initiatives [1] - 99:7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hopeful }[2]-11: 16, \\
& 54: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { husband }[2]-175: 10, \\
& \text { 175:16 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
158:21, 225:22 \\
importance [2]-87
\end{tabular} & increasing [4] -
170:12, 171:4, & \begin{tabular}{l}
initiator \({ }_{[1]}\) - 97:6 \\
inlet [2]-24:17, 204:7
\end{tabular} \\
\hline hopefully [2]-116:10, & HVAC [2] - 137:13 & 194:21 & 172:3, 202:1 & inlets [1] - 24:21 \\
\hline 219:10 & 23 & important [15] - 86:24, & incredibly [6] - & inquiries [1] - 88:9 \\
\hline hoping [6]-9:4, & hydraulic [4]-26:2, & 114:17, 150:7, & 159:14, 189:13, & insects [1]-151:14 \\
\hline 27:25, 28:2, 111:5, & 26:4, 26:5, 26:20 & 151:9, 151:12, & 192:11, 238:17, & inside [6] - 91:1, \\
\hline 210:3, 235:9 & hydraulics [1] - 20:16 & 180:10, 189:13 & 240:13, 242:17 & 121:15, 121:17, \\
\hline horrors [1] - 146:7 & hydrograph [1] - & 192:11, 195:3, & incumbent [1] - 213:6 & 121:21, 121:22, \\
\hline host [2]-150:14, & 63:24 & 204:23, 210:8, & independent [1] - & 184:19 \\
\hline 151:9 & hydrology [4]-20:16, & 212:25, 213:9, & 35:25 & inspired [1] - 147:5 \\
\hline hosting [1]-150:15 & 21:14, 71:16, 151:23 & 219:24, 240:13 & independently [1] - & installed [1] - 92:17 \\
\hline hour [3]-75:20, & & importantly [1]-87:12 & \[
36: 1
\] & instance [1] - 41:6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline laptop［1］－45：24 & lawyers［1］－201：2 & 202：2，203：14， & LLC \({ }_{\text {［5］}}-1: 4,1: 20\), & 207：24 \\
\hline large［4］－72：18， & laying［1］－57：11 & 211：25 & 2：8，22：5，137：8 & loss［7］－138：11， \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 155:20, 156:18, } \\
& 217: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lead }[2]-139: 22, \\
& 172: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lifecycle [2] - 204:16, } \\
& \text { 204:20 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Iocal }[11]-38: 10, \\
& 86: 13,96: 24,98: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 139: 2,139: 22, \\
& 139: 24,145: 15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline larger［1］－17：8 & learn［3］－105：18， & lifelong［1］－149：20 & 130：1，144：8， & 149：23，151：12 \\
\hline larva［1］－204：9 & 106：4，181：9 & light［4］－139：20， & 147：10，150：7， & lost［9］－87：13，90：11， \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { last [55] - 15:8, 15:12, } \\
19: 24,22: 5,41: 14,
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { learned [2] - 194:19, } \\
& 238: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 155:23, 161:17, } \\
& 225: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 150:21, 166:14, } \\
& 218: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 90: 12,93: 21,131: 3, \\
& 131: 6,148: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 43：6，45：10，53：18 & least［10］－13：18 & likely［1］－138：10 & localized［1］－24：24 & 208：13，213：3 \\
\hline 55：17，69：1，72：25， & 29：23，35：20，69：4， & limit［1］－98：20 & locate［1］－164：14 & Ioud［1］－9：21 \\
\hline 83：2，83：13，104：23， & 92：13，152：1，164：4， & limited［3］－67：14 & located［5］－6：22， & louder［1］－134：13 \\
\hline 105：8，136：23， & 164：10，204：18， & 182：17，183：7 & 99：5，136：7，200：3， & LOULOUDIS［22］－ \\
\hline 149：9，149：11， & 228：12 & line［6］－63：12， & 208：5 & 1：15，7：24，31：9， \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 152:17, 157:14, } \\
& \text { 161:5, 165:1, 165:8, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { leave }[6]-109: 8, \\
145: 14,178: 25,
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 135:13, 138:1, } \\
& \text { 140:2, 227:22, 230:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Iocation }[7]-25: 11, \\
154: 14,166: 15,
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 31: 21,74: 17,95: 21, \\
& 96: 8,96: 11,97: 17,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 166：3，168：9， & 187:11, 238:23 & lined \([4]\)－229：15， & \[
\text { 167:17, } 167: 1
\] & \[
97: 21,97: 24,98: 4,
\] \\
\hline 168：17，169：3， & 247：13 & 230：4，231：5，234：11 & 195：11，195：1 & 98：7，99：1，99：21， \\
\hline 173：16，175：2， & lecturer \({ }_{[1]}-84: 17\) & lingo［1］－195：16 & logic［2］－167：12， & 100：14，100：16， \\
\hline 179：11，185：1， & Lee［2］－235：19， & linguistic［1］－60：16 & 239：4 & 102：3，102：6， \\
\hline 186：5，187：25， & 235：21 & linguistics［2］－55：24， & logical［1］－ 241 & 102：13，102：16， \\
\hline 191：21，191：25， & leeway［1］－49：7 & 59：17 & logistic［2］－231：10， & 102 \\
\hline 194：5，195：5，196：6， & left［9］－11：19，59：22， & lips［1］－134：18 & 231：11 & Louloudis［3］－3：7， \\
\hline 203：5，205：23， & 113：6，128：2， & Lisa［1］－91：1 & long－term［1］－147：17 & 3：15，7：23 \\
\hline 209：9，211：7， & 184：12，191：22 & list［3］－81：19，84：7 & longest \({ }_{[1]}\)－221：25 & love［6］－54：16， \\
\hline 214：15，217：7， & 192：1，205：12， & 243：2 & longtime \({ }_{[1]}\)－173：5 & 121：11，212：2， \\
\hline 217：11，219：19， & 205：13 & listed［6］－63：23， & longwinded［1］－ & 216：7，216：10， \\
\hline 220：19，222：13， & legacy［1］－146：6 & 143：1，150：25， & 221：10 & 236：22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 224:7, 226:19, } \\
& \text { 229:1, 232:2, 236:8, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { legal [6] - 106:25, } \\
125: 16.126: 6 .
\end{gathered}
\] & 194:25, 195:21, & look［23］－9：8，22：16， & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { loved }[2]-177: 25, \\
188: 21
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 239：21，241：4 & 127:21, 237:9 & & \[
69: 9,71: 15,94: 1
\] & lover［1］－236：20 \\
\hline Last［2］－5：22，5：24 & 49：14 & 6：4， 24 & \[
22: 5,127:
\] & low［2］－30：10，150：11 \\
\hline late［1］－240：2 & legally［1］－198：22 & 247：24 & 61：24，185：2 & lowest［5］－23：7， \\
\hline latest［2］－46：10， & legged \({ }_{[1]}\)－103：1 & listening［1］－205：9 & 85：6，185：7 & 28：18，28：25，29：4 \\
\hline 218：19 & legs［1］－204：14 & lists［1］－148：9 & 94：13，200：21， & lucky［1］－61：13 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { laughter [12]-36:11, } \\
77: 15,113: 17,
\end{gathered}
\] & Leonard［11］－4：3， & literally［2］－122：4， & 201：14，221：1， & \begin{tabular}{l}
lungs［1］－204：14 \\
Lynn［3］－178：18，
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 116：15，121：18， & 152:20, 174:21, & live［11］－144：2 & \[
232: 18,234: 5
\] & \[
235: 19,235: 21
\] \\
\hline 135：9，141：19， & 175:6, 178:12, & \[
149: 25,173: 21,
\] & looked［3］－39：4， & \\
\hline 144：6，154：23， & 228：23，229：3， \(231: 6\) & :14, 192:19, & 39：5，70：21 & M \\
\hline 211：17，224：9，
\(224: 23\) & Leonia［1］－99：16 & 7：14，217：13， & looking［21］－45：2 & \\
\hline 224：23 & less［1］－205：7 & 7：16，231：13， & 5：6，45：12，45：19， & ma＇am［1］－184：10 \\
\hline Laughter［4］－225：15， 226：10，228：9， & letter [5] - 153:23, & \[
235: 15,241: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46: 5,47: 13,48: 12 \\
& 70: 13,116: 3,116: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Magarro }[3]-4: 21, \\
205: 14,205: 25
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 230：11 & \[
169: 14,177
\] & \[
175: 9,184: 4
\] & \[
40: 12,159: 18
\] & MAGARRO［4］ \\
\hline LAURA [2] - 251:3, & Letter［1］－35：15 & 192:17, 192:22, & 173:12, 178:14,
209:24, 211:23, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 205: 18,205: 25, \\
& 206: 1,206: 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Laurel［1］－84：12 & letting [1] - 30:16 & \[
\text { lives }[2]-175: 10
\] & \[
: 22,222
\] & Maggio［3］－3：10， \\
\hline law［3］－39：1，101：18， & level [4] - 139:11, & \[
227
\] & 222：4，224：2，230：22 & 3：16，7：9 \\
\hline 196：2 & 181：15，191：4， & Living［1］－176：13 & looks［4］－183：10， & MAGGIO［77］－1：13， \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Law [6] - 6:2, 6:17, } \\
86: 15,101: 16,
\end{gathered}
\] & 209：17 & living［21］－1：6， & \[
220: 22,234: 6,234: 7
\] & \[
7: 10,27: 11,27: 14
\] 27：17 27：19，40：15 \\
\hline 86:15, 101:16,
124:8, 125:17 & levels［1］－139：4 & 139:15, 140:10, & \begin{tabular}{l}
LORI［1］－236：9 \\
Lori［3］－5：13，235：23，
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 27:17, 27:19, 40:15, } \\
& \text { 40:20, 40:23, 41:2, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Lawrence［4］－4：11， & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Levine }[3]-5: 11 \\
& \text { 231:18, } 232: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & 155:16, 156:15, & 236:9 & 41:7, 41:9, 41:11, \\
\hline 174：14，175：5，175：6 & LEVINE［4］－231：22， & 170：6，170：19， & Lorrinda［1］－239：9 & 41：22，41：24，42：1， \\
\hline LAWRENCE［3］－ & \[
232: 4,232: 5,232: 7
\] & 172：2，175：14， & \[
\text { lose }[5]-87: 2,91: 13 \text {, }
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
42:8, 42:23, 47:5, \\
47：11，47：13，47：19，
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 174:19, 174:23, } \\
& \text { 175:4 }
\end{aligned}
\] & liberality［1］－143：12 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 175:22, 177:11, } \\
& \text { 181:18, 181:21, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 92:4, 146:19, 156:17 } \\
& \text { Ioses [2]-110:12, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 47:11, 47:13, 47:19, } \\
& 47: 23,48: 1,48: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline laws［1］－217：19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
license［1］－100：5 \\
License［1］－251：
\end{tabular} & 181: & 148:8 & \[
59: 1,59: 6,59: 10,
\] \\
\hline lawsuit［1］－190：19 & lies [1] - 196:25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 208:2 } 8,200: 15, \\
& \text { 207:20, }
\end{aligned}
\] & losing［5］－91：9，92：4， & 60：1，60：4，60：8， \\
\hline lawyer［1］－215：18 & life［5］－146：5，166：11， & 232：21，232：22 & 146:17, 183:14, & 60：13，60：22，67：25， \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 154:25, 155:2, } \\
& 155: 7,156: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 225:10, 225:16, } \\
& \text { 226:1, 226:3, 226:6, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 101:11, 101:20, } \\
& \text { 102:3, 102:6, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 199:17, 199:21, } \\
& \text { 199:25. 201:23. }
\end{aligned}
\] & N \\
\hline 156:13, 157:3, & \[
226: 8,226: 12,
\] & 102:13, 102:16, & 202:17, 205:18, & N.J.A.C [3] - 65:5, \\
\hline 157:13, 157:17, & 228:10, 228:15, & 102:21, 102:23, & 205:25, 206:3, & \[
65: 7,65: 8
\] \\
\hline 159:15, 159:17, & 228:18, 228:21 & 103:1, 103:5, & 209:4, 209:11 & name [64]-8:22, 8:24, \\
\hline \(159: 20,159: 24\),
\(160 \cdot 3,160 \cdot 8\) & \(228: 25,229: 2\),
\(230 \cdot 10,230: 12\) & 108:10, 108:13, & 209:16, 210:23, & 9:25, 19:24, 20:1, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 160:3, 160:8, } \\
& \text { 160:15, 160:17, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 230: 10,230: 12, \\
& \text { 231:16, 231:18, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 108:18, 108:22, } \\
& \text { 115:20, 115:24, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 211:2, 211:9, } \\
& \text { 211:12, 211:18, }
\end{aligned}
\] & 70:22, 83:2, 91:5, \\
\hline 160:21, 161:4, & 232:1, 232:6, & 116:4, 116:7, 117:2, & 214:10, 214:17, & :25, 149:9, \\
\hline 161:10, 164:16, & 235:19, 235:21 & 117:19, 117:21, & 214:20, 214:21, & 9:11, 149:12, \\
\hline 164:21, 164:25, & 235:23, 236:7, & 118:8, 118:13, & 215:1, 215:4, 215:7, & 152:17, 157:14, \\
\hline 165:2, 165:4, 165:5, & 236:12, 239:9 & 118:17, 118:20, & 215:8, 216:17, & 161:5, 165:1, 168:9 \\
\hline 167:24, 168:4, & 239:12, 239:16 & 118:22, 119:3, & 216:20, 217:2, & 175:2, 175:3, 175:4, \\
\hline 168:8, 168:11, & 239:20, 239:23 & 119:8, 120:1, 120:6, & 217:9, 217:17, & 179:11, 185:18, \\
\hline 168:14, 168:15, & 240:1, 240:2, & 120:11, 120:14, & 228:16, 231:22, & 5:21, 186:4 \\
\hline 168:19, 168:21, & 243:23, 244:6 & 120:15, 121:19, & 232:4, 232:7, 236:2, & 187:25, 194:5, \\
\hline 168:22, 168:24, & 244:15, 244:22 & 123:16, 123:20, & 236:6, 236:9, & 203:5, 203:7, \\
\hline 168:25, 169:2, & 245:2, 245:15, & 125:23, 126:2, & 236:13, 239:10, & 55:23, 209:9, \\
\hline 169:4, 169:6, 169:8, & 245:18, 245:22 & 126:7, 126:11, & 244:18, 244:21, & 209:12, 211:7, \\
\hline 169:10, 173:1, & 245:24, 246:3, & 126:14, 127:13, & 244:23, 245:4, & 214:15, 217:7, \\
\hline 173:3, 174:13, & 246:9, 246:13, & 127:25, 129:9, & 245:17, 245:20, & 217:9, 217:11, \\
\hline 174:25, 178:17, & 246:19, 246:23, & 130:5, 131:13, & 245:23, 246:1, & 226:20, 228:25, \\
\hline 178:21, 178:23, & 247:3, 248:1, 248:8, & 131:21, 131:23, & 246:4, 246:6, & 9:1, 232:2, 236:8, \\
\hline 179:1, 179:10, & 248:12, 248:15, & 132:4, 132:8, & 46:11, 246:16 & 239:21 \\
\hline 179:15, 182:2, & 248:20, 248:21 & 132:11, 141:12, & 246:21, 246:25, & named [1] - 208:2 \\
\hline 182:7, 182:11, & 248:25, 249:7, & 142:2, 142:6, 142:9, & 247:5, 247:17, & names [1] - 210:9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 182:22, 182:25, } \\
& \text { 183:3, 183:6, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 249: 8,249: 20 \\
& 250: 3,250: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 142:12, 142:18, } \\
& \text { 142:22, 142:23, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 248:6, 248:10, } \\
& \text { 248:17, 248:23, }
\end{aligned}
\] & narrow [1] - 222:6 \\
\hline 185:14, 185:17, & MS [285] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:9, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 142: 22,142: 23, \\
& 142: 25,143: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & 249:12, 249:22, & national [20]-84:14, 86:6, 86:9, 87:2 \\
\hline 185:20, 185:22, & 7:11, 7:13, 7:15, & 143:5, 143:14, & 249:24, 250:4, 250:9 & 87:14, 87:20, 87:25, \\
\hline 186:4, 187:15, & 7:16, 7:17, 7:19 & 143:17, 143:23, & mud [1] - 184:17 & 3:25, 95:3, 95:10, \\
\hline 187:20, 187:24, & 7:21, 7:23, 7:24 & 144:7, 149:4, & multi [1]-116:18 & 5:15, 97:6, 97:20, \\
\hline 188:1, 193:3, 193:5, & 7:25, 8:2, 8:4, 8:23, & 149:10, 149:16, & multi-team [1] - & 98:14, 98:22, 99:11, \\
\hline 193:7, 193:20, & 10:6, 10:21, 12:9, & 152:12, 152:19, & 116:18 & 99:12, 100:8, 148:6, \\
\hline 194:4, 199:9, & 12:12, 12:16, 13:15, & 152:23, 154:12, & Municipal [5]-86:14, & \[
236: 25
\] \\
\hline 199:16, 199:20, & 13:17, 13:22, 15:4, & 154:19, 154:21, & 101:16, 124:7, & National [6] - 85:25, \\
\hline 199:23, 201:21, & 15:8, 15:11, 17:4 & 154:24, 155:5, & 125:16, 164:1 & 86:1, 86:7, 94:1, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 202:16, 202:20, } \\
& \text { 202:25, 203:4, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17:11, 17:13, 18:7, } \\
& \text { 19:7, 19:10, 19:12, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 155:9, 156:7, } \\
& \text { 156:11, 156:14, }
\end{aligned}
\] & municipal [4]-25:3, 123:4, 163:21 & 150:9, 192:6 \\
\hline 203:7, 205:10 & 31:9, 31:21, 39:3, & 157:9, 157:15, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 123: 4,163: 21 \\
& 163: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & natural [7] - 136:20, 183:17, 187:4, \\
\hline 205:13, 205:22, & 39:15, 39:17, 39:20, & 157:18, 159:16, & municipalities [2] & 191:15, 200:24, \\
\hline 206:2, 208:24, & 47:12, 47:16, 47:22, & 159:18, 159:22, & 73:23, 96:22 & \[
208: 12,208: 20
\] \\
\hline 209:8, 209:15, & 49:2, 49:7, 50:3, & 160:1, 160:5, & Municipality [1] - & naturally [1] - 52:3 \\
\hline 210:16, 210:18, & 55:1, 55:13, 57:6, & 160:12, 160:16, & 151:11 & Nature [1] - 237:20 \\
\hline 210:21, 210:24, & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
57: 9,57: 15,57: 22
\] \\
58:2, 58:8, 58:11,
\end{tabular} & 160:19, 160:24,
161:7, 161:11 & municipality [6] - & nature [11]-140:1, \\
\hline 211:6, 211:11, & 58:2, 58:8, 58:11, & 161:7, 161:11, & 101:14, 101:24, & 140:18, 161:13, \\
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\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{192:23, 194:13,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{196:3, 197:12,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{201:16, 211:20,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{211:24, 216:5,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{216:6, 220:19,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{221:23, 221:24,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{222:13, 223:14,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{223:19, 223:24,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{226:23, 229:4,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{230:20, 234:8,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{236:17, 238:13,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{241:4} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Years [1]-76:14} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Yeldho [1] - 136:14} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yes-or-no [1] - 123:7} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yesterday [5] - 73:3,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{73:7, 73:13, 74:14,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{74:16} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yield [1] - 216:17} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yo [1] - 183:4} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{York [6] - 5:7, 20:11,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{84:7, 181:2, 220:12,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{220:14} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{young [1] - 218:21} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yourself [1] - 83:10} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yourselves [2]-39:1,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{222:5} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{youth [2] - 155:9,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{204:13} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{yup [4]-17:12, 215:4,} \\
\hline 248:14, 249:22 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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